Construction Biodiversity Management Sub-Plan

Narrabri to North Star (N2NS)

2600-0018 N2NS SP1 SUBMISSION BY TRANS4M RAIL

A MORE PROSPEROUS AUSTRALIA WITH A WORLD-CLASS SUPPLY CHAIN BASED ON A FAST, SAFE, RELIABLE, CONNECTED INLAND RAIL





Document Control

| Document Title | Construction Biodiversity Management Sub-Plan | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| T4MR Document No. | 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 | | |
| ARTC Document No. | 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 | | |
| Prepared By | David Carberry (Environment and Sustainability Manager) David Havilah (GeoLINK Ecologist) Adam Playne (Senior Environmental Advisor) | | |
| Reviewed By | Michael Matthews (Environment Manager) | | |
| Document Owner | Michael Matthews | | |
| | REVIEWED BY APPROVED BY | | |
| Name | Michael Matthews Jon Holmes | | |
| Title | Environment Manager Project Director | | |
| Signature Date | Refer Aconex Workflow Refer Aconex Workflow | | |

Revision History

| REVISION | DATE ISSUED | DESCRIPTION |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| А | 08/10/2020 | Issued for Internal Review |
| В | 30/10/2020 | Issued for External Review |
| С | 18/01/2021 | Amended to address comments |
| D | 25/02/2021 | Amended to address comments |
| E | 08/03/2021 | Amended to address comments |
| F | 16/03/2021 | Amended to address comments |
| G | 26/03/2021 | Amended to address comments |
| 0 | 08/04/2021 | Issued for Use |
| 1 | 20/08/2021 | Updated to include mitigation measures for the Five-clawed Worm Skink |

Issue Date: 20/08/2021



Table of Contents

| Со | mplianc | e Matrix | 4 |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Gl | ossary | | 10 |
| 1 | Introd | uction | 12 |
| | 1.1 | Purpose and Scope | 12 |
| | 1.2 | Objectives and Targets | 12 |
| | 1.3 | Environment Policy | 14 |
| | 1.4 | Project Description | 14 |
| 2 3 | Comm Legal a | unity and Stakeholder Engagement and Compliance Requirements | 14 15 |
| | 3.1 | Legislation | |
| | 3.2 | Conditions of Approval. Mitigation Measures and Performance Outcomes | |
| | 3.3 | General Changes to the Project | |
| 4 | Enviro | nmental Risk Assessment | |
| | 4.1 | Existing Biological Environment | |
| | 4.2 | Risk Assessment and Management | 19 |
| 5 | Trans4 | m Rail Environmental Management System | 20 |
| | 5.1 | Roles and Responsibilities | |
| | 5.2 | Competence, Training and Awareness | |
| | 5.3 | Hold Points | |
| | 5.4 | Environmental and Sustainability Inspections | 21 |
| | 5.5 | General Environmental Compliance Monitoring and Reporting | 21 |
| | 5.6 | Biodiversity Specific Monitoring and Reporting | |
| | 5.7 | Reporting and Communication | 25 |
| | 5.8 | Pre-clearing Surveys | 25 |
| | 5.9 | Unexpected Finds Procedure | 25 |
| | 5.10 | Fauna Spotter/ Catcher | |
| | 5.11 | Environmental Control Maps | |
| | 5.12 | Environmental Management Procedures, Forms and Other Documents | |
| | 5.13 | Communication and Complaints Management | |
| | 5.14 | Incidents, Emergencies and Non-Conformity | 27 |
| | 5.15 | BMP Review and Revision Process | 27 |
| 6 | Enviro | nmental Management Measures | 28 |
| 7 | Sustair | nability | |
| 8 | Declar | ation of Accuracy | |

Appendices

| Appendix A Construction Pest and Weed Management Appendix | 47 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Appendix B Stakeholder Comments | 48 |
| Appendix C Biodiversity Risk Assessment | 125 |
| Appendix D Vegetation Communities and Koala Habitat Mapping | 136 |
| Appendix E Trigger Action Response Plan | 137 |
| Appendix F Clearing Management Inspection Checklist | 141 |
| Appendix G Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure | 143 |
| Appendix H Fauna Handling Procedure | 145 |
| | |

List of Tables

| Table 1: EPBC Conditions of Approval | 4 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
|--------------------------------------|---|



| roval | 5 |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------|
| | 8 |
| rmance Outcomes | 9 |
| | 20 |
| | 21 |
| gram | 22 |
| ures | |
| Benchmarks | 46 |
| r | rmance Outcomes gram Jures Benchmarks |

List of Figures

No table of figures entries found.



Compliance Matrix

Table 1: EPBC Conditions of Approval

| CONDITION REFERENCE | REQUIREMENTS | WHERE ADDRESSED |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| PART A CON | DITIONS SPECIFIC TO THE ACTION | |
| 1 (a) | Implement conditions C4 and C9 of Part C, Schedule 2 of the State Infrastructure approval, of where they relate to monitoring, managing, avoiding, mitigating, offsetting, recording or reporting on, impacts to protected matters, with the exception of C9(a) | CEMP |
| 1(b) | Ensure that the Weed Management Plan included in the Biodiversity Sub plan required under condition C9 of Part C, Schedule 2 of the State Infrastructure approval, includes appropriate weed control measures to prevent the introduction and/or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained area of Belsons Panic (<i>Homopholis belsonii</i>), Natural Grassland on Basalt and Fine Textured Alluvial Plains of Northern New South Wales and Southern Queensland, Brigalow (<i>Acacia harpophylla</i> dominant and co dominant) and Weeping Myall Woodlands ecological communities. | BMP - Appendix A |
| 1(c) | Implement biodiversity conditions E17-E21 and E23-E26 of Part E Schedule 2 of the State Infrastructure approval, where they relate to monitoring, managing, minimising, reducing, avoiding, mitigating, offsetting, recording, or reporting on, impacts to protected matters. | CEMP and BMP |
| 1(d) | For any aspect of the action, for the period of which the approval has effect, the approval holder must not exceed the maximum impacts to protected matters specified under the State Infrastructure approval. | CEMP |
| PART B- STAI | NDARD ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS | |
| 2 | The approval holder must notify the Department in writing of the date of commencement of the action within 10 business days after the date of commencement of the action | CEMP |
| 4 | The approval holder must maintain accurate and complete compliance records. | CEMP - Section 8 |
| 5 | If the Department makes a request in writing, the approval holder must provide electronic copies of compliance records to the Department within the timeframe specified in the request. | CEMP - Section 8 |
| Annual Comp | liance Reporting | |
| 6 | The approval holder must prepare a compliance report for each 12 month period following the date of commencement of the action, or otherwise in accordance with the annual date that has been agreed with in writing by the Minister. The approval holder must: | CEMP - Section 8 |
| | Publish each compliance report on the website within 60 business days following the relevant 12 month period; | |
| | b) Notify the Department by email that a compliance report has been published on the website and provide the weblink for the compliance report within five business days of the date of publication; and | |
| | Keep all compliance reports publicly available on the website until this approval expires. | |
| Reporting nor | n-compliance | |
| 7 | The approval holder must notify the Department in writing of any: incident, non-compliance with the conditions of this approval; or non-compliance with the commitments made in any element of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, (required under | CEMP- Section 9 |

Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| CONDITION REFERENCE | REQUIREMENTS | WHERE ADDRESSED |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Part C- State Infrastructure approval) referred to in condition 1. The notification must be given as soon as practicable, and not later than two business days after becoming aware of the incident or non-compliance. The notification must specify: | |
| | a) Any condition which is or may be in breach; | |
| | b) A short description of the incident and/or non-compliance; and | |
| | c) The location (including co-ordinates), date and time of the incident and/or non-compliance. In the event the exact information cannot be provided, provide the best information available. | |
| 8 | The approval holder must notify the Department in writing of any: incident, non-compliance with the conditions of this approval; or non-compliance with the commitments made in any element of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, (required under Part C- State Infrastructure approval) referred to in condition 1. The notification must be given as soon as practicable, and not later than two business days after becoming aware of the incident or non-compliance specifying: | CEMP - Section 9 |
| | Any corrective action or investigation which the approval holder has already taken or intends to take in the immediate future | |
| | The potential impacts of the incident or non -compliance; and | |
| | The method and timing of any remedial action that will be undertaken by the approval holder. | |

Table 2: Minister's Conditions of Approval

| REQUIREMENT REFERENCE | DETAILS | WHERE ADDRESSED |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| A1 | The CSSI may only be carried out in accordance with the terms of this approval and generally in accordance with the description of the CSSI in the Inland Rail – Narrabri to North Star Environmental Impact Statement, Volumes 1-7 (prepared by GHD and dated November 2017), the Inland Rail – Narrabri to North Star Submissions Preferred Infrastructure Report (ARTC, dated December 2019) and (updated BDAR, RtS on the SPIR and RFI responses). | CEMP - Section 1 |
| A2 | The CSSI must be carried out in accordance with all procedures, commitments, preventative actions, performance criteria and mitigation measures set out in in the documents listed in Condition A1 unless otherwise specified in, or required under, this approval. | CEMP - Section 5 |
| A3 | In the event of an inconsistency between the documents listed in Condition A1 or any other document required under this approval, and a term of this approval, the term of this approval prevails to the extent of the inconsistency. Note: For the purpose of this condition, there will be an inconsistency between a term of this approval and any document if it is not possible to comply with both the term and the document. | CEMP - Section 5 |
| Α4 | The Proponent must comply with the written requirements or directions of the Planning Secretary, including in relation to: a) the environmental performance of the CSSI; b) any document or correspondence under the terms of this approval in relation to the CSSI (including the provision of such documentation or correspondence); c) any independent appointment or dismissal made in relation to the CSSI; d) any notification given to the Planning Secretary under the terms of this approval; e) any audit of the construction or operation of the CSSI; | CEMP - Section 5 |



| | f) the terms of this approval and compliance with the terms of this approval (including anything required to be done under this approval); | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | g) the carrying out of any additional monitoring or mitigation measures; and | | |
| | h) in respect of ongoing monitoring and management obligations, compliance with an updated or revised version of a guideline, protocol, Australian Standard or policy required to be complied with under this approval. | | |
| A5 | Where the terms of this approval require a document or monitoring program to be prepared or a review to be undertaken in consultation with identified parties, evidence of the consultation undertaken must be submitted to the Planning Secretary with the document. The evidence must include: | CEMP - Section 8 | |
| | -documentation of the engagement with the party identified in the condition of approval that has occurred before submitting the document for approval | | |
| | party and a summary of the issues raised by them | | |
| | -documentation of the follow-up with the identified party where engagement has not occurred to confirm that they do not wish to engage or have not attempted to engage after repeated invitations | | |
| | -outline of the issues raised by the identified party and how they have been addressed | | |
| | -a description of the outstanding issues raised by the identified party and the reasons why they have not been addressed. | | |
| A6 | Any document that must be submitted, or approval that must be obtained, within a timeframe specified in or under the conditions of this approval may be submitted within a later timeframe agreed with the Planning Secretary. This condition does not apply to the immediate written notification required in respect of an incident under Condition A41. The Proponent must provide supporting evidence so that the Secretary can consider the need, environmental impacts and consistency of any request. | | |
| | Note: Inaction and/or expedience will not be supported as justifications for need unless it can be demonstrated that there are beneficial environmental impacts associated with the request. | | |
| A16 | Ancillary facilities that are not identified by description and location in the EIS; can only be established and used in each case if: | CEMP | |
| | (c) they have no impacts on heritage items (including areas of archaeological sensitivity), threatened species, populations or ecological communities beyond the impacts approved under the terms of this approval. | | |
| A21 | Facilities including lunch sheds, office sheds, material lay down sites, stockpile areas, areas used to assemble infrastructure, and portable toilet facilities can be established and operated where they satisfy the following criteria: | CEMP | |
| | iii) no impacts on biodiversity, soil and water, and heritage items beyond those already approved under other terms of this approval. | | |
| C4 | The following CEMP Sub-plans must be prepared in consultation with the relevant government agencies and relevant Councils identified for each CEMP Sub-plan and be consistent with the CEMP referred to in the EIS. | | |
| | REQUIRED CEMP SUB-PLAN FOR EACH CEMP SUB-PLAN RELEVANT GOVERNMENT AUTHORITIES TO BE CONSULTED FOR EACH CEMP SUB-PLAN | | |
| | (c) Biodiversity EES, DAWE and relevant councils | | |
| C5 | The CEMP Sub-plans Listed in Condition C4 must state how: a) the environmental performance outcomes identified in the documents | This BMP | |
| | a) the environmental performance outcomes identified in the documents listed in Condition A1, as modified by these conditions, will be achieved; | | |
| | b) the mitigation measures identified in the documents listed in Condition A1, as modified by these conditions will be implemented; | | |
| | c) the relevant terms of this approval will be complied with; and | | |



| | d) issues requiring management during construction (including coordination of concurrent activities of other projects as well as concurrent activities in this CSSI), as identified through ongoing environmental risk analysis, will be managed. | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| C6 | The CEMP Sub-plans must be developed in consultation with relevant parties identified in Condition C4 . Details of all information requested by an agency to be included in a CEMP Sub-plan as a result of consultation, including copies of all correspondence from those agencies, must be provided with the relevant CEMP Sub-plan . | | | Section 4 of the CEMP |
| C7 | Any of the CEMP Sub-plans may be sub subsequent to, the submission of the CEM one (1) month prior to construction. | mitted to the Secretary MP, but in any event, no | along with, or alater than | Noted |
| C9 | The Biodiversity Management Sub-plan | n must include: | | Appendix A, |
| | a weed management plan, including appropriate weed control to manage introduction and/or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained Weeping Myall Woodlands TEC, and appropriate protocols to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the <i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i> and <i>Biosecurity Regulation 2017</i>; | | | |
| | b) procedures for pre-clearing survery undertaken by a suitably qualifie including survey and relocation r management/offset measures; | eys for threatened spec d and experienced ecol nethodologies and | logist, | |
| | c) measures to control cane toads, as relevant to the construction phase areas and scope in accordance with the Threat abatement plan for the biological effects, including lethal toxic ingestion, caused by cane toads (relevant to works adjacent to retained Brigalow (<i>Acacia harpophylla</i> dominant and co-dominant) TEC and Weeping Myall Woodlands TEC): and | | | |
| | d) measures to protect <i>EPBC Act</i> listed threatened species, in particular the koala, and threatened ecological communities. | | | |
| C13 | Construction must not commence until the CEMP and all CEMP Sub-plans have been approved by the Secretary. The CEMP and CEMP Sub-plans, as approved by the Secretary, including any minor amendments approved by the ER, must be implemented for the duration of construction. Where the CSSI is being staged, construction of that stage is not to commence until the relevant CEMP and sub-plans have been endorsed by the ER and approved by the Secretary. | | | CEMP- Section 2 |
| E17 | The Proponent must minimise impacts to exceed the total areas impacted as identitient | plant community types fied in Table E1. | and not | Sections 4 and 5 |
| | Table E1: Native Vegetation Impacted | | | |
| | VEGETATION ZONE AND PLANT COMMUNITY TYPE (PCT) ID AND NAME | TEC UNDER THE EPBC ACT (HA) | TOTAL AREA IMPACTED (HA) | |
| | Zone 1 - PCT27 (BR233, NA219) Weeping Myall open woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Weeping Myall Woodlands – 9.16 | 17.94 | |
| | Zone 2 - PCT35 (BR120, NA117) Brigalow – Belah open forest / woodland on alluvial often gilgaied clay from Pilliga Scrub to Goondiwindi, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Brigalow (Acacia harpophylla dominant and codominant) – 16.13 | 17.31 | |
| | Zone 3 - PCT39 (BR130, NA129) Coolabah – River Coolabah - Lignum woodland wetland of frequently flooded floodplains mainly in the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion | Coolabah - Black Box Woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains and the Brigalow Belt South Bioregions – 1.74 | 1.74 | |



| | Zone 4 - PCT52 (BR191, NA187) Queensland Bluegrass +/- Mitchell Grass grassland on cracking clay floodplains and alluvial plains mainly the northern-eastern Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion | Natural Grasslands on Basalt and Fine- textured Alluvial Plains of Northern NSW and Southern Qld – 432.07 | 432.07 | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Zone 5 - PCT56 (BR186, NA182) Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay- loam soils on alluvial plains of north- central NSW | Not listed | 143.95 | |
| | Zone 6 - PCT56 (BR186, NA182) Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay- loam soils on alluvial plains of north- central NSW (Derived - Native Grasslands) | Not listed | 249.85 | |
| | Zone 7 - PCT71 (BR127, NA126) Carbeen – White Cypress Pine - River Red Gum - bloodwood tall woodland on sandy loam alluvial and aeolian soils in the northern Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion | Not listed | 0.51 | |
| | Zone 8 - PCT 78 River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Not listed | 11.82 | |
| | Zone 9 - PCT 135 Coobah - Western Rosewood low open tall shrubland or woodland mainly on outwash areas in the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Not listed | 9.50 | |
| | Zone 10 - PCT 413 Silver-leaved Ironbark - White Cypress Pine - box dry shrub grass woodland of the Pilliga Scrub - Warialda region, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Not listed | 5.72 | |
| | Total Area Impacted | 459.10 | 890.41 | |
| E22 | Prior to vegetation clearing, the Proponen landcare groups and government agencie root balls can be reused in habitat enhance before pursuing other disposal options. The be used on or off the CSSI site. | at must consult with con to determine if retain coment and rehabilitation ne retained timber and | nmunity and ed timber and on work, root balls may | Section 2 CEMP – Appendix F Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna ECM |

Table 3: RMMs

| REQUIREMENT REFERENCE | DETAILS | WHERE ADDRESSED | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | BIODIVERSITY | | | | |
| C3.1 General Biodiversity Impacts | A biodiversity management sub-plan would be prepared and implemented as part of the CEMP. It would include measures to minimise the potential for biodiversity impacts. The sub-plan would address, as outlined below: a pre-clearing survey and tree-felling procedure procedures to manage micro-bats avoiding impacts on surrounding vegetation (item C3.2) weed management (item C3.3) dewatering of standing pools in watercourses | This BMP | | | |



| | measure to minimise impacts on aquatic ecology. | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| C3.2 Avoidance of impacts – terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity | | Section 5 |
| C3.3 Weed Management | Priority weeds would be managed in accordance with the Biosecurity Act 2015. Weeds of national environmental significance would be managed in accordance with the Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide. Any herbicides would be applied such that impacts on surrounding agricultural properties are avoided. | Appendix A Pest and Weed Management Plan Appendix E TARP |
| C3.4 Rehabilitation | Rehabilitation of disturbed areas would be undertaken progressively and in accordance with the rehabilitation strategy | SWMP |

Table 4: SEARs Environmental Performance Outcomes

| REQUIREMENT REFERENCE | DETAILS | WHERE ADDRESSED |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 6 Biodiversity | Offsets and/or supplementary measures are assured which are equivalent to any remaining impacts of project construction and operation. | Noted; (managed by ARTC) |



Glossary

| ACRONYM / ABBREVIATION | DEFINITION |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| AMS | Activity Method Statement |
| ARTC | Australian Rail Track Corporation |
| BMP | Biodiversity Management Plan |
| BOS | Biodiversity Offset Strategy |
| CAD | Computer-Aided Design |
| СЕМР | Construction Environmental Management Plan |
| CIZ ¹ | Construction Impact Zone |
| CoA | Conditions of Approval |
| CSEMP | Community and Stakeholder Engagement Management Plan |
| CSSI | Critical State Significant Infrastructure |
| DBH | Diameter at Breast Height |
| DPIE | Department of Planning Industry and Environment |
| ECM | Environmental Control Map |
| ECP | Environmental Control Plan |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| EMS | Environmental Management System |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Authority |
| EPBC | Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act |
| EPL | Environment Protection Licence |
| EPO | Environmental Performance Objective |
| EP&A | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (1979) |
| ER | Environment Representative |
| ESCP | Progressive Erosion and Sediment Control Plan |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GMR | Global Mandatory Requirement |
| HSEQS | Health, Safety, Environment, Quality and Sustainability |
| IMS | Integrated Management System |
| IR | Inland Rail |
| ISCA | Infrastructure Sustainability Council of Australia |
| N2NS | Narrabri to North Star (Separable Portion 1) |
| PWMP | Pest and Weed Management Plan |
| REMM | Revised Environmental Management Measure |
| RTS | Response to Submissions |
| SEARs | Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements |
| SEMP | Site Establishment Management Plan |
| SEP | Site Environmental Plan |
| SPIR | Submissions Preferred Infrastructure Report |
| SuMP | Sustainability Management Plan |
| TEC | Threatened Ecological Community |

Revision No: 1 T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| ACRONYM / ABBREVIATION | DEFINITION |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| TARP | Trigger Action Response Plan |
| TPZ | Tree Protection Zone |
| TRA | Task Risk Assessment |
| TfNSW | Transport for NSW |
| WIRES | Wildlife Rescue 1300 094 737 info@wires.org.au |
| WRA | Workplace Risk Assessment |

NOTE:

 For the purposes of this sub-plan; the project area, proposal site, study area, development footprint or construction footprint are general terms to refer to the area or site assessed and approved via the Project EIS and SPIR. Throughout the detailed design and construction phase, this footprint is referred to as the Construction Impact Zone (CIZ) which will undergo refinements and changes in accordance with Section 3.3 of this BMP.



1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Scope

This Construction Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP) forms part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) for the Narrabri to North Star (Separable Portion 1) (N2NS) Project and details the key management and mitigation measures that will be implemented by Trans4m Rail in order to minimise and manage the potential construction impacts on flora and fauna during the N2NS project. Construction of N2NS will have impacts on flora and fauna listed under both Commonwealth and NSW legislation.

This BMP addresses the relevant requirements of the Project Approval and all applicable guidelines and standards specific to biodiversity during the Project. It has been developed based on the findings of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and the Submissions Preferred Infrastructure Report (SPIR) and describes how construction impacts on flora and fauna can be avoided, minimised and managed.

The BMP is consistent with the ARTC Inland Rail Environment and Sustainability Policy, ARTC Environmental Policy and Trans4m Rail's Environment Policy (Appendix A in the CEMP). In accordance with Condition of Approval (CoA) C9 (a), the pest and weed management plan for the project can be found in Appendix A.

Construction will not commence until the CEMP and sub-plans and the Construction Monitoring Programs are endorsed by the Environmental Representative (ER) and approved by the Secretary of the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE). The CEMP and Construction Monitoring Programs will be submitted to the Secretary for approval no later than one month prior to the commencement of construction as required by CoAs C7 and C17.

The key objective of this BMP is to ensure that all CoAs, Revised Environmental Management Mitigation Measures (RMMs) and licence/permit requirements relevant to flora and fauna are adhered to, thus protecting biodiversity environmental values.

1.2 Objectives and Targets

The key objective of this BMP is to ensure that all legislative and licence/permit requirements (i.e. EPBC Act, CoA's, SPIR, RMMs and Project EIS) relevant to biodiversity are adhered to, thus protecting biodiversity values of the site and surrounds. Biodiversity management objectives and targets are outlined in Table 1.

Environmental objectives and targets for construction of the N2NS have been established as a means of guiding environmental management of the project and assessing environmental performance. These objectives and targets have been developed with consideration of key biodiversity issues identified through the environmental assessment and risk assessment process as well as the CoAs and RMMs.

The objectives and targets are consistent with Trans4m Rail's Environment Policy and will assist in monitoring whether the commitments of the policy are being met. The performance of the Project will be monitored against the objectives and targets. Project performance monitoring will be documented in the Project construction compliance reports and at least on a quarterly basis as part of the management review.

| OBJECTIVE | TARGET |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Full compliance with and no breaches of the legislative requirements (i.e. EPBC Act, CoA's, SPIR, RMMs and Project EIS) relevant to the | Full implementation and 100% compliance with this Biodiversity Management Plan including the Appendix A Pest and Weed Management Plan. |

Table 5: Objectives and Targets



| OBJECTIVE | TARGET |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| construction phase of the Project. | |
| Impacts to plant community types will not exceed those identified in CoA E17 (Table | No clearing / disturbance of native vegetation will occur outside of the approved CIZ without prior approval as part of the consistency assessment process. |
| E1). | A clearing tracking register will be established and updated throughout the project to include all native vegetation clearing impacts for the project to measure compliance with relevant CoAs. |
| | A reduction of the vegetation clearing requirements (i.e. total area impacted as detailed Table E1 of the CoA) will be reduced by at least 5% for the Project. The clearing tracking register will be utilised to manage this target. |
| Prevent impacts to sensitive biodiversity areas associated with the project site | Develop a site Environmental Control Map for 100% of sites showing sensitive biodiversity areas (threatened species habitat/ TECs, weed infestations) and clearly identifying construction boundaries and No-Go Zones |
| | Sensitive biodiversity areas (threatened species habitat/ TECs) occurring in proximity to the approved CIZ will be fenced and identified with appropriate signage to prevent inadvertent access/ impacts. |
| Prevent terrestrial fauna mortality during the project | Prior to clearing commencing project ecologists (or suitably trained environmental personnel) will complete pre-clearing surveys to identify/ relocate fauna within 100% of sites be to cleared. Relocation will be completed in accordance with the Fauna Handling Procedure (Appendix H). |
| | Prior to and during clearing capture / relocation of fauna will be undertaken within all areas of clearing by a suitably qualified and licensed fauna spotter catcher in accordance with the Fauna Handling Procedure (Appendix H). |
| | All (100%) hollow-bearing trees within the clearing boundary will be identified, marked and subject to a two-stage clearing process under the supervision of a qualified and licensed fauna spotter catcher to capture/ relocate native fauna present (refer to Appendix H Fauna Handling Procedure). |
| | All structures (culverts/ bridges) to be impacted by the project will be checked for microbats prior to demolition with ecologist guided management including capture/ relocation to be undertaken. |
| | Measures within trenches/ excavations will be implemented to avoid fauna entrapment. |
| No fish kill events within waterways associated with the project site | All pools in watercourses that would be impacted by the project will be subject to a dewatering procedure including capture/ relocation of native aquatic native fauna to be undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist. |
| | Erosion/ sediment control measures will be implemented and maintained in accordance with the Progressive Erosion Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) to avoid sediment entering waterways. |
| No increase in the abundance or distribution of pests or weeds currently existing | Undertake weekly environmental inspections to monitor the presence, abundance and types of pests and weed species present and record any new weed infestations or pest populations. |
| within the project area as a result of construction activities. | Implement the weed hygiene protocol detailed in the Construction Pest and Weed Management Plan (Appendix A) including vehicle wash-down and weed hygiene declarations for all plant/ vehicles entering/ leaving the site. |
| | Any weed infestations recorded during the project will be controlled using herbicide application or manual removal. |
| | When working within or near an EEC, 100% of all workers will be inducted (including biodiversity risks) and attend a pre-start that details biodiversity risks. |



The implementation of mitigation measures will ensure the performance targets are achieved. This will be managed through project inductions, specialised training, toolbox talks, inspections, and environmental monitoring and auditing. Project inductions will inform Trans4m Rail personnel (including subcontractors) of the management measures, while toolbox talks, and specialised training will ensure they are reinforced throughout the construction program.

1.3 Environment Policy

Trans4m Rail believes that respect for the Project location, its surroundings and the communities in which it operates is essential for project success, as well as compliance with all environmental, heritage, sustainability and community requirements. This commitment is described in Trans4m Rail's Environment Policy which can be found in Appendix A of the CEMP.

1.4 Project Description

The N2NS Project is one of 13 projects that make up the Inland Rail Project. The route is within the Narrabri, Moree Plains and Gwydir Local Government Areas (LGAs) in north west NSW. N2NS extends approximately 171km from north of Narrabri Junction, terminating at North Star and the project is generally within the existing rail corridor. Works over the Gwydir Floodplain are excluded from the N2NS Project. This construct only contract will be delivered by Trans4m Rail (an unincorporated Joint Venture between SEE Civil Pty Ltd and John Holland Pty Ltd). Further detail on the project, including construction scope of works and construction schedule can be found in Section 2 of the CEMP.

2 Community and Stakeholder Engagement

Trans4m Rail's Community and Stakeholder Engagement Management Plan (CSEMP) provides a clear framework for active communication and stakeholder engagement management. The Plan outlines how Trans4m Rail will meet best practice community and project outcomes by keeping the community and other stakeholders informed, minimising potential impacts and responding to the needs and requirements of stakeholders. The CSEMP contains procedures and strategies to manage community and stakeholder engagement activities as they align to the Project delivery program. To the extent practicable, Trans4m Rail will provide stakeholders with open and transparent consultation. CoA A5 and C4 require that the BMP be prepared in consultation with:

- The Department of Planning, Infrastructure and Environment's (DPIE) Environment, Energy and Science (EES) group;
- Federal Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (DAWE);
- Narrabri Shire Council;
- Moree Plans Shire Council; and
- Gwydir Shire Council.

As required by CoA C6, details of all information requested by an agency to be included in a CEMP Sub-plan as a result of consultation can be found in Appendix B. Appendix B also provides an assessment of where comments have been addressed in the BMP.

Comments have been received from DPIE (EES), DAWE, Moree Plains Shire Council, Narrabri Shire Council and Gwydir Shire Council, refer to Appendix B for details.

As required under CoA E22, prior to clearing works commencing, Trans4m Rail Prior will consult with community and landcare groups and government agencies to determine if retained timber and root balls can be reused in habitat enhancement and rehabilitation work, before pursuing other disposal options.



3 Legal and Compliance Requirements

This section details the relevant legal and compliance requirements for the N2NS project including the Minister's CoAs, RMMs and the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) environmental performance outcomes (EPOs).

3.1 Legislation

Legislation relevant to biodiversity outcomes and management associated with construction of the project include the:

- (Federal) Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999);
- (State) Biodiversity Conservation Act (2016);
- (State) Fisheries Management Act (1994);
- (State) Biosecurity Act (2015);
- (State) Biosecurity Regulation (2017); and
- (State) Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

Guidelines and standards relating to biodiversity management associated with construction of the project include:

- NSW Legislation, Guidelines and Policies Flora and Fauna Management Sub-plans (Australian Rail and Track Corporation Limited, 2020)
- Biodiversity Guidelines Protecting and Managing Biodiversity on RTA Projects (Roads and Traffic Authority, 2011)
- Matters of National Environmental Significance Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1 (Department of the Environment, 2013)
- RMS QA Specification G36 Environmental Protection
- RMS QA Specification G40 Clearing and Grubbing
- Guidelines for vegetation management plans on waterfront land (NSW Office of Water, 2012)
- Guidelines for controlled activities on waterfront land riparian corridors (Department of Primary Industries, 2018)
- Why do Fish Need to Cross The Road? Fish Passage Requirements for Waterway Crossings. Fairfull and Witheridge (2003)
- Factsheet: Vehicle Biosecurity Kit Plant Industries (Department of Primary Industries, 2012)
- Fauna Management Work Instruction (0-0000-900-EEC-00-WI-0004) (Inland Rail, 2019)
- Landscape and Rehabilitation Framework (0-0000-900-ELE-00-GU-0001) (Inland Rail, 2018).

3.2 Conditions of Approval, Mitigation Measures and Performance Outcomes

As discussed in Section 4 of the CEMP, the N2NS project is a Controlled Action under the EPBC Act (1999) and a CSSI under the EP&A Act (1979). Under Section 45 of the EPBC Act (i.e. the bilateral agreement between the NSW and Federal Governments), the Project has been assessed by DPIE for both State and Federal approvals. The Project has been approved with conditions by both the NSW Minister for Planning and Public Spaces and the Federal Minister for Agriculture, Water and Environment. These conditions of approval relevant to the construction phase and where they have been addressed in this BMP can be found in the Compliance Matrix at the beginning of this document.

Biodiversity management and mitigation measures were identified in the EIS. Following consideration of the issues raised in the stakeholder and community submissions on the EIS and additional assessments undertaken, mitigation measures were updated and included in the SPIR. RMMs



relevant to biodiversity and where they have been addressed in this BMP can also be found in the Compliance Matrix at the beginning of this document.

The SEARs identified a number of desired environmental performance outcomes (EPOs) for the N2NS project. Based on the outcomes of the EIS and the implementation of the RMMs, EPOs have been established for the proposal. EPOs relevant to biodiversity and where they have been addressed in this BMP can also be found in the Compliance Matrix at the beginning of this document.

3.3 General Changes to the Project

As required by CoA A2, "The CSSI must be carried out in accordance with all procedures, commitments, preventative actions, performance criteria and mitigation measures set out in in the documents listed in Condition A1 unless otherwise specified in, or required under, this approval." Refinements to the Project may occur during detailed design or changed circumstances throughout construction. Design changes or changes in scope will be communicated to the Trans4m Rail Environment Manager either through formal change processes or via informal written communications. Proposed changes are to be assessed by Inland Rail for consistency against the approved Project.

For design/activity/work changes proposed by Trans4m Rail, the Environment Manager will undertake an assessment of the proposed changes for potential impacts and compare them to the proposed impacts for the assessed and approved Project. These changes would be managed through ARTC's Consistency Assessment Work Instruction (Consistency Assessment Work Instruction - 0-0000-900-EEC-00-WI-0013). Any consistency assessment and associated report required by Trans4m Rail will include:

- A description of the approved development / activity / works
- A description of the proposed development / activity / works
- Justification for the proposed development / activity / works
- A description of the existing surrounding environment
- An assessment of the environmental impacts of the construction works, including, but not necessarily limited to traffic, noise and vibration, air quality, soil and water, ecology and heritage
- Any additional vegetation clearing requirements and specifically Project compliance with the vegetation clearing quantities detailed in Table E1 of the CoA
- Details of mitigation measures and monitoring specific to the proposed development / activity / works that would be implemented to minimise environmental impacts
- Identification of the timing for completion of the proposed development / activity / works and how the site/s would be reinstated
- Assessment of each component of the proposed development / activity / works to determine its' consistency with the approved project; and
- Assessment of any other approvals that may be required for the proposed development / activity / works.

If the proposed design/activity/works are consistent with the approved project, the assessment would be submitted to the Environmental Representative (in accordance with CoA A29) and ARTC for determination. Written approval would be obtained prior to commencing the subject works.

If the proposed development/activity/works are inconsistent with the approved project, the proposed development/activity/works will be either:

- Modified to be consistent with the approved project; or
- The subject of a Planning Approval Modification process.

As N2NS is a CSSI project, changes that are not consistent with the Approval will require modification under Section 5.25 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) and determination by the Minister for Planning. If required, the CEMP and management plans will be



updated to incorporate any additional potential environmental impacts or management measures that resulted from the proposed change.



4 Environmental Risk Assessment

4.1 Existing Biological Environment

A summary of the key findings from the EIS and SPIR are outlined below. Further detail can be found in the N2NS EIS and associated Technical Report 2 (Biodiversity Assessment Report). Mapping of vegetation communities undertaken during the EIS process can be found in Appendix D. Appendix D also contains mapping of koala habitat within the Construction Impact Zone.

- The majority of the study area has been heavily modified by past and ongoing disturbances associated with the active rail corridor and surrounding rural and agricultural activities. Clearance and maintenance of the rail corridor has resulted in fragmentation, a high level of disturbance and degradation of vegetation communities within the rail corridor. The majority of the proposal site (69 per cent) is cleared or consists of non-native vegetation. Patches of native vegetation exist sporadically within and near the proposal site, and are typically associated with travelling stock reserves, road reserves, or farm woodland remnants.
- The project occurs in a landscape that is dominated by crop land and introduced pastures and contains only a small proportion of woodland and scattered tree cover. Patches of native woodland habitat exists sporadically and are typically associated with road verges or small woodland patches on farmland. As such, native fauna habitats within the project are minimal. No critical habitat listed under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act) occurs within the project area.
- 890.41 ha of native plant community types (including 175.25 ha of Koala habitat) listed under the BC Act and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999 (EPBC Act) will be impacted. ARTC will offset this impact with the retirement of ecosystems and species credits through biodiversity stewardship agreements.
- Four of the vegetation communities in the project area conform to threatened ecological communities (TECs) listed under the BC Act:
 - Myall Woodland in the Darling Riverine Plains, Brigalow Belt South, Cobar Peneplain, Murray-Darling Depression, Riverina and NSW South Western Slopes Bioregions;
 - Brigalow within the Brigalow Belt South, Nandewar and Darling Riverine Plains Bioregions;
 - Coolibah Black Box Woodland in the Darling Riverine Plains, Brigalow Belt South, Cobar Peneplain and Mulga Lands Bioregions; and
 - Carbeen Open Forest community in the Darling Riverine Plains and Brigalow Belt South Bioregions.
- Seven threatened fauna species were recorded in the project area during field surveys:
 - ✓ Grey-crowned Babbler (*Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis*);
 - ✓ Varied Sittella (*Daphoenositta chrysoptera*);
 - Koala (Phascolarctos cinereus);
 - ✓ Grey-headed flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*);
 - Eastern Bentwing-bat (*Miniopterus schreibersii oceanensis*);
 - ✓ Little Pied Bat (Chalinolobus picatus); and
 - ✓ Yellow-bellied sheathtail-bat (Saccolaimus flaviventris).
- > Three threatened flora species were recorded in the project area during field surveys:
 - ✓ Belson's Panic (Homopholis belsonii);
 - ✓ Creeping Tick-trefoil (*Desmodium campylocaulon*); and
 - ✓ Finger Panic Grass (*Digitaria porrecta*).
- No protected areas, defined as areas/reserves managed by DPIE and/or DPI NSW Fisheries under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (NPW Act), are located near the project.
- The proposal is located within the major water catchments of the Namoi River Basin, Gwydir River Basin and the Macintyre River Basin. Whereas minor river catchments (i.e. those less



than 1,000 square kilometres) along the existing rail corridor include; Bobbiwa Creek; Ten Mile Creek; Boggy Creek; Gehan Creek; Waterloo Creek; Little Bumble Creek; Gurley Creek; Halls Creek; Mehi River; Gil Gil Creek; Croppa Creek; Yallaroi Creek and Mungle Creek.

- The main impacts on aquatic ecological systems would be as a result of the removal and construction of new watercourse crossing structures along the proposal site and access over watercourses for movement of construction equipment and personnel. An assessment of significance of impact of the Project on aquatic communities identified that the Project is unlikely to have an adverse residual impact on threatened species and endangered populations. There are no State significant or important wetlands within the Project Boundary.
- The EIS lists 21 areas of key fish habitat (this includes areas found in the Separable Portion 2 works). These are areas classified as class 3 (minimal key fish habitat) or above, in accordance with the Policy and guidelines for fish habitat conservation and management (Department of Primary Industries, 2013).

4.2 Risk Assessment and Management

The N2NS Risk Management Plan includes full details on the risk assessment process utilised by Trans4m Rail. A risk assessment has been completed utilising the risk matrix included within Appendix A of the N2NS Risk Management Plan to assess the risks of the project not achieving full compliance with legislative requirements (i.e. EPBC Act, CoA's, SPIR, RMMs and Project EIS) in relation to biodiversity. This risk assessment is included as Appendix C of this plan.

Section 26.3 of the N2NS EIS provides a summary of the potential residual impacts for the project with a description of how these potential residual impacts would be managed. The identified residual impact is that construction will involve the permanent removal of native vegetation and fauna habitat, including removal of threatened ecological communities and habitats for threatened species. Recommended potential mitigation measures are:

- implementation of a biodiversity offset strategy to offset permanent removal of native vegetation, (managed by ARTC)
- detailed design and construction planning would minimise the construction footprint and avoid impacts to native vegetation as far as practicable
- Implementation of area clearing environmental control plan, detailing clear delineation of clearing limits and No-Go Zones
- implementation of the flora and fauna management sub-plan (as part of the CEMP), including weed control, fauna habitat management and monitoring
- pre-clearance surveys would be undertaken, and a tree felling procedure would be implemented to avoid injury and mortality of native fauna during construction
- > native vegetation temporarily disturbed during construction would be rehabilitated.

These mitigation measures are incorporated in Trans4m Rail's management and mitigation measures detailed in Section 6. Environmental Management Framework

4.3 Concurrent Project Risk Management

Trans4m Rail and ARTC will liaise with TfNSW and relevant Councils on a monthly basis with the aim of developing and implementing measures designed to manage concurrent projects in the region, including environmental management, impact and compliance. Concurrent projects may include simultaneous construction of Inland Rail (incl. other packages of the Inland Rail program), Newell Highway upgrade works co-ordinated by TfNSW and any significant Council improvement and / or development works.



5 **Trans4m Rail Environmental Management System**

Trans4m Rail will be utilising an Environmental Management System (EMS) (which is certified to ISO AS/NZS14001) to enhance its' environmental performance. This is discussed in detail in Section 7.1 of the CEMP.

5.1 **Roles and Responsibilities**

Section 7.4 of Trans4M Rail's CEMP details roles and responsibilities for environmental management (including Biodiversity). Trans4m Rail's Environment Manager has overall responsibility for the implementation of environmental matters on the Project and the Site Supervisor is responsible for field implementation of environmental requirements and control measures (including Biodiversity requirements and control measures). It is important to note that all personnel are responsible for ensuring biodiversity values are protected.

In addition, Trans4m Rail have engaged ecologists to:

- Provide advice on appropriately minimising vegetation clearing;
- Þ Provide advice on management of species fauna species such the Koala and micro bats; and
- Undertake pre-clearance surveys.

ARTC is responsible for managing the implementation of the Project's Biodiversity Offset Strategy (BOS). The reporting undertaken by Trans4m Rail during implementation of the BMP (refer to Section 5.7) will assist ARTC track actual clearing impacts and offset requirements, and compliance with the BOS.

5.2 **Competence, Training and Awareness**

All personnel performing environmental management activities for and on behalf of Trans4m Rail will be trained, gualified and competent. Personnel performing specified assigned tasks shall be gualified on the basis of appropriate education, training, skills and/or experience, as appropriate. Section 6.5 of the CEMP details competence, training and awareness and includes:

- Inductions;
- Tool box talks;
- Daily pre-start meetings;
- Resource planning; and
- Trans4m Rail's Environment Training Program. •

Hold Points 5.3

Hold Points will be implemented on this Project for the purpose of minimising the likelihood of an incident when undertaking specific construction activities that have a greater environmental risk. Further discussion of the hold-point process for the project is included in Section 8.6 of the CEMP. Hold Points specific to biodiversity management are detailed in Table 6 below.

Table 6: Hold points

| HOLD POINT | RELEASING AUTHORITY |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| If the CIZ is to be amended after it has been approved, the amended CIZ must be submitted to the ER and ARTC for approval and will constitute a Hold Point. The amendment would be assessed via a consistency assessment (refer to Section 3.3). | ER and ARTC |
| A Clearing Permit is required prior to any clearing of native vegetation, including GPS locations of extent of Clearing applicable to Permit. | Trans4m Rail Environment Manager |



| HOLD POINT | RELEASING AUTHORITY |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| NOTE: The Clearing Permit is typically prepared by the Trans4m Rail Engineer or Site Supervisor and approved by the Trans4m Rail Environment Manager (or delegate). | |
| The Clearing Permit will include the following information: time, date and location of the clearing activities, Environmental Sensitive Area plan (ESA Plan) showing all environmental constraints within (or adjacent) to the site, the plant community types (PCT) and area to be cleared, any notable environmental features (i.e. threatened flora species, structures, hollow-bearing trees, suitable habitat, etc) and pre-clearance (or other) requirements. | |
| Develop a site Environmental Control Map highlighting sensitive areas and clearly identifying construction boundaries and No-Go Zones | Trans4m Rail Environment Manager |
| Excavation works cannot commence / recommence until an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) is developed/ reviewed and implemented. | Trans4m Rail Environment Manager |
| Water Discharge Permit is required prior to any water discharge from the site, to confirm water is suitable for discharge. | Trans4m Rail Environment Manager |
| NOTE: The Water Discharge Permit is typically prepared by the Trans4m Rail Engineer or Site Supervisor and approved by the Trans4m Rail Environment Manager (or delegate). The Water Discharge Permit will include the following information: time, date and location of discharge activities, the volume and quality of the water to be discharged and the receiving water quality. | |
| Prior to water reuse on the site, contact the Environment Manager to confirm water quality criteria has been met. | Trans4m Rail Environment Manager |

5.4 Environmental and Sustainability Inspections

Section 7.8 of Trans4m Rail's CEMP details environmental and sustainability inspections, including inspections related to the BMP. Table 7 lists the details of each type of environmental and sustainability inspection to be undertaken on the Project.

| ACTIVITY | FREQUENCY | RESPONSIBILITY | RECORD |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Site inspection | Daily | Supervisor/s | Site Diary |
| Environmental and Sustainability | Weekly (and after a significant rainfall event) | Environment Coordinator/s | Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist |
| High Risk Activity Inspections | As required | Construction Manager | High Risk activity inspection checklist |

Table 7: Inspection Schedule

NOTE: In the final stages of construction and post construction, the weekly Environmental and Sustainability Inspections will predominantly focus on demobilisation and rehabilitation requirements to ensure the sites are left in a clean, stable, and non-polluting state. These inspections will continue until the EPL has been relinquished.

5.5 General Environmental Compliance Monitoring and Reporting

The Trans4m Rail Environment Team will undertake environmental inspections, audits and reporting to develop and evaluate the effectiveness of environmental controls. This will include:

- General observations for the daily management of flora and fauna controls shall be documented in site dairies (daily) by the Site Supervisor;
- Weekly inspections of flora, fauna and weed management controls shall be undertaken by the Environmental Coordinator and Site Supervisor using the Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist and uploaded to Project Pack Web;
- Effectiveness of the flora, fauna and weed management controls shall be reviewed weekly by the Environmental Coordinator for adequacy having regard for changing circumstances;



- Monthly reporting to Inland Rail on biodiversity management will be recorded through Project Monthly Reports;
- Six monthly independent audits by a suitably qualified professional reviewing BMP compliance;
- ER monitoring of the implementation of the documents listed in the CoA; and
- The broader EMP auditing process is discussed further in Section 6.10 of Trans4m Rail's CEMP.

5.6 Biodiversity Specific Monitoring and Reporting

A Construction Monitoring Program for biodiversity on the project (including pests and weeds) is detailed below in Table 8. The program aims to capture high quality baseline data for the project during ecologist pre-clearing surveys in relation to biodiversity including the presence of weeds, pests and pathogens. This information would be shown on Environmental Control Maps (ECMs) and use as the primary tool to inform Trans4m Rail's approach to management of biodiversity during construction.

| AC | TIVITY | TIMING | RESPONSIBILITY | REPORTING |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WE | EDS, PEST AND PATHOGEN MONITORING |) | | |
| Pre | As part of ecologist pre-clearing surveys of the project site, weed infestations would be recorded and mapped on ECMs for the project to inform management during construction. If pathogens are identified on the site, mapping of affected areas would be undertaken immediately to inform works. If pests are identified on the site, mapping of affected areas would be undertaken immediately to inform control measures. Clearing Permit (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001-02) | Prior to construction commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist | Pre-clearing survey report. ECM Clearing Permit |
| Coi | nstruction Weekly inspections of the site to be undertaken to record any weed infestations or signs of pests and pathogens using the Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist with results uploaded to Project Pack Web. Control of weeds, pest and pathogens will be undertaken in accordance with actions within the Trigger Control Plan (Appendix E) and requirements of the Pest and Weed Management Plan (refer to Section 6 and the full PWMP included as Appendix A). | Weekly | Environment Coordinator | Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist |
| • | All works personnel will be trained on the identification of potentially occurring weeds, pests and pathogens and encouraged to report occurrences/ infestations to the Environmental Manager. Such occurrences would be confirmed by the environmental team with control to be undertaken in accordance with actions within the Trigger Action Response Plan (Appendix E) and the Pest and Weed Management Plan (refer to Section 6 and the full PWMP included as Appendix A). | When reported | Environmental Manager Environment Coordinator | Induction and toolbox records. Site diaries/ Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist. |

Table 8: Construction Monitoring Program



| ACTIVITY | TIMING | RESPONSIBILITY | REPORTING |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Where control of pests, pathogens and weeds is undertaken follow-up monitoring (monthly or otherwise recommended by the pre-clearing survey) would be undertaken to determine the effectiveness of management and any follow-up management required. | One month after weed, pest, pathogen control is undertaken. | Environment Coordinator | Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist /Project monthly reports |
| Post-construction Post construction, the weekly Environmental and Sustainability Inspections will predominantly focus on demobilisation and rehabilitation requirements (incl. pest and weed) to ensure the sites are left in a clean, stable, and non-polluting state. These inspections will continue until the EPL has been relinquished | Weekly | Environment Coordinator | Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist |
| ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE ENVIRONME HABITAT/TEC) | NTS (THREATEN | IED SPECIES | |
| Pre-construction As part of ecologist pre-clearing surveys, sensitive biodiversity areas (threatened species habitat/ TECs) occurring in proximity to the clearing boundary would be identified on ECMs and fenced with appropriate signage to prevent inadvertent access/ impacts. Clearing Permit (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001-02) | Prior to construction commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist | Pre-clearing survey report. Clearing Management Inspection Checklist. ECM. Clearing Permit. |
| Construction Weekly inspections of the site to ensure sensitive areas shown in ECMs are appropriately fenced off/ protected using the Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist with results uploaded to Project Pack Web. Any remediation of fencing will be actioned as required. Any unexpected finds would be undertaken in accordance with actions within the Trigger Action Response Plan (Appendix E). | Weekly | Environment Coordinator | Clearing Management Inspection Checklist. Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist |
| Post-construction | Weekly | Environment | Weekly |
| Post construction, the weekly Environmental and Sustainability Inspections will predominantly focus on demobilisation and rehabilitation requirements (incl. ground cover, weed species / abundance, erosion, etc) to ensure the sites are left in a clean, stable, and non-polluting state. These inspections will continue until the EPL has been relinquished. | | Coordinator | Environmental Management Inspection Checklist |
| FAUNA | | | |
| Pre-construction Completion of ecologist pre-clearing survey prior to works commencing | Prior to construction commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist | Pre-clearing survey report. Microbat inspection report. ECM. |



| AC | TIVITY | TIMING | RESPONSIBILITY | REPORTING |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| • | All project personnel will be made aware of project fauna requirements via the project induction | | | Induction records |
| • | One (1) month prior to works commencing on a structure (i.e. bridges, culverts, etc), a suitably trained and qualified Ecologist will inspect the structure for presence of or signs of occupation by microbats. The structure will be monitored (inspected weekly, by the T4MR environment team) in the month leading up to works commencing and the results recorded. The findings of this monitoring will determine if exclusion works are required (to be carried out by the Ecologist) and inform any additional management measures during construction. | | | |
| • | Any unexpected finds would be undertaken in accordance with actions within the Trigger Action Response Plan (Appendix E). | | | |
| • | Following exclusion works occurring (if required) and prior to works commencing on the structure, regular inspections (weekly, or as otherwise recommended by the Ecologist) would be undertaken by the Ecologist to ensure microbats have been excluded from the structure. | | | |
| NO stru part mic wor the Ecc | TE: Partial exclusion of microbats from ctures is not expected. In the event that ial exclusion is required, and populations of robats will remain in situ whilst structure ks is occurring, then weekly monitoring of population numbers will occur by the project logist throughout the construction stage. | | | |
| Cor | nstruction (Clearing / Structures / etc) | Daily during | Fauna spotter | ECM |
| | Biodiversity/Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-06) | cleaning | Environmental Co- | Clearing Management |
| • | Daily monitoring would be undertaken by the fauna spotter catcher during clearing as follows: | | ordinator | Inspection Checklist. |
| | Habitat trees and other fauna habitats prior to and during removal | | | |
| | Clearing limit fencing Broopped of any threatened former | | | |
| | species (e.g. Koalas) | | | |
| | ✓ Fauna injuries/ mortalities | | | |
| • | Daily (pre-start) monitoring would be undertaken by the construction team for presence of microbats in structures undergoing demolition, replacement, or refurbishment. | | | |
| • | If partial exclusion is required whilst works are occurring on a structure, the Construction Team will monitor the microbat populations for daytime "flyout" and consult the Ecologist in the event of flyout. | | | |
| Cor | nstruction (general) | Weekly | All personnel | Weekly Environmental Management |



| AC | TIVITY | TIMING | RESPONSIBILITY | REPORTING |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| • | All project personnel would report any injured / dead fauna on the project site. | | Environmental Coordinator | Inspection Checklist |
| • | Weekly environmental inspections would monitor/ record any such occurrences. Any fauna injuries/ mortalities would be recorded within a project fauna register. | | | |

The Construction Monitoring Program implementation will be the responsibility of the Environmental Manager and will include the following aspects:

- Sufficient training of personnel;
- Arranging specialist consultants when required;
- Coordination of monitoring equipment and materials;
- Coordination of sample collection, documentation and delivery;
- Ensuring frequency and methodology is in accordance with all licences, permits, approvals, Australian Standards and any industry standards;
- > Data management and representation of results; and
- Reporting non-compliances or incidents related to monitoring and implementing corrective actions.

5.7 Reporting and Communication

Reporting will include monthly internal project reports and Construction Monthly Environmental Reports to ARTC. Compliance monitoring and reporting are discussed in further detail in Section 8 of Trans4m Rail's CEMP.

A Clearing Tracking Register would be included in the Monthly Environmental Reports provided to ARTC to inform the clearing undertaken and the actual vegetation types and quantities to be offset under the BOS.

5.8 Pre-clearing Surveys

Prior to construction commencing, pre-clearing surveys will be undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist to:

- Identify and demarcate habitat trees;
- Identify other fauna habitat features including fallen timber/hollow logs and burrows;
- Identify habitat features that are suitable for translocation or salvage;
- Undertake updated mapping of weed infestations for the project site;
- Identify culverts / bridges to be demolished which represent habitat for microbats;
- Identify any threatened flora species within the project site not assessed as part of the EIS; and
- Identify and demarcate any threatened flora to be retained occurring in proximity to the CIZ.

The results of the pre-clearing surveys would inform the production of Environmental Control Maps for the project.

5.9 Unexpected Finds Procedure

During pre-clearing surveys, it is possible that previously unidentified threatened species (not considered within the EIS) may be identified. Unexpected finds will be documented by the ecologist with no works to be undertaken within such areas until further assessment is undertaken including:



- Assessment and advice by a suitably trained and experienced ecologist (NOTE: this may include additional mitigation measures which will be included in the sites ECP, ECMF and this sub-plan as updated from time to time);
- Referral of finding to client in accordance with the Incident and Event Management Procedure; and
- Works may proceed when an approval to proceed is received from the client.

NOTE: Unexpected finds of threatened flora, threatened fauna or EEC will be managed in accordance with Appendix G - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure and Appendix E – Trigger Action Response Plan, where relevant.

Any additional impacts to TEC's, koala habitat, threatened flora and / or fauna will be formally referred to ARTC via Aconex to ensure that the appropriate offsets are secured.

5.10 Fauna Spotter / Catcher

A suitably qualified fauna spotter catcher would be present during clearing activities to:

- Undertake searches prior to (pre-clearing surveys) and during clearing for any fauna and undertake relocation where possible;
- Supervise the felling of habitat trees which would be felled as gently as possible utilising a two stage clearing process;
- Uninjured animals would be released on the day of capture into nearby suitable secure habitat and would not be held for extended periods of time; and
- Injured animals would be taken to the nearest veterinary clinic or wildlife carer as soon as possible for assessment and treatment.

Where a Koala is located within a clearing area, clearing activities would stop and a 50m buffer established around the animal with no clearing within this area to resume until the fauna spotter/ catcher confirms the animal has left the area of its own volition. The Project Team will ensure the koala has a means of egress to more away from construction so the animal is not isolated with no route to escape.

Notification to the Trans4m Rail Environment Manager of Koala sightings within the works area, confirmation ceased of clearing activities and reporting of when works commenced for recording in the Project Fauna register. The Trigger Action Response Plan (Appendix E) provides further information regarding the management of Koala, Microbat and other threatened species finds.

All fauna will be handled in accordance with the fauna handling procedure included as Appendix H.

5.11 Environmental Control Maps

Trans4m Rail will use Environmental Control Maps (ECMs) to aid in the identification and protection of significant biodiversity features associated with the project. The ECMs will include:

Specific measures included in the relevant work method statements to prevent adverse impacts to environmentally sensitive areas or items.

ECMs are further discussed in Section 8 of the CEMP.

5.12 Environmental Management Procedures, Forms and Other Documents

The Project's EMS procedures, project specific procedures, forms and other documents provide instructions and records related to both environmental and non-environmental activities throughout the Project. These are discussed in detail in Section 8 of the CEMP.

5.13 Communication and Complaints Management

Revision No: 1 T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



Trans4m Rail's Community and Stakeholder Engagement Management Plan (CSEMP) and Section 8 of the CEMP details communication and complaints management processes and procedures. The CSEMP identifies key stakeholder groups that will be consulted and engaged with during the Project and outlines the communication tools that will be used to consult and engage with these groups. During construction, any comments, feedback or complaints relating to biodiversity issues will be addressed through the Complaints Management System. The Complaints Management System includes a complaint register within the stakeholder database Consultation Manager. The complaints register will be developed in accordance with AS 4269: Complaints Handling.

5.14 Incidents, Emergencies and Non-Conformity

In the event of an environmental, social performance, sustainability heritage or other incident, an Incident and Emergency Response Plan will be implemented. Environmental incidents are managed in accordance with Trans4m Incident and Event Management procedure (T4MR-MPR-SQE-010), ARTC's Project Environmental Incident and Reporting Procedure (5-9020-0000-EEC-PR0001) and project approvals or licences. Incidents, emergencies, response plans and non-conformities are discussed in detail in Section 9 of the CEMP.

5.15 BMP Review and Revision Process

This BMP is a 'live' and 'working' document. As required by Trans4m Rail's EMS requirements, the Environment Manager will conduct regular reviews of the BMP at intervals of not less than six months and ensure that the BMP is formally reviewed and updated at least annually, or earlier as change requirements dictate. The CEMP and sub-plans review, and revision process is discussed in detail in Section 10 of the CEMP.



6 Environmental Management Measures

Table 9 details the mitigation measures that will be implemented by Trans4m Rail to manage construction risks to biodiversity.

Table 9: Biodiversity Mitigation Measures

| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | | | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| PRE-CO | NSTRUCTION | | | | | |
| B1 | Impacts to plant community types will be minimised and will not exceed those identified in CoA 17 (Table E1 below). Table E1: Native Vegetation Impacted | | | CoA Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- | Entire project | Project Director Environment Manager Site Engineer |
| | VEGETATION ZONE AND PLANT COMMUNITY TYPE (PCT) ID AND NAME | TEC UNDER THE EPBC ACT (HA) | TOTAL AREA IMPACTED (HA) | Clearing register | | |
| | Zone 1 - PCT27 (BR233, NA219) Weeping Myall open woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Weeping Myall Woodlands – 9.16 | 17.94 | | | |
| | Zone 2 - PCT35 (BR120, NA117) Brigalow – Belah open forest / woodland on alluvial often gilgaied clay from Pilliga Scrub to Goondiwindi, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Brigalow (Acacia harpophylla dominant and codominant) – 16.13 | 17.31 | | | |
| | Zone 3 - PCT39 (BR130, NA129) Coolabah – River Coolabah - Lignum woodland wetland of frequently flooded floodplains mainly in the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion | Coolabah - Black Box Woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains and the Brigalow Belt South Bioregions – 1.74 | 1.74 | | | |
| | Zone 4 - PCT52 (BR191, NA187) Queensland Bluegrass +/- Mitchell Grass grassland on cracking clay floodplains and alluvial plains mainly the northern-eastern Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion | Natural Grasslands on Basalt and Fine- textured Alluvial Plains of Northern NSW and Southern Qld – 432.07 | 432.07 | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| ID | ID MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | | | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | Zone 5 - PCT56 (BR186, NA182) Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay- loam soils on alluvial plains of north- central NSW | Not listed | 143.95 | | | |
| | Zone 6 - PCT56 (BR186, NA182) Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay- loam soils on alluvial plains of north- central NSW (Derived - Native Grasslands) | Not listed | 249.85 | | | |
| | Zone 7 - PCT71 (BR127, NA126) Carbeen – White Cypress Pine - River Red Gum - bloodwood tall woodland on sandy loam alluvial and aeolian soils in the northern Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion | Not listed | 0.51 | | | |
| | Zone 8 - PCT 78 River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Not listed | 11.82 | | | |
| | Zone 9 - PCT 135 Coobah - Western Rosewood low open tall shrubland or woodland mainly on outwash areas in the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Not listed | 9.50 | | | |
| | Zone 10 - PCT 413 Silver-leaved Ironbark - White Cypress Pine - box dry shrub grass woodland of the Pilliga Scrub - Warialda region, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | Not listed | 5.72 | | | |
| | Total Area Impacted | 459.10 | 890.41 | | | |
| B2 | If Construction is required outside of thos of the biodiversity assessment for the EIS and analysis through a Consistency Asse Section 3.3 of this plan). | e areas previously asse and SPIR, additional f essment will be undertal | essed as part ield survey ken (refer to | CEMP, BMP | Prior to construction Construction | Project Director Environment Manager Site Engineer |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| B3 | Clearing of Koala habitat, as identified by the CoA E23 (Ta be reduced by at least 25%, or as otherwise agreed by the Prior to construction commencing, key construction and er personnel will assess the Construction Impact Zone (CIZ) identify areas where Koala Habitat (and other mapped veg retained. | ring of Koala habitat, as identified by the CoA E23 (Table E4 below), will educed by at least 25%, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary. to construction commencing, key construction and environmental onnel will assess the Construction Impact Zone (CIZ) issued by ARTC and tify areas where Koala Habitat (and other mapped vegetation) can be ned. | | Prior to construction | Construction Manager Environment Manager Engineer |
| | As required by CoA 24, ARTC will submit a report to the P EES and DAWE for information on the final construction for demonstrating how impacts to Koala Habitat shown in Tab reduced to at least <u>131.43 ha</u> within six months after the construction. This process will be achieved via a workshop workshops) with representation from the Environment, Con Engineering and GIS / Survey Teams. | | | | |
| | Table E4: Vegetation Zones/ Plant Community Types Identified as Koala Habitat | | | | |
| | VEGETATION ZONE AND PLANT COMMUNITY TYPE (PCT) ID AND NAME | TOTAL AREA IMPACTED (HA) | | | |
| | Zone 2 - PCT35 (BR120, NA117) Brigalow – Belah open forest / woodland on alluvial often gilgaied clay from Pilliga Scrub to Goondiwindi, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion17.31Zone 3 - PCT39 (BR130, NA129) Coolabah – River Coolabah - Lignum woodland wetland of frequently flooded floodplains mainly in the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion1.74 | 17.31 | | | |
| | | 1.74 | | | |
| | Zone 4 - PCT52 (BR191, NA187) Queensland Bluegrass +/- Mitchell Grass grassland on cracking clay floodplains and alluvial plains mainly the northern- eastern Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion0.08 (scattered trees) | | | | |
| | Zone 5 - PCT56 (BR186, NA182) Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay-loam soils on alluvial plains of north- central NSW | 143.95 | | | |

Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Zone 6 - PCT56 (BR186, NA182) Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay-loam soils on alluvial plains of north- central NSW (Derived - Native Grasslands) | 0.35 (scattered trees) | | | |
| | Zone 8 - PCT 78 River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion | 11.82 | | | |
| | Total area impacted as per EIS | 175.25 | | | |
| | Revised total area of impact (allowing for reduction by 25%) | 131.43 | | | |
| B4 | Prior to construction commencing Environmental Control Maps (ECMs) will be prepared which clearly show all areas of sensitive biodiversity (including threatened flora/ fauna habitat, TECs and weed infestations) clearing boundaries and no-go areas associated with the site. Plans will be made readily available to construction personnel. | | Ecologist pre-clearing survey report, Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) Project induction | Prior to construction | Environment Manager |
| В5 | The CEMP, construction plans and ECMs will clearly document the location and full extent of clearing required. | | CEMP, construction methodology, site layout drawings, Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR-ENV-004) and ECMs. | Prior to construction | Construction Manager Environment Manager |
| B6 | All key actions of this BMP and ECMs (e.g. clearing demarcation, erosion control measures and clearing permit requirements) will be incorporated in relevant project HSEQ risk management documentation (AMS, ITPs, TRA/SWMS and ECMs) | | BMP, ECM | Prior to construction | Project Director Environment Manager |
| B7 | Prior to clearing commencing, demarcation of the approve (CIZ) will be undertaken and the extent of any areas of clear roped flagging or similar. | d clearing boundary aring defined with | Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Site layout drawings and ECMs. | Prior to construction | Environment Manager Survey Manager Construction Superintendent |
| B8 | Prior to clearing, sensitive biodiversity areas (threatened s TECs) occurring outside but in proximity to the clearing bo fenced with appropriate signage to prevent inadvertent acc | becies habitat/ undary will be sess/ impacts. | Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) | Prior to construction | Environment Manager |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) ECMs | | |
| B9 | Prior to clearing commencing a clearing tracking register will be established to accurately track 'as-built' vegetation clearing impacts for the project to demonstrate compliance with relevant CoAs. The register will be maintained (monthly) throughout the project. | Clearing tracking register | Entire project | Environment Manager |
| B10 | Prior to construction pre-clearing surveys of impacted bridges/ culverts would be undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist to identify roosting habitat and presence of microbats. | Ecologist pre-clearing survey report Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) ECMs Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure | Prior to construction commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| B11 | In the event that unidentified threatened species (not considered within the EIS) are identified on the site the Unexpected Finds Procedure (refer to Appendix G) will be initiated with no works to be undertaken within such areas until further assessment is undertaken including: Assessment by ecologist Referral of finding to client and regulatory authorities. Approval to proceed works is received from the client. | Incident and Event Management procedure (T4MR-MPR-SQE-010) Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure | Upon locating an unexpected threatened species. | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| B12 | Prior to construction commencing appropriate local vets or rescue organisation/wildlife carers/facilities will be identified and contacted to seek permission to assist with any injured/ orphaned fauna. Contact details for these companies/ organisations will be included on ECMs. | Community Stakeholder and Engagement Management Plan (CSEMP) Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Prior to construction | Environment Manager |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B13 | Prior to clearing commencing the community, Landcare groups and government agencies will be consulted to determine if retained timber and root balls can be reused in habitat and rehabilitation work. | Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna ECM (7632- T4MR-PL-PES-010) | Prior to clearing commencing | Environment Manager Community and Stakeholder Engagement Manager |
| B14 | Construction works will only be undertaken at dawn and dusk when technically justified and approved via the Project's OOHW Protocol OR where approved by the Project (in accordance with CoA E1, E2 or E3). | Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Prior to construction | Environmental Manager Engineer Superintendent |
| CLEARIN | IG | | | |
| B15 | Prior to clearing commencing pre-clearance surveys will be undertaken by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists within areas of woody native vegetation within the CIZ including: Identification and demarcation of all habitat tree (which are defined as trees containing hollows, cracks or fissures and spouts, active nests, dreys or other signs of recent fauna usage). Identification of other fauna habitat features including fallen timber/hollow logs and burrows. Identification of habitat features that are suitable for translocation or salvage. Identification and demarcation of any threatened flora to be retained occurring in proximity to the CIZ. Updated mapping of weed infestations for the project site. | Ecologist pre-clearing survey report Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure | Prior to clearing commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| B16 | A suitably qualified and licensed fauna spotter catcher will be present during the following clearing activities: Clearing of any mature trees (>3 metres) in height. Removal of habitat trees, stags and nests. The fauna spotter catcher will: Undertake searches during clearing for any fauna and undertake relocation in accordance with the Fauna Handling Procedure (refer to Appendix H). Uninjured animals will be released on the day of capture into nearby suitable secure habitat and would not be held for extended periods of time. | Ecologist pre-clearing survey report Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure | During clearing | Environment Manager Fauna spotter/ catcher |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-P When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Injured animals will be taken to the nearest veterinary clinic or wildlife carer as soon as possible for assessment and treatment prior to being released into nearby suitable secure habitat. | | | |
| B17 | A pre-clearance survey will to be undertaken by a qualified and licensed faun spotter catcher immediately prior to the commencement of any vegetation clearing (on each day of clearing) to identify and relocate fauna within clearin areas. | Qualified fauna spotters on site during clearing activities Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) | During clearing | Environment Manager Fauna spotter/ catcher |
| B18 | Where a Koala is located within a clearing (or works) area, clearing work activities will stop and a 50m buffer will be established around the animal with no clearing within this area to resume until the fauna spotter/ catcher confirms the animal has left the area of its own volition. The Project Team will ensure the Koala has a means of egress to more away from construction so the animal is not isolated with no route to escape. Any Koala record within the site will be reported to Trans4m Rail's Environment Manager. Details of the record including cessation and recommencement dates/ times of clearing activities will be recorded in the project fauna register. | r Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure | During clearing | Entire project Fauna spotter/ catcher Environment Manager |
| B19 | All habitat trees (as defined in B15) will be subject to a two-stage clearing process involving: Initial clearing of non-habitat trees around habitat trees within the immediate vicinity of habitat tree. Allowing habitat trees to stand for at least 48 hours after initial clearing to allow fauna the opportunity to self-relocate. Felling of habitat trees will be supervised by the attending fauna spotter catcher. The use of a harvester head will be used to carefully lower habitat trees to the ground where possible. All habitat trees are to be lowered gently to the ground where possible. Additional steps such as bumping the habitat tree three times over a 5-minute period will be undertaken to encourage fauna | Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) Qualified fauna spotters on site during clearing activities | During clearing | Environment Manager Fauna spotter/ catcher |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY | |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | to vacate prior to felling would be adopted where the potential to lower the tree gently is low. The fauna spotter catcher will search all habitat trees immediately after felling to identify and capture any fauna present. Uninjured animals would be released on the day of capture into nearby suitable secure habitat and would not be held for extended periods of time. Injured animals will be taken to the nearest veterinary clinic or wildlife carer as soon as possible for assessment and treatment. Hollow branches will be salvaged for re-use as hollow logs in adjacent retained vegetation where appropriate. | | | | |
| B20 | Any death of a State or Commonwealth listed threatened fauna species will be reported to ARTC with 24 hours and further notification provided as per; Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, Conditions of Approval (EPBC 2016/7729) and; Critical State Significant Infrastructure Conditions of Approval (CSSI SSI7474) | CEMP Incident and Event Management procedure (T4MR-MPR-SQE-010) | Entire project | Environment Manager Ecologist Fauna spotter/ catcher Construction personnel | |
| B21 | A fauna register will be maintained during clearing by the ecologist/ fauna spotter catcher of: All habitat trees recorded/ cleared. All details of fauna captures/ relocation. All fauna mortalities. Any fauna taken into care and outcomes. | Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) Fauna register | During clearing | Environment Manager Ecologist Fauna spotter/ catcher | |
| B22 | A post-clearing report will be completed at the completion of clearing activities documenting all data collected in the fauna register. | Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) | At the completion of clearing | Environment Manager Ecologist | |
| GENERA | GENERAL CONSTRUCTION | | | | |
| B23 | Employee education and training including inductions for staff, contractors and visitors to the site will include biodiversity issues at the site to ensure all personnel understand responsibilities in relation to the protection and/or minimisation of impacts to native biodiversity. Site inductions will include: | Site Induction Procedure (T4MR-MPR-SQU-001) CEMP ECM's | Site inductions Pre-Start and Toolbox talks | Project Director Environment Manager | |

Issue Date: 20/08/2021


| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Legislative responsibilities including General Environmental Duty and Duty to Notify. Clearing requirements and penalties (including fines) for over- clearing. Construction exclusion zones. Protected area requirements. Project identified sensitive flora and fauna locations and responsibilities in relation to these. Pest and weed awareness and reporting requirements. Fauna interaction rules. | | | |
| B24 | The management of trees in the vicinity of the construction zone will be undertaken in accordance with the AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (incorporating Amendment No. 1 (March 2010)). Tree protection zones (TPZs) will be demarcated by para webbing or similar. | Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (incorporating Amendment No. 1 (March 2010)). | Entire project | Environment Manager Project arborist Construction personnel |
| DEMOLI | TION OF BRIDGES/ CULVERTS (MICROBAT HABITAT) | 1 | 1 | I |
| B25 | Prior to construction, pre-clearing surveys of impacted bridges/ culverts would be undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist to identify roosting habitat and presence of microbats. | Ecologist pre-clearing survey report Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) | Prior to construction | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| B26 | For any structures identified as potential microbat habitat (from surveys undertaken for B25) an additional pre-clearance surveys would be undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist prior to these structures being demolished to determine if microbats are present. | Ecologist pre-clearing survey report Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR-MPR- ENV-004) | Prior to construction | Environment Manager Ecologist Fauna spotter/ catcher |

Issue Date: 20/08/2021

Page 36



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Clearing Permit (T4MR- FRM-ENV-001-02) | | |
| B27 | If small numbers (<10) of non-breeding bats are present (in surveys undertaken for B26) an ecologist would either: Install exclusion after the bats have vacated the site at night. Capture and relocate the bats that evening. | Ecologist preclearing survey Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Prior to demolition of structure | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| B28 | Where larger numbers or breeding microbats are identified a specific plan will be developed and implemented by an ecologist with microbat experience in consultation with ARTC / DPIE. It is noted that ecological management for such instances will vary depending on factors including species, breeding status and seasonality therefore flexibility is required. | Ecologist preclearing survey Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Prior to demolition of structure | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| B29 | Only suitably qualified ecologists with up to date bat Lyssavirus vaccinations will handle microbats. | Safety Management Plan Provision of ecologist license and vaccination records | Entire project | Environment Manager Safety Manager Ecologist |
| B30 | Unless necessary from an Engineering, Quality or Construction perspective, any gaps, joins, lifting points and other void spaces in bridge elements and culverts will not be filled or enclosed to provide microbat roosting habitat. | IFC Design | Prior to installation / replacement of structure | Environment Manager Engineer & Supervisor |
| WORKS | NEAR/ IN CREEKS AND TEMPORARY WATERWAY CROSSINGS | | | |
| B31 | Works within the riparian zone will maximise the preservation of any existing vegetation and minimise disturbance. | Sediment and Erosion Plan Water quality, Sediment and Erosion ECM (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 11) | Entire project | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| B32 | Any instream large woody debris in the development footprint will be relocated upstream or downstream in consultation with the ecologist. | Ecologist preclearing survey Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Entire project | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B33 | Designs for works within or near watercourses will provide for the retention of natural functions and maintenance of fish passage in accordance with Why do fish need to cross the road? Fish passage requirements for waterway crossings (<i>Fairfull and Witheridge, 2003</i>). | Design documents | Entire project | ARTC Project Engineer Environment Manager |
| B34 | Works within watercourses will not commence during/ within 24 hours prior to periods of high rainfall or high flow events. | ESCP Water quality, Sediment and Erosion ECM (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 11) | Entire project | Construction Manager Environment Manager |
| B35 | Any pools in watercourses that would be impacted by construction would be dewatered according to the Dewatering Procedure included in the Fauna Handling Procedure – Appendix H. As part of the dewatering procedure native aquatic fauna will be captured/ relocated with euthanasia of exotic species undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist (refer to Fauna Handling Procedure – Appendix H). NOTE: Euthanasia is not to be undertaken by Project personnel unless under the approval of the Project Ecologist or T4MR Environment Manager. | Qualified ecologist ESCP Water quality, Sediment and Erosion ECM (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 11) Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Entire project | Environment Manager Construction Personnel |
| TRENCH | ES / DEEP EXCAVATIONS | | | |
| B36 | Where possible trenches/ deep excavation will not to be left open overnight. | Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Entire project | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| B37 | For trenches/ excavation left open overnight, a fauna escape ramp/ ladder (plastic garden mesh/ timber plank) will be provided. | Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Entire project | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| B38 | Trenches/ excavations left overnight will be inspected for fauna prior to works commencing the next day with any fauna present to be captured/ relocated by a suitably qualified fauna spotter/ catcher. | Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR- ENV-001-06) | Entire project | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| FAUNA | MORTALITY | | | |
| B39 | If the cause of a listed fauna fatality is from a road strike within the CIZ, a review of avoidance measures will be undertaken and adaptively managed to prevent further deaths. | Toolbox Talks Prestarts | Entire project | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Safety Manager |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B40 | If the cause of a listed fauna fatality is from a road strike on a public road adjacent to the project, a review will be undertaken to ensure project activities are not forcing fauna onto the road. Additional mitigation and / or avoidance measures will be undertaken and adaptively managed to prevent further deaths. | Toolbox Talks Prestarts | Entire Project | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Safety Manager |
| MANAGE | EMENT OF CANE TOADS | | | |
| B41 | Employee education and training inductions for staff, contractors and visitors to the site will include the following project requirements in relation to Cane Toads: Awareness training Any potential siting is to be immediately reported to the Environment Manager The project ecologist is to investigate any potential Cane Toad observations including undertaking targeted surveys within the vicinity of the record If confirmed on site relevant regulatory authorities would be notified within 24 hours with notification to include a management response to be prepared in consultation with a Cane Toad expert including monitoring and control actions to be implemented on the site to eradicate all toads within the CIZ. Advice from Cane Toad expert, Dr Matthew Greenlees: Cane toads have nor do not currently occur in the greater New England region - including in the area between Moree and Narrabri. The current known distribution of toads in New South Wales is essentially east of the Great Diving Range - a considerable distance from the area. In addition, current models predict that the climate in the region is unlikely to be suitable for toads becoming established (Kearney et al. 2008; Kolbe et al. 2010). There have been few historical records of individuals that have been translocated (accidentally) to the region, though never in numbers or to specific areas that have threatened them becoming established (see ALA 2021). If cane toads are detected in the area, expert advice should be sought immediately in initiating control and eradication measures. These will include manual removal of adults and if necessary, ethggs, tadpoles and metamorph (juvenile) toads. Such measures have been demonstrated to be effective for local eradication of small established populations (Greenlees et al. 2018). | PWMP | Entire project | Environment Manager Ecologist Cane Toad expert All works personnel |
| REHABL | | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 olled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B42 | All rehabilitation and revegetation works will be undertaken in accordance with the mitigation measures detailed in Section 6 of the CSWMP. Habitat features, such as woody debris will be scattered throughout rehabilitated areas within the rail corridor in consultation with the ARTC Project Manager / Project Director. | CSWMP | Construction | Environment Manager Construction Manager |
| FIVE-CL | AWED WORM-SKINK (<i>ANOMALOPUS MACKAYI</i>) – SPECIES SPECIFIC MITIC | GATION MEASURES | | |
| B43 | All Project personnel would be subject to a Five-clawed Worm-skink induction that includes: A general description of the Five-clawed Worm-skink (including photos and key identification features). Locations where Five-clawed Worm-skink surveys are required on the project site i.e. Stage 1 CH603.000 to CH625.000 and Stage 3 CH735.000 to CH754.250. Provisions of the project's unexpected finds procedure. | Induction Toolbox Talk | Construction | Environment Manager |
| B44 | Targeted Five-clawed Worm-skink surveys would be undertaken by the Project Ecologist and/or Spotter-Catcher Team prior to and during slashing, clearing of woody vegetation and topsoil stripping activities within the following chainages: - Stage 1: Chainage 603.000 to 625.000; and - Stage 3: Chainage 735.000 to 754.250. The surveys would include active searches of microhabitats, including, carefully turning woody debris, rocks and artificial debris, raking the soil surface or leaf litter beneath trees and looking beneath peeling bark for reptiles or their sloughs; searching for animals during topsoil stripping (working closely with the grader operator). Targeted pre-clearing surveys would comprise a minimum of 1.5 person hours per hectare for habitats of average complexity per targeted species (scaled up or down depending on site complexity). | Project Ecologist and/or Spotter-Catcher Team | Construction | Environment Manager Project's Ecologists Project's Spotter - Catchers |
| B44 | Any Five-clawed Worm-Skinks captured during the works would have the following data collected: GPS Coordinates for capture and relocation site; Date and time; Description of microhabitat at capture site; | | Construction | Environment Manager |



| ID | MEASURE/ REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------|
| | - Validation photos from on top, side, below and close-up photos of forelimbs and hindlimbs; and | | | |
| | - Series of measurements including; snout-vent length, tail length and total length. | | | |
| | Proposed release sites comprise adjacent native grassland or woodland on public land. The following criteria would be considered when selecting specific relocation points: | | | |
| | - Specific relocation sites are to be as close to the capture site as possible. | | | |
| | - Sites must support suitable microhabitat of loose friable soil, with areas of leaf litter, mulch or dense vegetative groundcover which provides cover and foraging resources | | | |
| | - No more than 10 adults and 5 sub adults or hatchlings per 100 m2 of suitable habitat may be relocated to reduce the risk of over stocking | | | |
| | - Relocations would be strategic to minimise the risk of relocated fauna re- entering the site. | | | |
| | NOTE: Additional measures will be taken to avoid relocated individuals from re-entering the construction site i.e. sediment fence, etc. | | | |

| WEED, PEST AND PATHOGEN MANAGEMENT | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ID | Measure / Requirement | Resources | When to Implement | Responsibility |
| PW1 | During the project noxious weeds will be managed in accordance with requirements of the Biosecurity Act 2015 and <i>Biosecurity Regulation 2017</i> , and Weeds of National Significance (WoNS) will be managed in accordance with the Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide. | CEMP PWMP WPM ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001-12) | Throughout project | Environment Manager Construction Managers |
| PW2 | If identified on site pest species and pathogens would be managed in accordance with relevant best practice guidelines. A qualified specialist will be engaged to prepare a specific management procedure in consultation with the client and relevant stakeholders for any pest/ pathogen identified on the site. | Industry best practice | Throughout project | Environment Manager Environmental Coordinators Site Supervisor |
| PW3 | Should pest population control be required, a qualified specialist will be engaged to undertake this work and provide detailed advice. Where pest control has been undertaken, a record will be made and maintained. Monitoring of the effectiveness of the pest control measures as well as | Subcontractor | Throughout project | Environment Manager Environmental Coordinators |



| WEED, P | EST AND PATHOGEN MANAGEMENT | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | notification or neighbouring landholders will be undertaken as advised by the qualified pest specialist. | | | Site Supervisor |
| PRE-CON | ISTRUCTION | | | |
| PW4 | Prior to construction as part of pre-clearing ecologist surveys of the project site, weed infestations will be recorded and mapped on Environmental Control Maps (ECMs) for the project to inform weed management during construction. | Preclearing survey ECM, WPM ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001-12) | Prior to clearing commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| PW5 | Site personnel will be trained during project inductions on target weed species and weed infestations shown on ECMs. Training will include: Identification of weed and pest species known to be present on the site; Ecological impacts associated with invasive weeds and pests; Mitigation and hygiene measures for controlling weeds and pests; Awareness of human vectors in the introduction of weeds and pests. | Project induction | Throughout project | Environment Manager |
| PATHOG | EN MANAGEMENT | | | |
| PW6 | If pathogens are identified on the site a Pathogen Management Procedure will be developed and implemented. This will include but is not limited to: Exclusion zones using fencing and signage; Hygiene washdowns for plant, light vehicles and personnel; and Additional toolbox training in relation to locations of pathogen and requirements for personnel. | | Throughout project | Environment Manager, Engineer, Site supervisor |
| MOVEME | NT OF PLANT/ MACHINERY | | · | |
| PW7 | Mobile plant and vehicles must be clean of any mud or organic material, prior to arriving or departing from site to prevent the spread of weeds and disease. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager, Environmental Coordinators Site supervisor |
| PW8 | Further washdown of vehicles, plant and equipment will be conducted as required. For example, where plant or vehicles have left sealed roads and driven through a known or potentially weed infested area then immediate washing will be required. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager, Environmental Coordinators Site supervisor |



| WEED, P | WEED, PEST AND PATHOGEN MANAGEMENT | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| PW9 | Further washdown of vehicles, plant and equipment will be conducted when transferring between landholdings within the project area. | ECM | Project Delivery | Engineer Site supervisor | |
| PW10 | Washing of vehicles, plant and equipment will be undertaken in an appropriately bunded wash down area. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager, Environmental Coordinators All site personnel | |
| PW11 | Weed hygiene declarations will be obtained for all vehicles, plant and equipment on the site. | Weed hygiene declaration | | Environment Manager Site supervisor | |
| PW12 | Vendors supplying materials with the potential to contain weeds or pests (e.g soil/fill, mulch etc.) will be required to provide written assurance that all supplied materials are free from any weeds or pests. | Weed hygiene declaration or similar | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Engineer | |
| PW13 | All mobile plant and vehicles, including deliveries, must use designated travel routes, site access tracks and lay-down areas. | Vehicle movement plan | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Construction Manager | |
| VEGETA | FION CLEARING | | | | |
| PW14 | Weed control of identified areas of noxious weeds will be undertaken prior to/ during clearing and grubbing involving the following methods: Spraying with herbicides; and/or Mechanical removal. | ECM, WPM ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001- 12) Weed control contractor | Prior to/ during clearing | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor | |
| PW15 | Where practicable, areas known to be infested with weeds will be cleared separately to non-infested areas to prevent cross contamination and reduce vehicle/plant/equipment cleaning requirements. | ECM | During clearing | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor | |
| PW16 | Erosion and sediment control techniques will be undertaken to assist with the management of removed vegetation and subsequent exposed soils and to prevent further weed outbreaks. | Progressive erosion sediment control plan (PESCP) | Immediately after clearing | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor | |
| PW17 | Any vegetation stockpiled after clearing will be managed to prevent the spread of weeds. | ECM | Immediately after clearing | Environment Manager Superintendent | |
| USE OF H | IERBICIDE | | | | |
| PW18 | Herbicide application will only be undertaken by suitably qualified personnel in strict accordance with the requirements of the Pesticides Act | Weed control contractor | Project Delivery | Environment Manager | |

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| WEED, P | WEED, PEST AND PATHOGEN MANAGEMENT | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 1999 so as not pose a threat to site personnel or nearby sensitive receivers. | | | |
| PW19 | Herbicide application will be undertaken during optimal seasonal conditions and in accordance with manufactures guidelines on application rates, intervals etc. | Weed control contractor | Project Delivery | Environment Manager |
| PW20 | All chemical applications will be communicated and coordinated with relevant land holders. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM-ENV-001-12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Community consultation manager |
| PW21 | Application of herbicide will only be applied such that impacts on surrounding properties (including agricultural land/ sensitive environments) are avoided. | ECM | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Community Engagement Manager |
| TOPSOIL | STRIPPING | | | |
| PW22 | Topsoil stripped from areas containing high densities of weeds will be treated and / or disposed of according to jurisdictional requirements. This may include on site burial or removal to an appropriately licensed waste management facility. | ECM | During topsoil stripping | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| GENERA | L CONSTRUCTION | | | |
| PW23 | Weekly inspections for weed and pest infestations will be undertaken to assess the need for control measures during construction. Inspections for weeds and pests will be undertaken by person(s) appropriately trained in the identification of weeds and pests of significance in NSW and Nationally. | Weekly inspections | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW24 | Any weed infestations recorded during the project will be controlled using the following methods: Spraying with herbicides; and/or Mechanical removal. | Weekly inspections | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW25 | Construction sites will be managed to avoid the creation of habitat that favours pest species i.e. avoiding poor housekeeping, stockpiles of large woody debris and / or poor waste management. | Weekly Inspections | Project Delivery | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| DOCUME | NTATION | | | |



| WEED, PEST AND PATHOGEN MANAGEMENT | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|
| PW26 | Documentation (including disposal receipts) will be maintained for all pest animal and weed control activities and will include records regarding application of herbicide. | | Project Delivery | Environment Manager |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



7 **Sustainability**

The N2NS Project will pursue an Infrastructure Sustainability Council of Australia (ISCA) rating under the IS Rating Scheme V1.2.

The IS Rating Scheme (IS) is a comprehensive rating system for evaluating sustainability across the planning, design, construction and operational phases of infrastructure programs, projects, networks and assets. IS evaluates the sustainability performance of the quadruple bottom line (Governance, Economic, Environmental and Social) of infrastructure development.

This plan relates to Eco-1 Ecological Value and Eco-2 Habitat Connectivity. Eco-1 is measured on a sliding scale and Trans4m Rail will be aiming for an increase in ecological value of 5% and Level 1 for Eco-2. ISCA benchmarks are shown in Table 10 below.

The above targets will be achieved via the implementation of the mitigation measures detailed in Table 10 above.

Table 10: ISCA Scorecard Biodiversity Benchmarks

| | LEVEL 1 | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3ENCHMARK | ECO-1 ECOLOGICAL | ALUE (LEVEL 1 TO 3 ON A SLIDING | SCALE) |
| | The ecological value of the infrastructure site is maintained. | The ecological value of infrastructure site is enhanced by 0 to 20%. | Fractions of Levels may be achieved on a sliding scale up to 20% for Level 3. |
| | ECO-2 HABITAT CONNECTIVITY | | |
| | The existing degree of habitat connectivity is maintained. | NA | NA |

8 **Declaration of Accuracy**

I declare that to the best of my knowledge, all the information contained in, or accompanying, this document is complete, current and correct. In making this declaration, I am aware that section 491 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) makes it an offence in certain circumstances to knowingly provide false or misleading information or documents to specified persons who are known to be performing a duty or carrying out a function under the EPBC Act or the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000 (Cth). The offence is punishable on conviction by imprisonment or a fine, or both. I am authorised to bind the approval holder to this declaration, and I have no knowledge of that authorisation being revoked at the time of making this declaration."

Authorised Signatory (Trans4m Rail):

Michael Matthews (T4MR Environment Manager)

Date:

20/08/21



Appendix A Construction Pest and Weed Management Plan

Construction Pest and Weed Management Appendix

22200

Narrabri to North Star (N2NS)

2600-0018 N2NS SP1 SUBMISSION BY TRANS4M RAIL

A MORE PROSPEROUS AUSTRALIA WITH A WORLD-CLASS SUPPLY CHAIN BASED ON A FAST, SAFE, RELIABLE, CONNECTED INLAND RAIL





Document Control

| Document Title | Construction Pest and Weed Management Appendix | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| T4MR Document No. | 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01 | | | | |
| ARTC Document No. | N/A | | | | |
| Prepared By | David Carberry (Environment and Sustainability Manager) Adam Playne (Senior Environmental Advisor) | | | | |
| Reviewed By | David Havilah (GeoLINK Ecologist) / Michael Matthews (Environment Manager) | | | | |
| Document Owner | Michael Matthews | | | | |
| | REVIEWED BY APPROVED BY | | | | |
| Name | Michael Matthews Jon Holmes | | | | |
| Title | Environment Manager Project Director | | | | |
| Signature | Refer Aconex Workflow Refer Aconex Workflow | | | | |
| Date | | | | | |

Revision History

| REVISION | DATE ISSUED | DESCRIPTION |
|----------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| А | 08/10/2020 | Issued for Internal Review |
| В | 30/10/2020 | Issued for External Review |
| С | 13/01/2021 | Amended to address stakeholder comments |
| D | 25/02/2021 | Amended to address stakeholder comments |
| E | 08/03/2021 | Amended to address stakeholder comments |
| F | 26/03/2021 | Amended to address stakeholder comments |
| 0 | 08/04/2021 | Issued for Use |
| 1 | 20/08/2021 | Updated to include weed management measures as recommended by the Project Ecologist |

ARTC Document Number: N/A



Table of Contents

| 1 | Introduction | . 3 |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1.1 | Purpose | 3 |
| 1.2 | Scope | 3 |
| 1.3 | Objectives and Targets | 3 |
| 1.4 | Legislation | . 4 |
| 1.5 | Guidelines | . 4 |
| 2 | Pest and Weed Species Recorded on the Site | . 6 |
| 3 | Potential Impacts | . 8 |
| 4 | Mitigation and Management Measures | . 9 |
| 4.1 | EIS | . 9 |
| 4.2 | Pest and Pathogen Management | . 9 |
| 4.3 | Weed Management | . 9 |
| 4.4 | Weed Hygiene Protocol | . 9 |
| 5 | Risk Management | 11 |

Appendices

No table of contents entries found.

List of tables

| Table 1: Objectives and Targets | 3 |
|------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table 2: Pest and Weed Species List | 6 |
| Table 3: Pest and Weed Species Risk Management | 11 |
| Table 4: Pest and Weed Mitigation Measures | 17 |

List of figures

No table of figures entries found.

ARTC Document Number: N/A



1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This Construction Pest and Weed Management Plan (PWMP) forms part of the Biodiversity Management Sub-Plan (BMP) for the Narrabri to North Star (Separable Portion 1) (N2NS) Project and details the key mitigation measures that will be implemented by Trans4m Rail in order to minimise and manage the N2NS project impacts on the environment and community. This PWMP outlines the potential impacts associated with pests and weeds and corresponding mitigation measures likely during the construction phase of the N2NS project which will be undertaken by Trans4M Rail. Construction of N2NS will result in activities that have the potential to be impacted by pests and weeds listed under Commonwealth, NSW and Local Government regulations.

1.2 Scope

The PWMP builds on the biodiversity assessment undertaken for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Submissions Preferred Infrastructure Report (SPIR) which assessed impacts of pests and weeds during construction. Trans4m Rail and our expert ecologists GeoLINK identified a suite of reasonable and feasible pest and weed management and mitigation measures to be implemented during construction of N2NS. The PWMP outlines these management and mitigation measures.

1.3 Objectives and Targets

Pest and Weed objectives and targets are outlined in Table 1 and have been established as a means of guiding environmental management of the project and assessing environmental performance. These objectives and targets have been developed with consideration of key pest and weed issues identified through the environmental assessment and risk assessment process as well as the CoAs and RMMs.

The objectives and targets are consistent with Trans4m Rail's Environment Policy and will assist in monitoring whether the commitments of the policy are being met. The performance of the Project will be monitored against the objectives and targets. Project performance monitoring will be documented in the Project construction compliance reports and at least on a quarterly basis as part of the management review.

A key objective of this PWMP is to ensure that the project will:

Prevent the introduction and / or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained areas of Belson's Panic, Natural Grasslands, Brigalow and Weeping Myall Woodlands ecological communities as required under EPBC CoA 1(b).

Given the inability of the project to access areas outside of the approved Construction Impact Zone (CIZ) associated with retained areas containing MNES this plan focuses on measures to detect and control weeds within the CIZ and avoid transportation of weeds offsite through implementation of weed hygiene protocols. Associated mitigation measures are considered to be sufficient to achieve this and other objectives included in Table 1.

| OBJECTIVE | TARGET |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prevent the introduction and/ or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained areas of Belson's Panic, Natural Grasslands, Brigalow and Weeping Myall Woodlands ecological | Suppression and control of all weed infestations within the Construction Impact Zone (CIZ) in accordance with this Pest and Weed Management Plan throughout the entire project. Implement the weed hygiene protocol including vehicle wash-down and weed hygiene declarations for all plant / vehicles entering/ leaving the site. |
| communities. | |

Table 1: Objectives and Targets

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A



| OBJECTIVE | TARGET |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No new pests or weeds (listed under Commonwealth, NSW and Local Government Regulations) introduced to the | Trans4m Rail personnel (including sub-contractors, etc.) will complete an environmental induction (including requirements in relation to weed reporting / management and weed hygiene protocols) prior to commencing works on-site. |
| construction activities. | Two environmental communications will be undertaken each month of the project (i.e. toolbox talks, site meetings, etc.) where pest and weed issues will be specifically addressed. |
| | Weekly environmental inspections will be undertaken to monitor the presence, abundance and types of pests and/ or weeds present and record any new weed infestations or pest populations. |
| | Implement the weed hygiene protocol including vehicle wash-down and weed hygiene declarations for all plant/ vehicles entering/ leaving the site. |
| | Commence control of newly identified pest or weed infestations (WONS or Priority Weeds) within 2 weeks of identification. |
| No increase in the abundance or distribution of pests or weeds currently existing | Undertake weekly environmental inspections to monitor the presence, abundance and types of pests and weed species present and record any new weed infestations or pest populations. |
| within the project area as a result of construction activities. | Implement the weed hygiene protocol including vehicle wash-down and weed hygiene declarations for all plant/ vehicles entering/ leaving the site. |
| | Any weed infestations recorded during the project will be controlled using herbicide application or manual removal. |
| Maintain positive and cooperative relationships with | Stakeholder complaints will be addressed in a timely and appropriate manner. |
| local communities and Avoid detrimental pest or weed impacts on adjacent | Non-conformances and corrective actions will be managed in accordance with Section 8 of the CEMP. |
| landholdings. | Full compliance with and no breaches of the legislative requirements (i.e. EPBC Act, CoA's, SPIR, RMMs and Project EIS) relevant to the construction phase of the Project. |
| | Full implementation and compliance with mitigation measures within this Pest and Weed Management Plan. |

The implementation of the mitigation measures will ensure the performance targets are achieved. This will be managed through project inductions, specialised training, toolbox talks, inspections, and environmental monitoring and auditing. Project inductions will inform Trans4m Rail personnel (including subcontractors) of the management measures, while toolbox talks, and specialised training will ensure they are reinforced throughout the construction program.

1.4 Legislation

Legislation considered during the development of the PWMP includes:

- Biosecurity Act (Commonwealth), 2015
- Biosecurity Act (NSW), 2015
- Biosecurity Regulation (NSW), 2017
- Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals (NSW) Act, 1994
- Pesticides Act, 1999
- Local Land Services Act, 2013
- Game and Feral Animal Control Act, 2002

1.5 Guidelines

Guidelines and standards relating to the management of pests and weeds include:

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A



- NSW Weed Control Handbook, DPI 2018
- Factsheet: Vehicle Biosecurity Kit Plant Industries, Department of Primary Industries, 2012
- Noxious and Environmental Weed Control Handbook, 6th Edition, DPI 2014
- Bringing Feed and Fodder into NSW: High Risk Weeds, DPI 2018
- Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide (Commonwealth)
- North West Regional Strategic Weed Management Plan 2017 2022, Local Land Services 2017
- Weed Management and Disposal Guide, Transport for NSW 2016.

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



2 Pest and Weed Species Recorded on the Site

A summary of the key findings from the EIS and SPIR are outlined below. Further detail can be found in the N2NS EIS and associated Technical Report 2 (Biodiversity Assessment Report).

The majority of the biodiversity assessment area is cleared or contains non-native vegetation. Table 2 lists the weed and pest species as identified N2NS EIS and associated Technical Report 2 (Biodiversity Assessment Report).

Table 2: Pest and Weed Species List

| WEEDS OF NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE (LISTED AS PRIORITY WEEDS BY THE NSW DPI) | RELATIVE ABUNDANCE |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| African Boxthorn (Lycium ferocissimum) | Located in 22 of the 54 plot / transect locations undertaken during the Project EIS. This WONS was located within the following Plant Community Types: |
| | - Weeping Myall open woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Brigalow - Belah open forest / woodland on alluvial often gilgaied clay from Pilliga Scrub to Goondiwindi, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Coolabah - River Coobah - Lignum woodland wetland of frequently flooded floodplains mainly in the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion |
| | - Carbeen - White Cypress Pine - River Red Gum - bloodwood tall woodland on sandy loam alluvial and aeolian soils in the northern Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion |
| | - River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Coobah - Western Rosewood low open tall shrubland or woodland mainly on outwash areas in the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Silver-leaved Ironbark - White Cypress Pine - box dry shrub grass woodland of the Pilliga Scrub - Warialda region, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| Tiger Pear (Opuntia aurantiaca) | Located in 10 of the 54 plot / transect locations undertaken during the Project EIS. This WONS was located within the following Plant Community Types: |
| | - River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Cleared/non-native vegetation |
| Common Prickly Pear (<i>Opuntia stricta</i>) | Located in 20 of the 54 plot / transect locations undertaken during the Project EIS. This WONS was located within the following Plant Community Types: |
| | - Weeping Myall open woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Brigalow - Belah open forest / woodland on alluvial often gilgaied clay from Pilliga Scrub to Goondiwindi, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | - Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay-loam soils on alluvial plains of north-central NSW |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| | | - River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
|----|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | - Silver-leaved Ironbark - White Cypress Pine - box dry shrub grass woodland of the Pilliga Scrub - Warialda region, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion |
| | | - Cleared/non-native vegetation |
| ОТ | HER WEEDS | |
| • | Maynes Pest (Glandularia aristigera) | Identified in 21 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Bathurst Burr (Xanthium spinosum) | Identified in 5 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Rhodes Grass (Chloris gayana) | Identified in 10 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Black-berry Nightshade (Solanum nigrum) | Identified in 15 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Lippia (Phyla canescens) | Identified in 1 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Bishops Weed <i>(Ammi majus)</i> | Identified in 2 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Curled Dock (Rumex crispus) | Identified in 5 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Flaxleaf Fleabane (Conyza bonariensis) | Identified in 28 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Spiked Malvastrum (Malvastrum americanum) | Identified in 19 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Sida spinosa | Identified in 18 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Cat-head (Tribulus terrestris) | Identified in 15 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) | Identified in 8 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| | Cobblers Pegs (Bidens pilosa) | Identified in 21 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| | Bearded Oats (Avena barbata) | Identified in 3 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| | Gomphrena Weed (Gomphrena celosioides) | Identified in 10 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Turnip Weed (Rapistrum rugosum) | Identified in 14 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Panic Veldtgrass (Ehrharta erecta) | Identified in 10 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| • | Urochloa Grass (Urochloa panicoides) | Identified in 17 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| | Slender Panic (Paspalidium gracile) | Identified in 17 of the 54 plot / transect locations. |
| PE | ST SPECIES | |
| • | Pig (Sus scrofa) | Unknown |
| | Red fox (Vulpes vulpes) | Unknown |
| | Cat (<i>Felis catus</i>) | Unknown |
| | Brown hare (Lepus capensis) | Unknown |
| | House mouse (Mus musculus) | Unknown |
| | Sheep (Ovis aries) | Unknown |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



3 Potential Impacts

Technical Report 2 (Biodiversity Assessment Report) of the EIS identified the following risks associated with weeds on the project:

- > Potential infestations from weeds being brought onto the site with imported materials; and
- Increases in weeds associated with vegetation clearing.

The increased presence of weeds within the Development Site has the potential to decrease the value of retained vegetation to native species, particularly threatened species.

Other identified indirect impacts associated with pests and weeds from the EIS include:

- Dispersal of weed propagules (seeds, stems and pollen) into areas of native vegetation through erosion (wind and water) and the movement of workers and vehicles;
- Potential spread of soil-borne pathogens of native plants (for example, Phytophthora (*Phytophthora cinnamomi*) spread on machinery;
- Potential spread of Chytrid fungus into local native frog populations, through soil and water on machinery and through human contact; and
- The potential for weeds and disease to be transferred from one property to another via construction vehicles or machinery, or construction crew clothing and footwear; and attraction of pest animals to construction rubbish bins.



4 Mitigation and Management Measures

4.1 EIS

The following management measures were recommended in the Technical Report 2 (Biodiversity Assessment Report) of the EIS to minimise the potential impacts and spread of weeds during the construction of the proposal:

- Any vehicles or equipment being brought onto the Development Site to be involved in ground disturbance activities and/or travelling around the site must be inspected and cleaned prior to commencing work to limit the spread of seeds and plant material between sites;
- Regular inspections will be undertaken in the Development Site to monitor the spread of weed species;
- > Training of environmental personnel on the identification of target weed species; and
- Any outbreak of priority weeds will be controlled and eradicated as required under the Biosecurity Act, 2015, and as required by the Local Land Services and other relevant authorities. Weed control and eradication techniques may include:
 - spraying with herbicides
 - ✓ physical removal e.g. chipping, and/or
 - minimisation of area available for weed infestation, through prompt revegetation of bare areas.

These measures have been incorporated into this PWMP.

4.2 Pest and Pathogen Management

Should pest or pathogen infestations be identified at the site, a qualified specialist will be engaged to prepare a specific management procedure in consultation with the client and relevant stakeholders.

Mitigation and management measures are included in Table 4 to avoid an increase in distribution and abundance of pest species as a result of construction activities.

4.3 Weed Management

Initial identification of weeds on the project site would be undertaken during ecologist pre-clearing surveys prior to vegetation clearing commencing with locations of priority weed infestation to be shown in project Environmental Control Maps (ECMs). Initial weed control would then be undertaken prior to/ during clearing by:

- Spraying of herbicides; and
- Mechanical removal.

Ongoing management of weeds would be undertaken throughout the project facilitated by the identification of weed infestations during weekly environmental inspections.

Any use of herbicides will be strictly in accordance with the *Pesticides Act 1999* and product label. Where approved herbicides are required to be used to control weed species near water, i.e. creeks, drainage depressions and stormwater drains, extra care is to be taken to limit overspray. All herbicides will only be used during suitable weather conditions. Herbicides will not be used without the prior approval of the Environment Manager or delegate. If a non-glyphosate herbicide is to be used, approval from the Safety Manager and the Environmental Manager is required.

4.4 Weed Hygiene Protocol

A weed hygiene protocol will be implemented on the project to prevent the spread of weed propagules to/ from the site. This would include:

All mobile plant and vehicles will be clean of mud or organic material prior to arriving and departing from the site.

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A



- Wash-down of vehicles / plant will be undertaken at designated bunded wash-down areas in the following instances:
 - ✓ Vehicles / plant arrive at site with mud / organic materials.
 - ✓ All vehicles / plant leaving the site
 - ✓ Where vehicles / plant have moved through areas mapped as containing weed infestations as shown on ECMs.
- Weed hygiene declarations will be obtained for all vehicles, plant and equipment when entering / leaving the site.

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



5 Risk Management

Likelihaad Dating

Section 7 of Trans4m Rail's CEMP contains a project wide risk assessment, which includes an assessment of construction phase pest and weed risk. Table 3 below summarises (and expands on) those risks from the CEMP, whilst assessing and scoring the pre and post mitigation risk in accordance with the matrices below. Indicative mitigation measures and documents have been identified in Table 3, whilst a full and comprehensive list of mitigation measures is provided in Table 4. In the event of an inconsistency between Table 3 and 4, the mitigation measure/s shown in Table 4 take precedence for the extent of the inconsistency.

Dick / Opportunity Dating Table

| Likelihood Raung | | Risk / Opportui | nity Rau | Ig Table | ; | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|---|---------|-----|---|---|
| | | | | | c | ONSEQUE | NCE | | |
| PROBABILITY OR CHANCE | QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT | RECURRENCE TIMEFRAME | | RATING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ≥ 90% | Almost certain to occur during the project / contract life | Less than "Monthly" | ٥ | ALMOST CERTAIN | D | с | в | А | A |
| 51% to 89% | Considered likely to occur during the project / contract life | "Monthly" to "Yearly" | | LIKELY | D | D | с | В | A |
| 30% to 50% | Considered a possible occurrence during the project / contract life | Between 2 and 5 years | (ELIHOO | POSSIBLE | E | D | с | с | в |
| 5% to 29% | Considered unlikely to occur during the project / contract life | Between 5 and 20 years | ŝ | UNLIKELY | E | E | D | с | в |
| < 5% | Considered a rare occurrence to happen during the project / contract life | Greater than every 20 years | | RARE / REMOTE | E | E | D | D | с |

Opportunity Consequence Rating

| CONSEQUENCE - RISK | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| RATING | 1 | 1 2 3 | | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Workplace Health and Safety | First aid injury, and/or Minor safe working issues | Medical treatment, and/or Moderate safe working breach likely to impact on operations | Serious medical / hospital treatment resulting in need alternate working or resulting in lost time injury, and/or Significant safe working breach with actual impact on operations | Serious or permanent Injury, and/or Significant safe working beach with immediate impact on operations on one or more worksites | 1 or more fatalities, and/or Major breach of safe working with immediate and extensive impact or or more worksites | | | |
| Budget (\$AUD) | < \$8,307,028 (<1%) under project budget | \$8,307,028 to \$31,535,130 (1% to 3%) under project budget | \$31,535,130 to \$31,535,130 (3% to 5%) under project budget | \$31,535,130 to \$83,070,281 (5% to 10%) under project budget | >\$83,070,281 (>10%) under project budget | | | |
| Time Schedule (Target Program) | < 10 days (<1% of program) under the critical path program | 10 to 21 days (1% to 2% of program) under the critical path program | 21 to 32 days (2% to 3% of program) under the critical path program | 32 to 54 days (3% to 5% of program) under the critical path program | >54 days (>5% program) under th oritical path program | | | |
| Environment & Natural Resources | * Low severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability that are promptly reversible and affected area is within the site boundary | * Nuisance or low severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability that are promptly reversible and affected area is outside the site boundary | * Moderate severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability where the affected area is within the site boundary | Moderate seventy environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability where the affected area is outside the site boundary | High severity environmental impac or impact on natural resources availability at local scale significanc | | | |

Table 3: Pest and Weed Species Risk Management

| CONSTRUCTIO N ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIA L IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATIO N | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWIN G MITIGATIO N | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Entire project | Introduction and/ or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained areas of Belson's Panic, | В | Throughout the project priority weeds will be managed in accordance with requirements of the <i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i> and <i>Biosecurity</i> <i>Regulation 2017</i>, and Weeds of National Significance (WoNS) | E | Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide. NSW Weed Control Handbook. |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| CONSTRUCTIO N ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIA L IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATIO N | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWIN G MITIGATIO N | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Natural Grasslands, Brigalow and Weeping Myall Woodlands ecological communitie s. | | will be managed in accordance with the Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide. If identified on site pest species and pathogens will be managed in accordance with relevant best practice guidelines. Should pest population control be required, a qualified specialist will be engaged to undertake this work and provide detailed advice. Where pest control has been undertaken, a record will be made and maintained. Monitoring of the effectiveness of the pest control measures as well as notification or neighbouring landholders would be undertaken as advised by the qualified pest specialist. | | Noxious and Environmenta I Weed Control Handbook. Weed Management and Disposal Guide. Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- FRM-ENV- 001-12) |
| Pre- construction | Potential spread of weeds, pest species and pathogens. | В | As part of ecologist pre-clearing surveys of the project site, weed infestations would be recorded and mapped on Environmental Control Maps for the project to inform management during construction. Site personnel will be trained on the locations and target weed species during project inductions which would include the following specific components for weed and pest management: Identification of weed and pest | E | Environment al Control Maps Project induction Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- FRM-ENV- 001-12) |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| CONSTRUCTIO N ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIA L IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATIO N | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWIN G MITIGATIO N | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | species known to be present on the site, Ecological impacts associated with invasive weeds and pests, Mitigation and hygiene measures for controlling weeds and pests, Awareness of human vectors in the introduction of weeds and pests. If pathogens are identified on the site a Pathogen Management Procedure will be developed and implemented. This will include but is not limited to: Exclusion zones using fencing and signage, Hygiene washdowns for plant, light vehicles and personnel, and Additional toolbox training in relation to location of pathogen and requirements for personnel. | | |
| Movement of plant/ machinery | Spread or introduction of weed propagules to/ from the site from movements of vehicles/ plant. | В | All vehicles or equipment being brought onto the site must be inspected and cleaned (i.e. must be clean of any mud or organic material) prior to commencing work to limit the spread of weed seeds and plant material between sites. All plant/ equipment demobilising from site | E | Vehicle/ plant hygiene declarations Project induction Factsheet: Vehicle Biosecurity Kit – Plant Industries Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| CONSTRUCTIO N ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIA L IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATIO N | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWIN G MITIGATIO N | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | must be inspected and cleaned prior to leaving. Washing of vehicles, plant and equipment will be undertaken in an appropriately bunded wash down area. Weed hygiene declarations or the like will be obtained for all vehicles, plant and equipment entering/ leaving the site. All mobile plant and vehicles, including deliveries, must use designated travel routes, site access tracks and lay-down areas. | | FRM-ENV- 001-12) |
| Vegetation clearing | Proliferation and spread of weeds on the project site and surrounds as a result of ground disturbance. | В | Weed control of identified areas of priority weeds would be undertaken prior to/ during clearing and grubbing involving the following methods: Spraying with herbicides. Mechanical removal. Where practicable, areas known to be infested with weeds will be cleared separately to non- infested areas to prevent cross contamination and reduce vehicle/plant/equipme nt cleaning requirements. Erosion and sediment control techniques will be undertaken to assist with the management of removed vegetation and subsequent exposed soils and to | E | Environmenta I Control Maps Soil and Water Management Plan Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- FRM-ENV- 001-12) |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| CONSTRUCTIO N ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIA L IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATIO N | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWIN G MITIGATIO N | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | prevent further weed outbreaks. Any vegetation stockpiled after clearing will be managed to prevent the spread of weeds. | | |
| Use of herbicide | Adverse impacts to the environment and adjoining lands from herbicide. | C | Herbicide application will only be undertaken by suitably qualified personnel in strict accordance with the requirements of the <i>Pesticides Act 1999</i> so as not pose a threat to site personnel or nearby sensitive receivers. Herbicide application will be undertaken during optimal seasonal conditions and in accordance with manufactures guidelines on application rates, intervals etc. Application of herbicide will only be applied such that unwanted impacts on surrounding properties (including agricultural land) are avoided. All chemical applications will be communicated and coordinated with relevant land holders. | E | Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- FRM-ENV- 001-12) |
| Topsoil stripping | Proliferation and spread of weeds on the project site and surrounds as a result of topsoil stripping. | В | Topsoil stripped from areas containing high densities of weed will be treated and disposed of according to jurisdictional requirements. This may include on site burial or removal to an appropriately licensed waste management facility. | E | Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- FRM-ENV- 001-12) |
| General construction | Proliferation and spread of weeds on | В | Weekly inspections for weed and pest infestations will be | E | Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| CONSTRUCTIO N ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIA L IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATIO N | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWIN G MITIGATIO N | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | the project site and surrounds. | | undertaken to assess the need for control measures during construction. Inspections for weeds and pests will be undertaken by person(s) appropriately trained in the identification of weeds and pests of significance in NSW and Nationally. Any weed infestations recorded during the project would be controlled using the following methods: ✓ Spraying with herbicides. ✓ Mechanical removal. | | FRM-ENV- 001-12) |
| Documentation | Non- Compliance with regulatory requirement s | В | Documentation (including disposal receipts) will be maintained for all pest animal and weed control activities and will include records regarding application of herbicide. | E | Weed & Pest Management ECP (T4MR- FRM-ENV- 001-12) |

Section 26.3 of the N2NS EIS provides a summary of the potential residual impacts for the project with a description of how these potential residual impacts would be managed. No residual construction pest or weed impacts have been identified.

Table 4 details the mitigation measures that will be implemented by Trans4m Rail to manage weed, pest and pathogen risks on the project.

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01



Table 4: Pest and Weed Mitigation Measures

| ID | MEASURE / REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PW1 | During the project priority weeds will be managed in accordance with requirements of the Biosecurity Act 2015 and Weeds of National Significance (WoNS) will be managed in accordance with the Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide. | CEMP PWMP WPM ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) | Throughout project | Environment Manager Construction Managers |
| PW2 | If identified on site pest species and pathogens would be managed in accordance with relevant best practice guidelines. A qualified specialist will be engaged to prepare a specific management procedure in consultation with the client and relevant stakeholders for any pest/ pathogen identified on the site. | Industry best practice | Throughout project | Environment Manager Environmental Coordinators Site Supervisor |
| PW3 | Should pest population control be required, a qualified specialist will be engaged to undertake this work and provide detailed advice. Where pest control has been undertaken, a record will be made and maintained. Monitoring of the effectiveness of the pest control measures as well as notification or neighbouring landholders will be undertaken as advised by the qualified pest specialist. | Subcontractor | Throughout project | Environment Manager Environmental Coordinators Site Supervisor |
| PRE-C | ONSTRUCTION | | | 1 |
| PW4 | Prior to construction as part of pre-clearing ecologist surveys of the project site, weed infestations will be recorded and mapped on Environmental Control Maps (ECMs) for the project to inform weed management during construction. | Preclearing survey ECM, WPM ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) | Prior to clearing commencing | Environment Manager Ecologist |
| PW5 | Site personnel will be trained during project inductions on target weed species and weed infestations shown on ECMs. Training will include: Identification of weed and pest species known to be present on the site; Ecological impacts associated with invasive weeds and pests; Mitigation and hygiene measures for controlling weeds and pests; Awareness of human vectors in the introduction of weeds and pests. | Project induction | Throughout project | Environment Manager |
| PATHO | DGEN MANAGEMENT | | 1 | 1 |
| PW6 | If pathogens are identified on the site a Pathogen Management Procedure will be developed and implemented. This will include but is not limited to: Exclusion zones using fencing and signage; Hygiene washdowns for plant, light vehicles and personnel; and | | Throughout project | Environment Manager, Engineer, Site supervisor |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A



| ID | MEASURE / REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Additional toolbox training in relation to locations of pathogen and requirements for personnel. | | | |
| MOVE | MENT OF PLANT/ MACHINERY | | | |
| PW7 | Mobile plant and vehicles must be clean of any mud or organic material, prior to arriving or departing from site to prevent the spread of weeds and disease. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager, Environmental Coordinators Site supervisor |
| PW8 | Further washdown of vehicles, plant and equipment will be conducted as required. For example, where plant or vehicles have left sealed roads and driven through a known or potentially weed infested area then immediate washing will be required. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager, Environmental Coordinators Site supervisor |
| PW9 | Further washdown of vehicles, plant and equipment will be conducted when transferring between sensitive landholdings within the project area. | ECM | Project Delivery | Engineer Site supervisor |
| PW10 | Washing of vehicles, plant and equipment will be undertaken in an appropriately bunded wash down area. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager, Environmental Coordinators All site personnel |
| PW11 | Weed hygiene declarations will be obtained for all vehicles, plant and equipment on the site. | Weed hygiene declaration | | Environment Manager Site supervisor |
| PW12 | Vendors supplying materials with the potential to contain weeds or pests (e.g soil/fill, mulch etc.) will be required to provide written assurance that all supplied materials are free from any weeds or pests. | Weed hygiene declaration or similar | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Engineer |
| PW13 | All mobile plant and vehicles, including deliveries, must use designated travel routes, site access tracks and lay-down areas. | Vehicle movement plan | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Construction Manager |
| VEGE | TATION CLEARING | | | |
| PW14 | Weed control of identified areas of priority weeds will be undertaken prior to/ during clearing and grubbing involving the following methods: Spraying with herbicides; and/or Mechanical removal. NOTE: The Project's Ecologist has recommended careful excavation and deep burial (<1m below ground level) for the WONS identified (<i>Opuntia spp.</i>) on the project. If the African Boxthorn is not in seed, then it may be slashed / cleared in conjunction with surrounding vegetation. Otherwise, if the African Boxthorn is in seed, then deep burial is the recommended management option. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) Weed control contractor | Prior to/ during clearing | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW15 | Where practicable, areas known to be infested with weeds will be cleared separately to non- | ECM | During clearing | Environment Manager |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A



| ID | MEASURE / REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | infested areas to prevent cross contamination and reduce vehicle/plant/equipment cleaning requirements. | | | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW16 | Erosion and sediment control techniques will be undertaken to assist with the management of removed vegetation and subsequent exposed soils and to prevent further weed outbreaks. | Progressive erosion sediment control plan (PESCP) | Immediately after clearing | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW17 | Any vegetation stockpiled after clearing will be managed to prevent the spread of weeds. | ECM | Immediately after clearing | Environment Manager Superintendent |
| USE O | F HERBICIDE | | | |
| PW18 | Herbicide application will only be undertaken by suitably qualified personnel in strict accordance with the requirements of the Pesticides Act 1999 so as not pose a threat to site personnel or nearby sensitive receivers. | Weed control contractor | Project Delivery | Environment Manager |
| PW19 | Herbicide application will be undertaken during optimal seasonal conditions and in accordance with manufactures guidelines on application rates, intervals etc. | Weed control contractor | Project Delivery | Environment Manager |
| PW20 | All chemical applications will be communicated and coordinated with relevant land holders. | ECM, WPM, ECP (T4MR-FRM- ENV-001-12) | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Community consultation manager |
| PW21 | Application of herbicide will only be applied such that impacts on surrounding properties (including agricultural land/ sensitive environments) are avoided. | ECM | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Community Engagement Manager |
| TOPSO | DIL STRIPPING | · | • | |
| PW22 | Topsoil stripped from areas containing high densities of weeds will be treated and / or disposed of according to jurisdictional requirements. This may include on site burial or removal to an appropriately licensed waste management facility. | ECM | During topsoil stripping | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| GENE | RAL CONSTRUCTION | | 1 | 1 |
| PW23 | Weekly inspections for weed and pest infestations will be undertaken to assess the need for control measures during construction. Inspections for weeds and pests will be undertaken by person(s) appropriately trained in the identification of weeds and pests of significance in NSW and Nationally. | Weekly inspections | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW24 | Any weed infestations recorded during the project will be controlled using the following methods: Spraying with herbicides; and/or Mechanical removal. NOTE: The Project's Ecologist has recommended careful excavation and | Weekly inspections | Project Delivery | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01

ARTC Document Number: N/A



| ID | MEASURE / REQUIREMENT | RESOURCES | WHEN TO IMPLEMENT | RESPONSIBILITY |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | deep burial (<1m below ground level) for the WONS identified (<i>Opuntia spp.</i>) on the project. If the African Boxthorn is not in seed, then it may be slashed / cleared in conjunction with surrounding vegetation. Otherwise, if the African Boxthorn is in seed, then deep burial is the recommended management option. | | | |
| PW25 | Construction sites will be managed to avoid the creation of habitat that favours pest species i.e. avoiding poor housekeeping, stockpiles of large woody debris and / or poor waste management. | Weekly Inspections | Project Delivery | Environment Coordinator Site Supervisor |
| PW26 | Following completion of construction, weed monitoring (and subsequent management) will occur whilst Tran4m Rail has site possession and the EPL remains in place. | Monthly inspections | Rehabilitation and Revegetation | Environmental Coordinator |
| DOCU | MENTATION | | | |
| PW27 | Documentation (including disposal receipts) will be maintained for all pest animal and weed control activities and will include records regarding application of herbicide. | Disposal receipts | Project Delivery | Environment Manager |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04-01



ABOUT THE ARTIST:

I am Ann Johnson, I am a Gamilaroi woman. I am the Eldest of ten children and the mother of four. A grandmother too.

I have always loved art. When I left school I did a Ticket writing traineeship in Newcastle and worked a David Jones. Soon after that I got married and had a family. We moved back to Moree in the early 1980s.

In the early 1990s I did an art course at Moree, which lead to a group of us setting up the Yurundiali Aboriginal Corporation. Janelle Boyd played a pivotal role in the setting up of Yurundiali, which designed and printed fabrics with Aboriginal designs. In 1993 Janelle and I started 'Spirit Lines', we designed and printed them on t-shirts, tights, towels, ironing boards, and cooking mits, these were sold through Amnesty International. In 1995 we had a big exhibition in Moree called 'Sisters under the Skins', we also had an exhibition and fashion parade with Ken Done in Moree.

I produce art most days and if I am not practicing my art I am tossing around design ideas in my head. I like all types of art; I produce a variety of designs, do screen printing, make jewellery and sculpture amongst other things. At the moment I am exploring digitising my designs and then hand painting them using mixed media.



BEYOND THE TRACK: FOR OUR COVER ARTWORK, TRANS4M RAIL IS SUPPORTING AND FEATURING LOCAL MOREE ARTISTS





Appendix B Stakeholder Comments

| DPIE - Biodiver | E - Biodiversity, Conservation and Science - Date 02/12/2020 | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| No | Comment | Page No | Recommendation | Response | | |
| 1 | BCS has reviewed | | | Table 5 (Biodiversity Risk Assessment) moved to Appendix C to avoid | | |
| | the draft document | | | repetition within the document. Various changes made throughout | | |
| | and notes that | | | remainder of document to remove and avoid repetition. | | |
| | repetition exists | | | | | |
| | throughout the | | | | | |
| | BMP, particularly | | | | | |
| | between Table 5 | | | | | |
| | (biodiversity risk | | | | | |
| | assessment), Table | | | | | |
| | 9 (construction | | | | | |
| | monitoring | | | | | |
| | program), and Table | | | | | |
| | 10 (biodiversity | | | | | |
| | mitigation | | | | | |
| | measures). | | | | | |
| | Consideration | | | | | |
| | should be given to | | | | | |
| | whether some of | | | | | |
| | these items could | | | | | |
| | be combined to | | | | | |
| | minimise repetition. | | | | | |
| | Alternatively, where | | | | | |
| | one item is | | | | | |
| | amended as | | | | | |
| | recommended | | | | | |
| | within our response | | | | | |
| | in Attachment A, all | | | | | |
| | other relevant | | | | | |
| | references to that | | | | | |
| | matter must be | | | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| | updated throughout the BMP. | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | BCS notes that in | Section 3.4 added to include clarification of consistency assessment and |
| | numerous parts of | modification. |
| | the BMP it is stated | |
| | that construction | |
| | will only occur | |
| | outside of the | |
| | approved | |
| | construction impact | |
| | zone (CIZ) following | |
| | the approval of a | |
| | Consistency | |
| | Assessment. | |
| | Condition E17 of the | |
| | Infrastructure | |
| | Approval states that | |
| | impacts to plant | |
| | community types | |
| | must not exceed | |
| | those listed in Table | |
| | E1 of the approval. | |
| | BCS would welcome | |
| | clarification on how | |
| | impacts outside of | |
| | those assessed | |
| | through the | |
| | Environmental | |
| | Impact Statement | |
| | can be approved via | |
| | a Consistency | |
| | Assessment rather | |


| | than a project modification. | | |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | All criteria in the BMP including performance targets and monitoring actions should adhere to the SMART principles (specific, measurable, achievable, repeatable, time- bound). A Trigger Action Response Plan based on the plan's objectives, performance measures and monitoring actions should be developed and inserted into the | | Section 1.2 and Table 9 amended to provide a more comprehensive list of targets, following the SMART principles. A TARP has been prepared and included as an Appendix. |
| 4 | Section 1.2 | Increase the | Section 1.2 amended to provide a more comprehensive list of targets, |
| | Objectives and | number of | following the SMART principles. |
| | targets are outlined | performance | |
| | four performance | 1.2 co they cover | |
| | targets that are | the full scope and | |
| | listed are not | objectives of the | |
| | comprehensive and | BMD All targets | |
| | consideration | chould adhere to | |
| | consideration should be given to | should adhere to | |
| | should be given to | | |



| | increasing the targets so they cover the full scope and objectives of the BMP. All performance targets should adhere to the SMART principles (specific, measurable, achievable, repeatable, time- bound). | the SMART principles. | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 5 | Section 3.1 | Add the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. | Section 3.1 Updated to include the EP&A Act. |
| 6 | Section 4.1 | Make the following edit in the third dot point – "with the purchase retirement of ecosystems and species credits". | Section 4.1 Updated to reflect comment. |
| | | 4. Change the reference in dot point 7 from OEH to DPIE. | Section 4.1 Updated to reflect comment. |



| | | 5. Include a summary in section 4.1 of the creeks and rivers that the rail alignment crosses. | Section 4.1 Updated to include minor and major waterways along the alignment. |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7 | In Table 5 (and other sections and tables in the BMP) there is an explanation of the pre-clearing surveys that will be undertaken. If a pre- clearing checklist or proforma exists it would be beneficial to include a copy as an appendix to the BMP. | Include a copy of any pre-clearing survey checklist or proforma as an appendix to the BMP. | Appendix D DRAFT Pre-Clearance Checklist included. This Checklist is Draft and has been provided for information purposes. This Checklist will be further refined and finalised during the site establishment and mobilisation period. |
| 8 | Table 5 Clearing – Unexpected threatened species finds In Table 5 and section 4.11 of the BMP it is stated that unexpected threatened species finds will be documented by an ecologist and | Further information should be included in Table 5 and/or section 4.11 regarding the unexpected threatened species finds protocol that is proposed. | Appendix G added to provide additional detail regarding unexpected finds of threatened species and EEC's. |



| 9 | referred to the client and regulatory authorities. Further information should be provided regarding the individual steps in the protocol. The protocol used in the Inland Rail Parkes to Narromine Flora and Fauna Management Plan could potentially be used as an example. Table 5 | Clarify in Table 5 | Table 5 updated. Hollow branches would be salvaged for re-use as |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Clearing – Felling of habitat trees | habitat trees) | hollow logs in adjacent retained vegetation within the CIZ, where appropriate |
| | BCS supports the | whether salvaged | |
| | elements for re-use. | will be placed in | |
| | The last dot point in | the rail corridor | |
| | Table 5 (Clearing – | or elsewhere. | |
| | felling of habitat | Detail the nature | |
| | trees) states that | of discussions | |
| | hollow branches | with landholders | |
| | would be reused as | where private | |
| | hollow logs in | land will be the | |
| | adjacent retained | recipient site. | |
| | vegetation where | | |
| | appropriate. | | |
| | Clarification is | | |
| | required regarding | | |



| | whether this would be within the rail corridor, or in adjacent private property, or elsewhere. Where it will be outside the rail corridor, an overview of the agreements/discussi ons that have occurred with landholders should be provided | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10 | Table 5Works in proximityto CIZ boundaryReference is madeto the AS 4970-2009Protection of treeson developmentsites. It is not clearwhat this documentis or what itsapplicability is tothis project.No clearing beyond | An explanation should be provided in Table 5 regarding the relevance of the AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites document and how it has been applied to this project | Table 5 updated. -Trees that occur within the CIZ boundary that will be retained will be protected in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (incorporating Amendment No. 1 (March 2010)). -Indirect impacts to any vegetation beyond the CIZ boundary will be managed in general accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (incorporating Amendment No. 1 (March 2010)). |



| | the approved footprint, or construction impact zone (CIZ), should occur without approval. The BMP should clarify what procedure will be enacted if this does occur. Does it constitute an "incident" as per section 4.15? | 10. Clarification in the BMP is required regarding what procedure will be enacted if clearing beyond the construction impact zone occurs. | Table 5 Updated. NOTE: No clearing of vegetation or disturbance to groundcover is permitted beyond the CIZ. Should clearing occur beyond the CIZ this will be considered an environmental incident and managed in accordance with Trans4m Incident and Event Management procedure (T4MR-MPR-SQE-010), ARTC's Project Environmental Incident and Reporting Procedure (5-9020-0000-EEC-PR0001) and notification will occur in accordance with project approvals or licences. Incidents, emergencies, response plans and non-conformities are discussed in detail in Section 9 of the CEMP. |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11 | Table 5 Demolition of bridges/culverts (microbat habitat) It is proposed that a specified plan would be developed where large numbers of breeding microbats are identified (fourth dot point). This plan should be developed in consultation with BCS. | Update Table 5 Demolitions of bridges/culverts (microbat habitat) to state that any specific plan developed to manage large numbers or breeding microbats with regards to bridge or culvert demolition should include consultation with BCS. | Table 5 updated to include consultation with DPIE (BCS). |
| 12 | Table 7 states that a clearing permit is required prior to any clearing of | Provide further detail on the second item in Table 7 regarding | Table 7 updated to include additional detail regarding the Clearing Permit |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| | native vegetation. Further information is required regarding who prepares and approves this permit, and what information it will contain. | the clearing permit, including who prepares and approves it. | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 13 | Table 7; A Water Discharge Permit is | Provide further detail on the fifth | Table 7 updated to include additional detail regarding the Water Discharge Permit. |
| | required prior to | item in Table / | |
| | any water being | regarding the | |
| | site Further | Permit including | |
| | information is | who prepares and | |
| | required regarding | approves it. | |
| | who prepares and | | |
| | approves this | | |
| | permit, and what | | |
| | information it will | | |
| | contain. | | |
| 14 | Section 4.7 | Update section | Section 4.7 updated. Inspections (and reviews of controls) will be |
| | Dot points two and | 4.7 to quantify | undertaken weekly. |
| | three state that | how often | |
| | regular inspections | "regular" | |
| | and reviews will be | inspections and | |
| | undertaken on flora, | reviews of flora, | |
| | fauna and weed | fauna and weed | |
| | management | management | |
| | controls. The | controls will | |
| | frequency of | occur. | |
| | "regular" | | |
| | inspections and | | |



| | reviews should be clearly stated. | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15 | Table 9 Fauna | Add details to Table 9 regarding the monitoring to be undertaken for microbats. | Table 9 updated to include micrbat monitoring measures. |
| 16 | Section 4.12 | Review the text in section 4.12 in the second dot point after "The ECMs will include" and update/edit accordingly. | Section 4.12 corrected. |
| 17 | Table 10 | | |
| | Item B3 states that clearing of koala habitat will be minimised where possible. Condition E23 of the infrastructure approval states that the area of impact to koala habitat must be reduced by 25%. This explicit requirement should be stated in the table. | Update item B3 in Table 10 to state that the clearing of koala habitat will be reduced by 25%. | Table 10 amended to read; Clearing of Koala habitat, as identified by Table E4 (CoA), will be reduced by at least 25%, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary. Prior to construction commencing, key construction and environmental personnel will assess the Construction Impact Zone (CIZ) issued by ARTC and identify areas where Koala Habitat (and other mapped vegetation) can be retained. |



| Iter | m B11 states that | Update item B11 | Table 10 amended ro read; Presence or absence of microbats will also be |
|------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| pre | e-clearing surveys | in Table 10 to | determined during the pre-clearing surveys. |
| of o | culverts and | state that | |
| brid | dges will identify | roosting habitat | |
| mic | crobat roosting | "and the | |
| hab | bitat – this should | presence of" | |
| be | expanded to | microbats will be | |
| incl | lude identifying | determined in | |
| the | e presence of | pre-clearing | |
| mic | crobats. | surveys. | |
| Iter | ms B29-B33 | Add a mitigation | Mitigation Measure B45 added. |
| disc | cuss mitigation | measure to Table | |
| me | easures relevant | 10 "works near/in | |
| tov | works in creeks | creeks and | |
| and | d temporary | temporary | |
| wat | terway crossings. | waterway | |
| An | additional | crossings" that | |
| mit | tigation measure | states spoil and | |
| tha | at should be | stockpiles will be | |
| ado | ded is that all | located in the CIZ | |
| spo | oil and stockpiles | but away from | |
| will | l be located in | riparian areas. | |
| the | e CIZ and will be | | |
| loca | ated an | | |
| арр | propriate | | |
| dist | tance away from | | |
| ripa | arian areas. The | | |
| BM | 1P should specify | | |
| dist | tances, including | | |
| just | tification for the | | |
| dist | tances selected. | | |



| | An additional mitigation measure that could be implemented to minimise impacts to native fauna could be avoiding working hours at dawn and dusk (when fauna are more active). Any night work should avoid excessive use of artificial lighting. An out-of-hours work protocol should be established. | Consider the addition of a mitigation measure to Table 10 to avoid working hours at dawn and dusk. Night work should avoid excessive use of artificial lighting, and an out-of- hours work protocol should be established. | Table 10 amended to read; Where possible, construction works will be avoided at dawn and dusk when some fauna are most active. All night works must be assessed and approved by the Project's Environmental personnel via the Project's Out-Of-Hours Protocol and should avoid the excessive use of artificial lighting. |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 18 | Section 6 Table 11 refers to the IS Rating Scheme V1.2. An explanation of this rating scheme is required, including what actions will contribute to the achievement of a Level 1 benchmark for Eco-2 habitat connectivity | Describe the IS Rating Scheme V1.2 in section 6, including what actions will contribute to achieving the Level 1 benchmark for habitat connectivity. | Table 11 updated. The IS Rating Scheme (IS) is a comprehensive rating system for evaluating sustainability across the planning, design, construction and operational phases of infrastructure programs, projects, networks and assets. IS evaluates the sustainability performance of the quadruple bottom line (Governance, Economic, Environmental and Social) of infrastructure development. |
| 19 | The BMP does not contain a Trigger Action Response Plan (TARP). A TARP | Develop a Trigger Action Response Plan for the BMP. | TARP prepared and included in Appendix. |



| | allows for the development of a threshold or trigger point for each item being monitored and action being implemented, detailing relevant actions that need to occur when thresholds have been exceeded or not met. | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 20 | The BMP discusses the pre-clearing surveys that will be undertaken to detect roosting habitat for microbats, how microbats will be excluded from structures or captured and relocated, and the trigger for developing a | Consider including a microbat procedure in the BMP like that developed for the Parkes to Narromine Flora and Fauna Management Plan. | Microbat Monitoring and Management requirements included in the relevant sections of the BMP i.e. Microbat monitoring requirements detailed in Section 5.6, Microbat Management requirements detailed in Section 6 and Triggers and Actions specific Microbats included in the TARP (Appendix). |



| specific plan where more than 10 microbats are detected. Consideration should be given to including a specific microbat procedure in the BMP, like that developed for the Parkes to Narromine Flora and Fauna Management Plan. Given the potential for the existing rail structures to provide microbat habitat (culverts, bridges, and other drainage structures), consideration should be given to installing habitat structures in the new bridges and structures like microbat habitat | Consider including microbat habitat boxes on new drainage and bridge structures. | Microbat nest boxes will be considered and discussed with the Project Ecologist depending on the following: The quantity (if any) and types of microbats found (or signs of occupation) during pre-clearance surveys. The amount of microbat habitat lost through the retrofit, upgrade or replacement of bridge and culvert structures. The amount of habitat created by the retrofit, upgrade or replacement of bridge and culvert structures. NOTE: A mitigation measure (B30) has been added not to fill in or enclose any joins, gaps, lifting points or other void spaces in culverts or structures. This is to be confirmed with the Construction and Engineering Team. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| new bridges and | | |
| structures like | | |
| microbat habitat | | |
| boxes. | | |
| Pest and Weed Management Appendix | | |



| 22 | Table 1 The performance targets | The performance targets in Table 1 | The Targets detailed in Table 1 have been amended to comply with the SMART principles. |
|----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | listed in Table 1 | should be | |
| | should be reviewed | reviewed to | |
| | to ensure that they | ensure they | |
| | conform to SMART | conform to the | |
| | principles. For | SMART principles. | |
| | example, rather | Consideration | The Targets detailed in Table 1 have been amended to address the |
| | than undertaking | should be given | objectives of the Pest and Weed Management Appenidx. |
| | "regular" | to expanding the | |
| | compliance reviews | performance | |
| | the performance | targets to | |
| | target should state | comprehensively | |
| | a quantifiable | address the | |
| | frequency. | objectives of the | |
| | Consideration | Pest and Weed | |
| | should be given to | Management | |
| | expanding the | Appendix. | |
| | performance targets | | |
| | to adequately | | |
| | address the | | |
| | objectives of the | | |
| | Pest and Weed | | |
| | Management | | |
| | Appendix. For | | |
| | example, | | |
| | infestations of | | |
| | newly identified | | |
| | weeds will be | | |
| | contained within a | | |
| | specific time period. | | |



| 23 | Table 2; | | Update Table 2 to describe the relative abundance and geographic distribution of the weed species along the alignment. | Table 2 updated to include relative abundance of WONS and other weeds identified during the Project EIS. |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 24 | No details are provided in the appendix regarding the pest animals that have been recorded in the project boundary, their relative abundance, what the performance targets are, and what control activities will be undertaken. | | Update the Pest and Weed Management Appendix to include information on the pest animals that have been recorded in the project boundary, including the proposed control activities to be undertaken. | Table 2 updated to include the pest fauna species identified during the Project EIS (NOTE: Information describing the relative abundance of the pest fauna species was not provided in the Project EIS). Section 1.2 updated to include objectives and targets and Section 5 updated to include mitigation measures. |
| Narrabri Shire C | ouncil (05/02/2021) | | L | |
| 1 | There is a lack of discussion of rehabilitation of the project site once construction is complete. Requirement C3.4 REMM (Revised Environmental Management | 9 | Yes addressed | The mitigation measures for site rehabilitation and revegetation have been detailed in Section 6 of the CSWMP. Table 3 of the BMP updated accordingly. |



| | Measure) from the SPIR (Submissions Preferred Infrastructure Report) refers to the 'rehabilitation strategy' which should be addressed in Section 5 of the BMP | | | |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | The Weeping Myall Woodland, nor any other Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) is not specifically discussed or addressed. It is also unclear is how long the permit holder is responsible for weed and pest management post construction. | 18 | Yes addressed | TECs are explained in Section 4 - Existing Environment with mitigation measures to protect TECs are provided in Section 6 of the document. Mitigation measures added to the PWMP include: Following completion of construction, weed monitoring (and subsequent management) will occur whilst Tran4m Rail has site possession and the EPL remains in place. |
| 3 | a)A Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) must be prepared in accordance with the Department's Environmental Management Plan Guideline for | N/A | Noted | These references have been externally verified by the Project ER and DPIE via the review process. |

| TRAN | S | 4. | Μ |
|------|---|----|---|
| RAIL | | 1 | |

| 1 | ofractructura | 1 | | |
|-----|----------------------|---|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| P | Projects (DPIE, | | | |
| 2 | (020) to detail now | | | |
| ti | ne performance | | | |
| 0 | outcomes, | | | |
| C | commitments and | | | |
| n | nitigation measures | | | |
| w | vill be implemented | | | |
| а | ind achieved during | | | |
| а | Ill stages of | | | |
| C | construction. The | | | |
| В | 3MP is a required | | | |
| C | EMP Sub-Plan. The | | | |
| C | complete CEMP was | | | |
| u | inavailable at the | | | |
| ti | ime of this review, | | | |
| tl | herefore there are | | | |
| m | nany references | | | |
| w | vithin the BMP | | | |
| w | vhich could not be | | | |
| V | verified. | | | |
| 4 b |)Requirement C3.4 | 9 | Addressed | NOTE: Rehabilitation and revegetation requirements detailed in the |
| re | efers to the | | | SWMP. Table 3 amended accordingly. |
| ʻr | rehabilitation | | | |
| st | trategy' which | | | |
| s | hould be addressed | | | |
| ir | n Section 5 of the | | | |
| В | BMP. There is no | | | |
| d | letail about | | | |
| re | ehabilitation in | | | |
| S | ection 5, instead it | | | |
| re | efers to the Erosion | | | |
| а | ind Sediment | | | |
| С | Control Plan. The | | | |



| | 1 | | 1 | |
|---|----------------------------|----|-----|---------------------------------|
| | rehabilitation | | | |
| | strategy needs to be | | | |
| | further clarified. | | | |
| 5 | c) Within the | 10 | Yes | Comments addressed in Glossary. |
| | glossary on page 10: | | | |
| | ·the definition for | | | |
| | ECM is incorrect. | | | |
| | ·an ECP, EPO and | | | |
| | TEC definition need | | | |
| | to be included. | | | |
| | ·the ESCP definition | | | |
| | is out of | | | |
| | alphabetical order | | | |
| | which makes it hard | | | |
| | to find. | | | |
| | ·ESCP definition in | | | |
| | the glossary is | | | |
| | inconsistent with | | | |
| | the report – is it the | | | |
| | Project Erosions and | | | |
| | Sediment Control | | | |
| | Plan, or the | | | |
| | Progressive Erosion | | | |
| | and Sediment | | | |
| | Control Plan? | | | |
| 6 | d)There is | 11 | Yes | Clarity of the terms provided. |
| | inconsistent use of | | | |
| | Construction Impact | | | |
| | Zone/ project area/ | | | |
| | proposal site/ study | | | |
| | area/ Project/ | | | |
| | project/ | | | |
| | development | | | |
| | footprint/ | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| | construction footprint. Define which term/s are relevant and be consistent with use. | | | |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7 | e)There are no Plant Community Type (PCT) descriptions within the body of the BMP, nor discussion of which PCT's are associated with which Threatened Ecological Community (TEC). There could also be more discussion about threatened species recorded in the 'project area' including which species are relevant, mapped or offset. There is also no discussion of reducing impact to koala habitat by 25 percent as per CoA E23. The impact area stated in this section of the report (see snip below) is not | 17 | Yes | Section 4.1 expanded to clearly explain the existing environment including the threatened flora and fauna and TEC's impact by the works. Mitigation Measure B1 describes the PCTs and the anticpated impact to each. Mitigation measures B3 updated to describe the process to reduce vegetation (and Koala Habitat) clearing. Reference (impact area) updated to 890.41Ha and 175.25Ha for Koala habitat. |



consistent with the 890.41 hectares listed in the Compliance matrix please explain the difference. CoA states impact to koala habitat is 175.25 hectares which is also different to the amount listed below. 932 ha of native plant community types (including 174 ha of Koala habitat) listed under the BC Act and the Commonwealth Environment Protection and **Biodiversity Conservation Act** 1999 (EPBC Act) will be impacted. ARTC will offset this impact with the retirement of ecosystems and species credits through biodiversity

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| | stewardship gareements | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 8 | f) The area of impact to native vegetation is constantly changing as the project evolves and progresses, this should be explained in the BMP. | Section 3.3 | Yes | Section 3.3 added, General Changes to the Project. This clearly defines the Consistency Assessment process. Bullet point 6 defines changes to vegetation clearing. |
| 9 | g) Key Fish Habitat (KFH) is touched upon at the end of Section 4.1 but there is no further discussion about impact to KFH. Explanation is required about mitigation of impact to KFH. | App C and Table 8 | Yes | Appendix C updated to include direct and indirect impacts to KFH. Table 8 includes mitigation measures to address those risks identified. |
| 10 | h) There are many references to the Environmental Control Maps (ECM) which will clearly show sensitive areas, weeds etc but there is no explanation of who is responsible for creation of these maps and when will | CEMP App G BMP Section 5.11 | Yes | NOTE: The Environmental Control Plans are included in App G of the CEMP. These are draft. The Environmental Control Maps will be progressively developed by the Environmental Coordinators as works are initated. |



| | they be issued and where are they available. One ECM is referred to in particular; Biodiversity/ Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR-MR-ENV- 001-06) but it was unable to be located, and for this review it was assumed to be a part of the CEMP. | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11 | i) Table 5 refers to future approved consistency assessments. What is a Consistency Assessment? This is not clearly explained. | Section 3.3 | Yes | Section 3.3 added, General Changes to the Project. This clearly defines the Consistency Assessment process. |
| 12 | j) Regarding Clearing risk assessment (Table 5) where a koala is located, it should include 'allow koala a means of egress to more away from construction so the animal is not isolated with no route to escape'. | App C and various other Sections | Yes | Addressed, (text included) in Appendix C (Biodiversity Risk Assessment) and various other Sections. |



| 13 | k) Regarding inspections and monitoring, what is the duration of requirements post construction? | 20 Table 7 | Yes | Section 5.4 amended to detail post-construction inspection requirements. |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 14 | I) With regards to the vegetation maps in Appendix C: The legend should only show features that are visible on that particular map Maps are not in a clear order – would be better if they visually connected to the next map (they appear to be in reverse order) Threatened species should be labelled or colour co- ordinated to you can tell which species is where Location of TEC's should be clearly marked Some design lines are not PCT mapped The red and pink hashed areas are | App D | Yes | New map suite appended, noting: - Legend showing all attributes. - Maps in order, based on Chainage. - Threatened species and TEC's identified. - All PCT's mapped. - Colours legible. - Colours legible. |



| | difficult to differentiate. | | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15 | PWMP - The Weeping Myall Woodland, nor any other Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) is not specifically discussed or addressed in this plan, some extra detail and clarification may be required. | Section 1.3 Table 1 Table 3 | Yes | The impacts to the Weeping Myall Woodland (and other TEC) is added to various sections of the PWMP. The mitigation measures to address these risks have been included in Section 5. |
| 15 | PWMP - It is also unclear is how long the permit holder is responsible for weed and pest management post construction. | 19 | Yes | PW26 added to Table 4. |
| 17 | PWMP - Further consideration is required to ensure that the reference from 'noxious' weeds is changed to 'priority' weeds throughout the document in line with current legislation. | Throughout | Yes | Addressed throughout |



| Gwydir Shire Co | Gwydir Shire Council | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | "No comment" received from GSC on the 25th February 2021. | | | | | | | |
| Moree Plains Sh | Moree Plains Shire Council | | | | | | | |
| 1 | "No comment" receiv | ed from MPSC | on the 24th Novembe | er 2020. | | | | |
| DAWE | | | | | | | | |
| Condition / Requirement | DAWE Review | Proposed Trans4m Rail Response | DAWE Response | Trans4m Rail Response (Rev E) | | | | |
| General comments | Plan not fit for purposeAs is detailed below, the plan does not commit to either actions or outcomes. It cannot be considered fit for purpose until such time as the plan makes binding, measurable, auditable, and enforceable | Responses detailed below | BMP Rev C received 17 Feb 2021, addresses DPIE's comments, DAWE comments from 1 st February yet to be incorporated into BMP revision. | BMP and PWMP revised to address comments (see below) | | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| | objectively and |
|---|-------------------------|
| | without any lack of |
| | certainty, whether the |
| | commitments had |
| | been met. |
| | The plan also regularly |
| | just refers to further |
| | sub-plans (which have |
| | not been provided to |
| | the Department) |
| | instead of stating in |
| | the plan itself what |
| | will be done to |
| | manage various risks, |
| | impacts, and |
| | uncertainties. This is |
| | not acceptable. The |
| | plan must be capable |
| | of operating as a |
| | standalone document |
| | with all necessary |
| | information contained |
| | within it. |
| | There are also |
| | numerous |
| | typographical errors, |
| | which unacceptably |
| | undermine the plan's |
| | accuracy and |
| | enforceability. For |
| | example, as discussed |
| | below, Table 1 has |
| 1 | numerous errors in |
| | condition numbering. |
| | These typographical |
| 1 | errors must be fixed |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| | before the plan is suitable for approval. | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Relevant EPBC Approval Conditions | | | | | |
| 1. The approval | Not Met. | Responses | BMP Rev C claims | Refer below | |
| holder must: | See Comments below. | detailed | this is addressed in | | |
| a. Implement | | below | CEMP | | |
| conditions C4 | | | | | |
| and C9 of Part C, | | | | | |
| Schedule 2 of the | | | | | |
| State | | | | | |
| Infrastructure | | | | | |
| approval, where | | | | | |
| they relate to | | | | | |
| monitoring, | | | | | |
| managing, | | | | | |
| avoiding, | | | | | |
| mitigating, | | | | | |
| offsetting, | | | | | |
| recording, or | | | | | |
| reporting on, | | | | | |
| impacts to | | | | | |
| protected | | | | | |
| matters, with the | | | | | |
| exception of | | | | | |
| C9(a). | ••••••••• | | | | |
| b. Ensure that | Not Met. | | we note the | Mitigation measures for weeds, pests and pathogens have been duplicated in | |
| the weed | | | Inclusion of the | the BMP. | |
| Management | | | construction Pest | | |
| the Riediversity | | | Managamant | | |
| Management | | | Appendix to Rev C | | |



| Subplan required under condition C9 of Part C, Schedule 2 of the State Infrastructure approval, includes appropriate weed control measures to prevent the introduction and/or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained area of Belson's Panic (<i>Homopholis</i> <i>belsoni</i>), Natural Grassland on Basalt and Fine- textured Alluvial Plains of Northern New South Wales and Southern Queensland, Brigalow (<i>Acacia</i> <i>harpophylla</i> dominant and codominant) and Weeping Myall | Table 5 states that "A separate Pest and Weed Management (PWMP) Sub-plan has been prepared for the project." That is contrary to the EPBC Act approval conditions. The weed management measures must be included in the BMP. They must be set out in full, with binding commitments made, enforceable timeframes for implementation established, and justifications provided for why those measures are expected to succeed in preventing weed incursion into Weeping Myall Woodlands, Brigalow TEC, and Belson's Panic Natural Grassland. Also, from a governance perspective, such an approach would create three separate | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| codominant) and | approach would | |
| Weeping Myall | create three separate | |
| Woodlands | layers of plans, | |
| ecological | without clarity as to | |
| | without trainty as to | |
| communities. | which override in | |

Objectives and Targets should reflect the conditions ie include prevention of introduction and/or spread of weeds from construction areas to any retained area of Belson's Panic, Natural Grassland, Brigalow and Weeping Myall Woodlands ecological communities.

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| which situations, should there be inconsistencies, and without clarity as to which, if any, are enforceable. That is not appropriate. | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Despite the plan's | Mitigation | Section 5.6 Table 7 | Objectives in the PWMP and BMP have been redrafted to use more enforceable |
| statement noted | measures for | contains detail of | language and accord with SMART principles |
| above, Appendix A is | weeds and | construction | |
| titled "Construction | pests will be | monitoring | |
| Pest and Weed | duplicated in | program including | |
| Management | the BMP. | weekly inspections | |
| Appendix". However, | | by environment | |
| it does not provide | | coordinator to | |
| adequate measures to | | record weeds, pest | |
| control and prevent | | and pathogens | |
| the spread of weeds | | where observed. | |
| from construction | | Control of weeds to | |
| areas to retained | | be actioned as | |
| areas of MNES. Table | | required – can this | |
| 1 of that Appendix | | be altered to point | |
| sets out a series of | | to Appendix E | |
| objectives and targets | | trigger action | |
| for the Appendix. As | | response plan – this | |
| with the objectives | | then closes the | |
| and targets for the | | loop on what | |
| main plan itself, the | | actions will be | |
| Appendix objectives | | taken when an | |
| are not written in | | observation is | |
| binding language, are | | made. What | |
| non-specific, are | | process would | |
| undefined, and | | allow ad-hoc | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| 1 1 | |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| therefore are not | reporting of weeds, |
| capable of either | pest and pathogen |
| enforcement or | from construction |
| independent. | crew/outside the |
| objective auditing and | weekly |
| compliance | environmental |
| verification The | inspection? Will the |
| objectives and targets | weekly inspection |
| need to be redrafted | include the |
| to adhere to SMART | placement and |
| nrinciples (specific | securement of |
| principies (specific, | roping/flagging/fon |
| niedsurable, | cing of CIZ and |
| | |
| repeatable, time- | |
| bound). | areas, to ensure |
| | disturbance of the |
| | soil and hence |
| | increased risk of |
| | weeds being spread |
| | outside CIZ is |
| | assessed? |
| | An explanation of |
| | why the measures |
| | proposed are |
| | considered |
| | appropriate to |
| | prevent the spread |
| | to the MNES is |
| | required. |



| All weed The language at Objectives and targets within the PVMMP have been updated to include speci maagement accitives and targets within the PVMMP have been updated to include speci measures are Management aimed at Appendix should be managing/ ention of avoiding introduction/ spread of weeds to nearby areas containing weeds on site tightened to ensure threeby avoiding avoiding inducting impacts to offsite areas offsite areas including these controlling weed areas to undertake weed monitoring/ management mappendix should be therefore our efforts to controlling controlling including these including these including management areas to undertake weed monitoring/ management therefore our efforts to control weeds need to be need to be econfined to | ning |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|



| | | Could DAWE please advise how mitigation measures for weeds in the PWMP are insufficient? | | Section 5.6 (Table 7) has been updated to reference the trigger action control plan. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Objectives in the PWMP will be redrafted to use more enforceable language. | | Additional item included in Section 5.6 (Table 7) to capture ad-hoc reporting of weeds, pests and pathogens by construction personnel. |
| | | | | The installation of clearing boundary flagging/ signage would not be undertaken as part of the weekly inspections rather this would be a routine activity to be undertaken within all clearing areas prior to commencing works. |
| | | | | Additional wording has been provided in Section 1.3 of the PWMP explaining specifically why the measures proposed are appropriate to manage adjacent areas of MNES. |
| | | | | Section 4.4 of PWMP reworded to be more clear and accommodate SMART principles. |
| Relevant State infr | astructure Approval Con | ditions | | |
| C5 The CEMP Sub-plans listed in Condition C4 must state how: | Not Met. | Objectives and targets for the BMP will be redrafted to use more enforceable language. | Rev C Table 8 Environmental Management Measures for preconstruction. We look forward to seeing the next version where our comments will be addressed. | Objectives and targets for the BMP have been redrafted to be more specific, use more enforceable language and differentiate between targets and objectives. |

The BMP's objectives

are listed at Section 1.2. Section 1.2 is not sufficiently specific. For example, it states that one objective is to "minimise disturbance to fauna and flora; including habitation, reproductive cycles, and availability of selective food sources". DAWE is not clear what a "selective food source" would refer to. More broadly, the objective is not framed in binding, measurable, nor enforceable language. The objective needs to commit to maximum permitted disturbances/impacts on each relevant protected matter. Section 1.2 also sets out 'targets' for the plan. It is not clear what distinguishes an objective from a target. Again, targets are not written in binding, measurable, nor enforceable



Append D/G?
Unexpected
Threatened Species
Procedure – Table 8
typo.Mitigation measures within the BMP have been updated to include the
maximum permitted impact on each vegetation community, TEC and Koala
habitat. Maximum permitted clearing areas for threatened species habitat are
not provided as these are captured by maximum permitted plant community
areas and are not separated out in CoA.

(a) the

environmental

identified in the

documents listed

in Condition A1,

as modified by

these conditions,

will be achieved;

performance

outcomes

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity

language. For example, one target is "minimise fauna fatalities resulting from construction activities". That target is not meaningful, because it:

• does not state the maximum acceptable number of fatalities,

• does not state whether there are particular species where markedly lower levels of fatalities may still be unacceptable, and • does not explain how it will be determined whether impacts have been minimised. On one interpretation, minimisation might mean no fatalities at all are permitted, and whatever mitigations are needed to achieve that goal must be implemented. Alternatively, this might mean that there

should be no fatalities

Table 8 B13 What is addressed in the Biodiversity/Flora and Fauna ECM (T4MR -MR-ENV-001-06)?

As discussed matters relating to biodiversity credits would be managed by ARTC and therefore are not part of the remit of the BMP.



Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



save those that occur through normal construction - that is, any amount of fatalities that occur through 'normal' construction methods will be acceptable. "[M]inimised" is Table 8 typo corrected re Appendix G – Threatened Species Procedure. therefore too ambiguous and undefined a term, and is not a fit basis for objections or targets. At Section 4.1, the plan notes that 932 ha of native plant communities will be impacted, but does not commit to impacting no more than 932 ha. The Biodiversity/Flora and Fauna Environmental Constraints Maps (ECMs) Committing to a (T4MR -MR-ENV-001-06) shows all biodiversity constraints associated with the maximum permitted impact is essential. project including sensitive environmental areas, weed infestations, clearing While the plan boundaries etc. This is detailed in mitigation measure B4 and Section 5.11 of identifies the the BMP. vegetation types to be impacted that are listed TECs under the BC Act, it does not identify which EPBC Act-listed TECs will be impacted. The plan also does not state

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

the maximum permitted impact on each TEC. Identifying the maximum permitted impact on each TEC is essential as it may radically alter the offsetting obligations that apply. Similarly, the plan does not state the maximum permitted impact on habitat for listed threatened fauna and flora species. Once the maximum permitted impacts are stated, the plan will also need to state the number of credits required to offset those impacts for each protected matter, and commit to retiring the required credits, and commit to doing so in compliance with the amended like-for-like rules that apply for projects subject to EPBC Act approvals.

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 becked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



Section 4.1 is providing context to the plan as such doesn't need include a break-down of vegetation community clearing impacts. However, the relevant mitigation measure in Section 6 (Table 8) will be updated to include the maximum permitted impact on each community as well as TECs and threatened species habitat.


| Biodiversity credits will be offset by ARTC and therefore cannot be | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--|
| included as a | | |
| commitment | | |
| in Trans4m | | |
| Rails CEMP. | | |
| | Have requested information from ARTC on their | |
| | offset strategy. | |



| (b) the mitigation | Not Met. | We believe | The comments here | Maximum areas of impact for plant communities. TFCs and Koala habitat have |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| measures | | the proposed | and above still | been added to Table 8 mitigation measure. |
| identified in the | | mitigation | stand – no | |
| documents listed | | measures are | commitments have | |
| in Condition A1 , | | appropriate | been made to | |
| as modified by | | to achieve | maximum number | |
| these conditions | | compliance | of acceptable | |
| will be | | with project | fatalities/injuries | |
| implemented; | | requirements | per species and | |
| | | and good | commitment to a | |
| | | ecological | maximum area of | |
| | | outcomes. It | native plant | |
| | | is noted that | community impact | |
| | | DPIE (BCS) | of 932ha and | |
| | | have | maximum impact | |
| | | reviewed the | on each TEC and | |
| | | BIVIP and | habitat for listed | |
| | | PWIVIP and | species. | |
| | | nave provided | | |
| | | which have | | |
| | | heen | | |
| | | incorporated | | |
| | | into the plans | | |
| | | | | |
| | The mitigation | | | |
| | measures are not | | | |
| | adequately identified, | | | |
| | nor is a meaningful | | | |
| | implementation plan | | | |
| | or schedule provided. | | | |
| | Table 10 (Section 5) | | | |
| | purports to list | | | |
| | mitigation measures. | | | |
| | However, it suffers | | | |
| | from the same issues | | | |
| | discussed both above | ļ | Į | |



and below in this table: measures are not defined, are not written in binding language, and could not be independently and objectively audited to determine compliance. For example, measure B3 states: "Clearing of Koala habitat will be minimised by reducing the construction footprint where possible." This table has explored the problems with the word "minimised" and the phrase "where possible" elsewhere, and those comments apply equally here. Those issues consistently afflict all measures suggested in Table 10.

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 e checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| | | The language of mitigation measures can be tweaked where possible to make the language more enforceable. | | Setting a maximum number of acceptable fatalities for fauna is not possible given that fauna abundance/ distribution varies greatly between areas and has a major bearing on the number of fauna mortalities recorded on a project. The only meaningful objective in relation to fauna mortalities is to 'minimise' injuries/ mortalities. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Mitigation measure B3 has been updated to specifically state that clearing of Koala habitat will be reduced by 25%. | Can you specify the new target area for koala habitat clearing? | New target area for Koala habitat has been included. |
| | | | | Mitigation measures have been edited to use more enforceable language (where possible). |
| (c) the relevant terms of this approval will be complied with; and | Not Met. | We have checked the numbering for CoA in the current plan and this appears correct. | No response proposed, comment has been addressed. | No response required |



| | Table 1 shows the EPBC conditions of approval listed with incorrect numbering. The condition reference for EPBC conditions must be consistent with the numbering in the EPBC approval notice. Similarly, the numbering in the plan for the NSW Approval Conditions is incorrect, and must be amended. | It is noted the plan has been updated to incorporate comments received from BCS. | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--|
| (d) issues requiring management during construction (including coordination of concurrent activities of other projects as well as concurrent activities in this CSSI), as identified | Not Met. See comments below on the need for a full | Responses detailed below | - | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| through ongoing environmental risk analysis, will be managed. | rewrite of the plan's risk analysis. | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------|
| C6 The CEMP | Further consultation | We disagree | Plan has been | Noted |
| Sub-plans must | needed. | that a | revised following | |
| be developed in | | wholesale re- | BCS comments. | |
| consultation with | | write of the | DAWE will need to | |
| relevant parties | | plan is | see the revised plan | |
| identified in | | necessary. | before confirming | |
| Condition 0. | | The plan has | plan is fit for | |
| Details of all | | been | purpose. | |
| information | | reviewed | | |
| requested by an | | following | | |
| agency to be | | overlapping | | |
| included in a | | comments | | |
| CEMP Sub-plan | | received from | | |
| as a result of | | BCS. The plan | | |
| consultation, | | has also been | | |
| including copies | | reviewed by | | |
| of all | | the project | | |
| correspondence | | Environment | | |
| from those | | Representativ | | |
| agencies, must | | e who has | | |
| be provided with | | endorsed the | | |
| the relevant | | plan. Whilst | | |
| CEMP Sub-plan. | | some | | |
| | | comments | | |
| | | provided by | | |
| | | DAWE require | | |
| | | tweaks to | | |
| | | mitigation | | |
| | | measures | | |
| | | most | | |
| | | comments | | |
| | | relate to | | |
| | | editorial/ | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| | DAWE considers that the plan has major deficits that will likely require a wholesale rewrite of the plan to address. As such, DAWE requests that a revised BMP be submitted for further consultation once DAWE's comments have been addressed. | structural changes to the document which BCS have not identified. | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| C9 The Biodiversity | Not Met. | Refer to response at | - | - | |
| Management | | EPBC Condition | | | |
| include | | 1(b). | | | |



| (a) a weed | See comments at | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| management | EPBC Condition 1(b). | | | |
| plan, including | | | | |
| appropriate | | | | |
| weed control to | | | | |
| manage | | | | |
| introduction | | | | |
| and/or spread of | | | | |
| weeds from | | | | |
| construction | | | | |
| areas to any | | | | |
| retained | | | | |
| Weeping Myall | | | | |
| Woodlands TEC, | | | | |
| and appropriate | | | | |
| protocols to | | | | |
| demonstrate | | | | |
| compliance with | | | | |
| the requirements | | | | |
| of the Biosecurity | | | | |
| Act 2015 and | | | | |
| Biosecurity | | | | |
| Regulation 2017; | | | | |

Issue Date: 20/08/2021

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity

Page 93



| (b) procedures | Not Met. | The pre- | Appendix F is | Fauna handling procedure included as Appendix H. |
|--------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| for pre-clearing | | clearing | Clearing | |
| surveys for | | mitigation | Management | |
| threatened | | measures are | Inspection | |
| species to be | | standard for | Checklist. | |
| undertaken by a | | large | | |
| suitably qualified | | infrastructure | | |
| and experienced | | projects and | | |
| ecologist, | | sufficiently | | |
| including survey | | detailed. A | | |
| and relocation | | fauna | | |
| methodologies | | handling | | |
| and | | procedure will | | |
| management/off | | be included to | | |
| set measures; | | provide | | |
| | | additional | | |
| | | detail on | | |
| | | ecologist | | |
| | | fauna | | |
| | | capture/ | | |
| | | relocation | | |
| | | methods. It is | | |
| | | noted that | | |
| | | ecologists/ | | |
| | | fauna spotter | | |
| | | catchers are | | |
| | | to be suitably | | |
| | | qualified and | | |
| | | experienced | | |
| | | to undertake | | |
| | | this work and | | |
| | | as such would | | |
| | | be bound by | | |
| | | industry best | | |
| | | practice. | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



The plan does not detail or define the procedures for preclearing surveys. Survey and relocation methodologies are not provided. Please provide the relevant government authorities with a copy of the Clearing Management Procedure (T4MR -MPR-ENV-004). If there is a pre-clearing checklist or proforma, please include a copy as an appendix to the BMP. The procedure needs to be provided in sufficient detail to constitute an enforceable commitment. That will also require framing the commitments regarding pre-clearing surveys in enforceable language (e.g.: not using "should", "may", "where possible", or "where appropriate"). Those commitments also must include commitments regarding timeframes

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| | and survey extent/effort. | A Clearing Management Checklist has been included in the latest draft. | | Further refining of mitigation measures related to pre-clearing surveys/ fauna spotter catcher requirements has been undertaken to accord further with SMART principles. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (c) measures to control cane toads, as relevant to the construction phase areas and scope in accordance with the Threat abatement plan for the biological effects, including lethal toxic ingestion, caused by cane toads (relevant to works adjacent to retained Brigalow (Acacia | Not Met. | A statement will be included by one of Australia's leading Cane Toad researchers to support the statement that the current distribution of Cane Toads does not occur anywhere near the site. | Suggest a trigger flow chart be developed similar to the unexpected threatened species trigger at Appendix G, to demonstrate the approach proposed. | Additional detail provided in Table 8 (B39) including advice from Cane Toad expert and actions to be undertaken in the event of a Cane Toad being recorded. |

| TRAN | S 👍 M |
|------|-------|
| RAIL | · · |

| harpophylla dominant and co- dominant) TEC and Weeping Myall Woodlands TEC); and | Tables 5 and 10 note that cane toads are not yet thought to be present at the site, and therefore propose only that any | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | observation of a Cane | | |
| | Toad on the site be | | |
| | reported to the | | |
| | relevant regulatory | | |
| | authority and advice | | |
| | on management | | |
| | actions is to be | | |
| | Tood expert That is | | |
| | notentially a | | |
| | reasonable starting | | |
| | point, but it is not | | |
| | sufficient, and cannot | | |
| | be said to constitute | | |
| | "measures to control | | |
| | cane toads". DAWE | | |
| | will also need to see | | |
| | evidence supporting | | |
| | that statement. | | |
| | DAWE would require | Additional | Trigger action response plan updated to include a separate line item relating to |
| | the following | measures will | Cane Toad response. As such, the flow chart is not considered necessary. |
| | additional | be included in | |
| | information: | the plan in | |
| | | relation to | |
| | | Cane Toads | |
| | | including: | |



| What is the evidence (a survey report or published ecological survey from within the last two years will suffice) that Cane Toads are not currently present on the site? Will staff be trained to recognise Cane Toads and signs of their presence? | *Staff training awareness and reporting requirements to be included in induction. *A number of additional mitigation measures that would be triggered if a Cane Toad is detected on the site. | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| • Will there be a formal regular monitoring program actively seeking to determine whether Cane Toads are present, or does the approval holder propose to rely on passive, incidental observation? | | |

Given the fact that Cane Toads are highly unlikely to occur at the site no formal monitoring is warranted.



| | If a formal, | Given the fact | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | regular monitoring | that Cane | | | | |
| | program will take | Toads are | | | | |
| | place, what will that | highly unlikely | | | | |
| | program consist of? | to occur at | | | | |
| | How regularly will it | the site no | | | | |
| | occur? What methods | formal | | | | |
| , | will be used? | monitoring is | | | | |
| | | warranted. | | | | |
| | What control | | | | | |
| | measures will be | | | | | |
| | implemented if Cane | | | | | |
| | Toads are detected? | | | | | |
| | DAWE is not able to | | | | | |
| | accept proposals to | | | | | |
| | leave key details (such | | | | | |
| ; | as the actual control | | | | | |
| | measures to be | | | | | |
| i | implemented) to be | | | | | |
| | determined at a later | | | | | |
| | date. | | | | | |
| | Once that information | | | | | |
| i | is provided, DAWE will | | | | | |
| | be able to advise | | | | | |
| , | whether we consider | | | | | |
| 1 | the proposed | | | | | |
| | measures fit for | | | | | |
| | purpose. | | | | | |
| General expectation | eneral expectations for producing quality Management Plans | | | | | |



| effectively assess, management, and account for, risks that may cause those plans not to achieve their outcomes. This must include: | brief summary of a risk assessment from another plan at Table 5 (in Section 4.2). This is not sufficient, for several reasons. | that changes suggested to the risk assessment are largely editorial/ structural and will not affect mitigation measures and on-ground outcomes. The risk assessment used in the plans is based on John Hollands compliant environmenta I system. In addition, ongoing risk assessment is built into the environmenta I management systems to be used on the project. | beneficial to add a table indicating how the risk ratings were derived. The EPBC Act EMP Policy states that EMPs should clearly present how conclusions about risk are reached. Please add the risks related to the yellow highlighted section in our comments. | the overall risk assessment process used by Trans4m Rail outlined in full in the N2NS Risk Management Plan. The risk assessments in the BMP and PWMP has been revised to align with this risk assessment approach. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | systems to be used on the project. | | |



| Identifying the limits of available information and its utility; Identifying any matter on which there is significant lack of information or | Firstly, the plan states final risk ratings, but does not explain how those risk ratings were derived. The risk analysis needs to state both the likelihood of the risk eventuating and the consequence should that risk eventuate. The interaction of likelihood and consequence should be assessed in accordance with a table such as below. | The risk assessment process forms part of the JHG SQE risk |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | likelihood and consequence should | |
| | be assessed in accordance with a | |
| | table such as below. | |
| Identifying | | The risk |
| any matter on | | assessment |
| which there is | | process forms |
| significant lack of | | part of the |
| significant | | management |
| uncertainty: | | system, also |
| | | forming part |
| | | of our EMS |
| | | accredited |
| | | svstem. |
| | | - / |



| Implementin | | | The | rick | | I | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| e strategies to | RISK | MATRIX | | | | | | |
| manage | Qualitative measure of likelihood (how likely is it that this event/circumstance will occur after | | | | | | | |
| uncortaintu | mana | gement activ | ities are implem | nented) | | | | |
| uncertainty, | Highl | y likely | Is expected to occur in most circumstances | | | | | |
| | Likely | 1 | Will probably occur during the life of the project | | | | | |
| | Possi | ble | Might occur during the life of the project | | | | | |
| | Unlik | ely | Could occur but considered unlikely or doubtful | | | | | |
| | Rare | | May occur in e | exceptional circ | umstances | | | |
| | Quali | itative measu | e of consequen | ces (what will b | e the consequer | nce/result if the | issue does | |
| | occur | 7) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Discussing | 25.00 | - | Mitis | vation | and down on all of | | | |
| all risks that may | wino | | winor incident | t of environmen | ital damage that | can be reversed | i tina law and | |
| cause the plan | | | (e.g. short-term delays to achieving plan objectives, implementing low-cost, | | | | | |
| not to achieve its | Made | | well-character | ised corrective of | | antal damage th | at could be | |
| outcomes. | NOGe | erate | Isolated but substantial instances of environmental damage that could be | | | | | |
| including force | | | reversed with intensive errorts | | | | | |
| maieure risks | | | (e.g. short term delays to achieving plan objectives, implementing well- | | | | | |
| and | High | | Substantial instances of environmental damage that could be reversed with | | | | | |
| | THE T | | intensive efforts | | | | | |
| | | | le a medium-lona term delays to achieving objectives, implementing | | | | | |
| | | | (e.g. meaning term denys to demeaning objectives, implementing uncertain, high-cost/effort corrective actions) | | | | | |
| | Major | | Major loss of environmental amenity and real danger of continuing | | | | | |
| | | | le a plan objectives are unlikely to be achieved with significant legislative | | | | | |
| | | | technical ecological and/or administrative barriers to attainment that have | | | | | |
| | | | no evidenced mitigation strategies) | | | | | |
| | Critic | al | Severe widespread loss of environmental amenity and irrecoverable | | | | | |
| | Critical | | environmental damaze | | | | | |
| | | | e a plan objectives are unable to be achieved with no evidenced mitigation | | | | | |
| I | | | (e.g. plan objectives are anable to be achieved, with no evidenced mitigation strategies) | | | | | |
| | | | Consequence | | | | | |
| | | | Minor | Moderate | High | Major | Oritical | |
| | | Highly | Medium | High | High | Severe | Severe | |
| | | Likely | mediam | Bu | 1 mg. 1 | Server C | | |
| | | Likely | Low | Medium | High | High | Savara | |
| | P | Dessible | Low | Medium | night - | nigh | Gevere | |
| | Pod Pod | Possible | Low | Wedium | Wiedium | High | severe | |
| | keli | Unlikely | Low | Low | Medium | High | High | |
| | | Rare | Low | Low | Low | Medium | High | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

| TRAN | S 👍 M |
|------|-------|
| RAIL | |

| Clearly explaining how conclusions about risks have been reached. | Secondly, the partial risk assessment does not commit to any mitigation measures. Instead, all mitigation measures are said to be merely "indicative". Such a framing removes any enforceability, and means DAWE cannot have confidence that risks will be managed, let alone managed effectively. | As the project breakdowns into activities, further risk assessments are completed, which are more detailed, and task focused. | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Please note: the risk analysis is about the risk that the plan will not achieve its outcomes, <u>not</u> just the risk that environmental impacts may occur. | Those mitigations are then also not sufficiently specific. For example, one proposed mitigation is "Clearing of Koala habitat will be minimised by reducing the construction footprint where possible." As discussed elsewhere in these comments, "minimised" is not a term capable of enforcement because it is undefined. That lack of enforceability is then exacerbated by the phrase "where possible", which again | Given the short timeframes involved with project commenceme nt, the project team would like to focus on mitigation measures and tangible outcomes of the plan. As such we question if a rewrite of the risk assessment is warranted? | |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| absolve the approval | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| holder of any | | |
| obligation to | | |
| undertake | | |
| minimisations even | | |
| should minimisation | | |
| be adequately | | |
| defined. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Thirdly Table 5 does | | |
| not address corrective | | |
| actions should the | | |
| identified risks | | |
| eventuate The risk | | |
| analysis must state | | |
| the monitoring | | |
| method that will | | |
| detect when a risk has | | |
| occurred or is likely to | | |
| occur; the objectively | | |
| and independently | | |
| measurable trigger or | | |
| threshold at which | | |
| corrective actions will | | |
| be taken in response | | |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| to the risk occurring | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| or becoming likely; | | | |
| and the corrective | | | |
| actions that will be | | | |
| taken in response to | | | |
| those triggers having | | | |
| been reached. | | | |
| Corrective actions are | | | |
| distinct from general | | | |
| mitigations. | | | |
| Mitigations are pre- | | | |
| emptive measures | | | |
| that are taken to | | | |
| reduce the likelihood | | | |
| of a risk eventuating, | | | |
| and to reduce the | | | |
| severity of a risk | | | |
| should it occur. | | | |
| Mitigations will be | | | |
| implemented at all | | | |
| relevant times – they | | | |
| will not be triggered | | | |
| by particular events. | | | |
| By contrast, corrective | | | |
| actions are additional | | | |
| measures that will be | | | |
| enacted should they | | | |
| become necessary | | | |
| due to particular | | | |
| events or | | | |
| circumstances | | | |
| emerging. | | | |



| There are also no | In relation to |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| timeframes for the | comments |
| implementation of | over name the |
| any measures listed in | term |
| the incomplete rick | indicative will |
| assessment | he removed |
| assessment. | and the |
| | and the |
| | moosuros will |
| | measures will |
| | be aligned |
| | with those in |
| | Section 6 |
| | (Table 8) of |
| | the BMP and |
| | Table 4 of the |
| | PWMP. |
| | |
| Finally, the risk | |
| assessment appears | |
| to be assessing the | |
| wrong risks. The risk | |
| assessment appears | |
| to be assessing the | |
| sources of potential | |
| impacts to the | |
| environment, rather | |
| than the risk that the | |
| plan will not achieve | |
| its objectives. Each | |
| risk must be assessed | |
| in terms of how it will | |
| affect the approval | |
| holder's ability to | |
| achieve the plan's | |
| objectives (which | |
| must in turn he | |
| defined and | |
| uenneu anu | 1 |

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| measurable, so that successful achievement can be objectively verified). Mitigations must speak to how the impacts on the approval holder's ab ility to achieve the plan's objectives will be managed. As mentioned earlier where possible mitigation measures will be revised to use more enforceable language. | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|



| Plans must demonstrate that all proposed measures are efficient, effective, timely, transparent, scientifically- robust, and reasonable. References to unpublished data are not acceptable. The Department is also not able to accept assertions based solely on a consultant's experience in environmental management. | Again, a severe lack of detail and definition means the plan cannot demonstrate that its measures are adequate. For example, one of the proposed control measures (see Section 4.5) is a series of "Hold Points". However, the plan does not state what a Hold Point means in this context, nor what the consequences of a Hold Point will be at such time as it is reached. As such, it is impossible to assess whether the Hold Points are likely to be efficient, effective, timely, transparent, scientifically- robust, or reasonable. | Additional explanation of the hold-point process is provided in the CEMP. This will not be brought over into the BMP to avoid repetition. | Please ensure any cross referencing to the CEMP and other procedures are clear, complete and specify the document version and date – this should include a reference to where the definition of 'hold point' is described. | Cross referencing to the relevant section of the CEMP included within 'Section 5.3 Hold Points' of the BMP. A number of additional CEMP references updated in BMP. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Section 4.8 and Table 9 provides somewhat

Support from published scientific and environmental literature is required.

more detail on measures associated with the monitoring program. Unfortunately, this serves to highlight the lack of detail in the plan. For example, under "Preconstruction", the plan states that, if certain things are identified on site (weeds, pathogens, pest fauna), then monitoring will follow, and that monitoring will inform further control measures. The plan does not state what the monitoring program will be that might detect the relevant triggers (method, frequency, and percentage of site covered are all not provided). The plan then also does not state the monitoring program that will follow from the trigger having been detected (again, method, frequency,

The maps do not identify which threatened species are present.

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



and percentage of site covered need to be stated). Finally, there is no explanation of how the monitoring results will inform further control measures. There is no list of control measures given that monitoring will help select from. There is no discussion of the situations in which any particular control measures would be appropriate. There is also no commitment actually to implement any control measures, nor is any timeframe for implementation provided.

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| | DAWE cannot currently have confidence that any control measures would in fact be implemented at any point. | Monitoring comprises detailed ecologist pre- construction surveys and weekly inspections of the site to be undertaken during construction. Additionally, surface water monitoring and dust monitoring is undertaken at the site. This monitoring is considered adequate to identify any changes on site and is in line with additional. | Please provide a checklist of what the Weekly Environmental Management Inspection Checklist would contain as a minimum, acknowledging it would be added to over time. | Maps have been updated to show threatened species names. |
|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|



The plan also frequently refers to secondary documents that have not been provided, instead of making commitments to specific actions. For example, the Unexpected Finds Procedure (Section 4.11) states that any previously unidentified threatened species will trigger a notification process contained in the Incident and Event Management Procedure. "Incidents, Emergencies and Non-Conformity" is Section 4.15 of the plan .The section says only that incidents will be managed under Trans4m's Incident and Event Management procedure and ARTC's Project Environmental Incident and Reporting Procedure, both of which are wholly separate documents from the plan under review.

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005

That is not acceptable. Any procedure or process that will govern actions taken under the plan needs to be explained in the plan itself. Otherwise, DAWE cannot know what the relevant processes are, nor be confident that those processes are appropriate.

The maps in Appendix C show dots representing BCS 'threatened species' but do not say which which species they represent. Please label or define what these species are.

A Trigger **Response Plan** (Appendix E) has been provided in response to comments provides detail on additional management responses and when they would be triggered.



A copy of the Weekly Environmental Management Checklist is included separately for information. This has not been included in the BMP as it is still being finalised.



| | Unexpected | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| | finds | | | |
| | procedure has | | | |
| | been included | | | |
| | in latest | | | |
| | revision. The | | | |
| | Incident and | | | |
| | Reporting | | | |
| | Procedure is | | | |
| | included in | | | |
| | the CEMP and | | | |
| | will not be | | | |
| | included in | | | |
| | the BMP to | | | |
| | avoid | | | |
| | duplication. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | The legend | | | |
| | will he | | | |
| | undated to | | | |
| | incorporate | | | |
| | snecies | | | |
| | names | | | |
| | names. | | | |



| proposed; | Plans m transpa governa arrange includir able to measur monito audited enforce means: The per taking t must ha enforce respons both th implem and the of the n propose | nust have arent ance ements, ng being be readily red, red, d, and ed. This rson the action ave full, eable sibility for re ementation e success measures ed; | Throughout the plan, the language is non- binding, relying on undefined terms (such as "minimised") that are subject to such varied interpretation that measurement, enforcement, or auditing would be impossible. The plan has no definitions of success or failure that could be independently measured or audited. | The relevant mitigation measure in Section 6 (Table 8) will be updated to include the maximum permitted impact on each community as well as TECs and threatened species habitat. | Based on conversation at teleconference 10 Feb 2021, credits are proposed to be written in Credit Retirement Report within 6 months post clearance. The consultant writing the BMP said this was ARTC's commitment – ARTC said there was no specific requirement to use Biodiversity Offset Scheme. Have requested information from ARTC on proposed offset strategy. | Mitigation measures of the BMP have been updated to include the maximum permitted impact on each vegetation community, TEC and Koala habitat. Maximum permitted clearing areas for threatened species habitat are not provided as these are captured by maximum permitted plant community are and are not separated out in CoA. |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

TRANS 4.M RAIL _____

| At Section 4.1, the plan notes that 932 ha of native plant communities will be impacted, but does not commit to impacting no more than 932 ha. Committing to a maximum permitted impact is essential. While the plan identifies the vegetation types to be impacted that are listed TECs under the BC Act, it does not identify which EPBC Act-listed TECs will be impacted. The plan also does not state the maximum permitted impact on each TEC. Identifying the maximum permitted impact on each TEC is essential as it may radically alter the offsetting obligations that apply. Similarly, the plan does not state the maximum permitted impact on habitat for listed threatened fauna and flora | As discussed matters relating to biodiversity credits would be managed by AR and therefore are not part of the remit of the BMP. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|



maximum permitted impacts are stated, the plan will also need to state the number of credits required to offset those impacts for each protected matter, and commit to retiring the required credits, and commit to doing so in compliance with the amended like-for-like rules that apply for projects subject to EPBC Act approvals. That said, Section 3.3 provides an extensive list of source documents for relevant standards All commitments and regulations, with must be written sufficient detail for clearly and those documents to be located by regulators and/or members of the public efficiently and easily.



| unambiguously, using the terms "will" and "must" rather than "should" or "may", and without phrases like "if possible", "if appropriate", "may consider" or similar caveats; and | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Any and all technical terms or acronyms must be clearly and fully defined and explained, and any source documents for external standards must be identified and, at a minimum, hyperlinks to those source documents must be provided. | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| The plan must include the following declaration: "Declaration of accuracy | No such declaration has been included. | Declaration of accuracy will be included. | No response proposed, comment addressed – to check in next revision of | Declaration of accuracy included |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| I declare that to | | BMP/CEMP | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|--|
| the best of my | | received. | |
| knowledge all | | | |
| the information | | | |
| contained in or | | | |
| accompanying | | | |
| this document is | | | |
| complete | | | |
| current and | | | |
| | | | |
| making this | | | |
| doclaration Lam | | | |
| aware that | | | |
| aware that | | | |
| the Environment | | | |
| Direction and | | | |
| Piolection unu Riodiversity Act | | | |
| 1000 (Cth) (CDDC | | | |
| 1999 (Clii) (EPBC | | | |
| ACL) makes it an | | | |
| offence in certain | | | |
| circumstances to | | | |
| knowingly | | | |
| provide faise or | | | |
| misleading | | | |
| information or | | | |
| documents to | | | |
| specified persons | | | |
| who are known | | | |
| to be performing | | | |
| a duty or carrying | | | |
| out a function | | | |
| under the EPBC | | | |
| Act or the | | | |
| Environment | | | |
| Protection and | | | |
| Biodiversity | | | |
| Conservation | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| <i>Regulations 2000</i> (Cth). The offence is punishable on | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| imprisonment or a fine, or both. I am authorised to | | | | |
| bind the approval holder to this declaration, and I have no | | | | |
| knowledge of that authorisation being revoked at | | | | |
| the time of making this declaration." | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| The declaration | | | | |
| must then be signed by an | | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005


| authorised person. | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| DAWE - Additiona | DAWE - Additional Comments (12/03/2021) – Amended in Rev F | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | If cause of listed fauna fatality is from road strike within CIZ, a review of avoidance measures should be undertaken and adaptively managed to prevent further | Yes | 37 | Mitigation measure B39 added to address this. | | | | | |
| 2 | If cause of listed fauna fatality on a public road, a review should be undertaken to ensure project activities are not forcing fauna onto the road, and then avoidance and mitigation measures reviewed and adaptively managed. | Yes | 38 | Mitigation measure B40 added to address this. | | | | | |
| 3 | Add to Appendix E TARP for fauna species that any injured fauna, not just threatened | Yes | 135 | App E updated to address comments. | | | | | |



| | species would be | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------------------|
| | taken to a vet. | | | |
| | Update actions | | | |
| | taken to include the | | | |
| | timelines for | | | |
| | notifying | | | |
| | DAWE/NSW | | | |
| | authorities of death | | | |
| | of a threatened | | | |
| | species (I believe it | | | |
| | was 24 hours – | | | |
| | please put | | | |
| | reference to this | | | |
| | procedure in BMP). | | | |
| | Include in action | | | |
| | taken, to review | | | |
| | work practices to | | | |
| | minimise any | | | |
| | further injury or | | | |
| | death ie create | | | |
| | adaptive | | | |
| | management loop. | | | |
| 4 | Appendix H Fauna | Yes | 140 | Appendix H amended as per comments. |
| | Handling Procedure, | | | |
| | Procedure 5a "If | | | |
| | time permits call | | | |
| | ecologist or fauna | | | |
| | rescue for advice". | | | |
| | This conflicts with | | | |
| | procedure 2 | | | |
| | "Contact project | | | |
| | ecologist to obtain | | | |
| | positive | | | |
| | identification of the | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| subject species". | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Recommend | | | |
| remove procedure | | | |
| 5a, and add to | | | |
| procedure 2 'obtain | | | |
| advice for action to | | | |
| be taken from | | | |
| project ecologist'. | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



Appendix C Biodiversity Risk Assessment

The N2NS Risk Management Plan includes full details on the risk assessment process utilised by Trans4m Rail. A risk assessment has been completed utilising the risk matrix included within Appendix A of the N2NS Risk Management Plan (refer below) to assess the risks of the project not achieving full compliance with legislative requirements (i.e. EPBC Act, CoA's, SPIR, RMMs and Project EIS) in relation to biodiversity. This risk assessment is included below.

Likelihood Rating

Risk / Opportunity Rating Table

| | | | | | c | DNSEQUE | ICE | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------|---|---------|-----|---|---|
| PROBABILITY OR CHANCE | QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT | RECURRENCE TIMEFRAME | | RATING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ≥ 90% | Almost certain to occur during the project / contract life | Less than "Monthly" | | ALMOST CERTAIN | D | с | в | A | A |
| 51% to 89% | Considered likely to occur during the project / contract life | "Monthly" to "Yearly" | 9 | LIKELY | D | D | с | в | A |
| 30% to 50% | Considered a possible occurrence during the project / contract life | Between 2 and 5 years | епноо | POSSIBLE | E | D | с | с | в |
| 5% to 29% | Considered unlikely to occur during the project / contract life | Between 5 and 20 years | ŝ | UNLIKELY | E | E | D | с | в |
| < 5% | Considered a rare occurrence to happen during the project / contract life | Greater than every 20 years | | RARE / REMOTE | E | E | D | D | с |

Opportunity Consequence Rating

| CONSEQUENCE - RISK | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| RATING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| Workplace Health and Safety | First aid injury, and/or Minor safe working issues | Medical treatment, and/or Moderate safe working breach likely to impact on operations | Serious medical / hospital treatment resulting in need alternate working or resulting in lost time injury, and/or Significant safe working breach with actual impact on operations | Serious or permanent Injury, and/or Significant safe working beach with immediate impact on operations on one or more worksites | * 1 or more fatalities, and/or * Major breach of safe working with immediate and extensive impact on one or more worksites | | | | | |
| Budget (\$AUD) | < \$8,307,028 (<1%) under project budget | \$8,307,028 to \$31,535,130 (1% to 3%) under project budget | \$31,535,130 to \$31,535,130 (3% to 5%) under project budget | \$31,535,130 to \$83,070,281 (5% to 10%) under project budget | >\$83,070,281 (>10%) under project budget | | | | | |
| Time Schedule (Target Program) | < 10 days (<1% of program) under the critical path program | 10 to 21 days (1% to 2% of program) under the critical path program | 21 to 32 days (2% to 3% of program) under the critical path program | 32 to 54 days (3% to 5% of program) under the critical path program | >54 days (>5% program) under the critical path program | | | | | |
| Environment & Natural Resources | * Low severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability that are promptly reversible and affected boundary | * Nuisance or low severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability that are promptly reversible and affected area is outside the site boundary | * Moderate severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability where the affected area is within the site boundary | Moderate severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability where the affected area is outside the site boundary | High severity environmental impact(s) or impact on natural resources availability at local scale significance | | | | | |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity.



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pre-construction | Clearing outside approved CIZ and clearing areas exceeding approval requirements. | В | Impacts to plant community types will not exceed those identified in the CoA (Table E1) and any future approved Consistency Assessments. If Construction is required outside of those areas previously assessed as part of the biodiversity assessment for the EIS and SPIR, additional field survey and analysis through a Consistency Assessment is required. Clearing of Koala habitat will be minimised by reducing the construction footprint where possible. The CEMP and construction plans will clearly document the location and full extent of clearing required. Prior to construction, demarcation of the clearing boundary (CIZ) is to be undertaken. The extent of any areas of clearing are to be defined with roped flagging or similar. Sensitive Area Plans are to be prepared which clearly show all areas of sensitive biodiversity associated with the site. Plans are to be included on Environmental Control Maps (ECM). Sensitive biodiversity areas (threatened species habitat/ TECs) occurring in proximity to the clearing boundary would be fenced with appropriate signage to prevent inadvertent access/ impacts. | D | Approved CIZ Clearing tracking register Approved design Minister's Conditions of Approval Environmental Control Map Clearing Management Procedure T4MR -MPR- ENV-004 |
| | | | A clearing tracking register will be established and maintained throughout the project to accurately track 'as-built' vegetation clearing | | |



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | impacts for the project to demonstrate compliance with relevant CoAs. | | |
| Clearing Pre-clearing surveys | Fauna mortality | В | Pre-clearance surveys will be undertaken by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists within areas of woody native vegetation that are to be cleared and would involve: Identification and demarcation of habitat trees which are defined as trees containing hollows, cracks or fissures and spouts, active nests, dreys or other signs of recent fauna usage. Identification of other fauna habitat features including fallen timber/hollow logs and burrows. Identification of habitat features that are suitable for translocation or salvage. Identification and demarcation of any threatened flora to be retained occurring in proximity to the CIZ. Pre-clearing surveys would also be undertaken of any culverts/ bridges/ built structures that are to be removed to identify roosting habitat for microbats (refer to microbat mitigation measures). | С | Clearing Management Procedure T4MR -MPR- ENV-004 EWMS-Clearing and Grubbing NSW Legislation, Guidelines and Policies – Flora and Fauna Management Sub-plans Guideline |
| Clearing Unexpected Threatened Species Finds | | С | During pre-clearing surveys, it is possible that previously unidentified threatened species (not considered within the EIS) may be identified. Unexpected finds will be documented by the ecologist with no works to be undertaken within such areas until further assessment is undertaken including: ✓ Assessment by ecologist | E | Clearing Management Procedure T4MR -MPR- ENV-004 Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure |

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | Referral of finding to ARTC and regulatory authorities. Approval to proceed works is received from ARTC. NOTE: Unexpected finds of threatened flora, threatened fauna or EEC will be managed in accordance with Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure. | | NSW Legislation, Guidelines and Policies – Flora and Fauna Management Sub-plans Guideline |
| Clearing Clearing supervision (Fauna spotter/ catcher) | Fauna mortality | В | Identify appropriate local vet or rescue organisation/wildlife carers/facilities. Contact details for this person/company must be available in the relevant ECM. A suitably qualified fauna spotter catcher is to be present during the following clearing activities: Clearing of any mature trees (>3 metres) in height. Removal of habitat trees, stags and nests. The fauna spotter catcher would undertake searches during clearing for any fauna and undertake relocation where possible. Uninjured animals would be released on the day of capture into nearby suitable secure habitat and would not be held for extended periods of time. Injured animals would be taken to the nearest veterinary clinic or wildlife carer as soon as possible for assessment and treatment. As part of clearing supervision, a preclearance survey is to be undertaken immediately prior to the commencement of any vegetation clearing to identify and | С | Clearing Management Procedure T4MR -MPR- ENV-004 Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Finds Procedure NSW Legislation, Guidelines and Policies – Flora and Fauna Management Sub-plans Guideline |



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | relocate if possible, any fauna within clearing areas. Where a Koala is located within a clearing area, clearing activities would stop and a 50m buffer would be established around the animal with no clearing within this area to resume until the fauna spotter/ catcher confirms the animal has left the area of its own volition. The Project Team will ensure the koala has a means of egress to more away from construction so the animal is not isolated with no route to escape. Unexpected finds of threatened flora and fauna or EEC would be managed in accordance with Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Finds Procedure. | | |
| Clearing Felling of habitat trees | Fauna mortality | В | Habitat trees would be subject to a two-stage clearing process involving: Initial clearing of non-habitat trees around habitat trees within the immediate vicinity of habitat tree. Allowing habitat trees to stand for at least 48 hours after initial clearing to allow fauna the opportunity to self-relocate. Felling of habitat trees would be supervised by the attending fauna spotter catcher. The use of a harvester head would be used to carefully lower habitat trees to the ground where possible. All habitat trees are to be lowered gently to the ground where possible. Additional steps such as bumping the habitat tree three times over a 5 minute period would be undertaken to encourage fauna to vacate | C | Clearing Management Procedure T4MR -MPR- ENV-004 EWMS Clearing and Grubbing Clearing Permit T4MR - FRM-ENV-001-02 Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure NSW Legislation, Guidelines and Policies – Flora and Fauna Management Sub-plans Guideline |

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity

Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | prior to felling where the potential to lower the tree gently is low. The fauna spotter catcher would search all habitat trees immediately after felling to identify and capture any fauna present. Uninjured animals would be released on the day of capture into nearby suitable secure habitat and would not be held for extended periods of time. Injured animals would be taken to the nearest veterinary clinic or wildlife carer as soon as possible for assessment and treatment. Hollow branches would be salvaged for reuse as hollow logs in adjacent retained vegetation within the CIZ, where appropriate. Unexpected finds of threatened flora, threatened fauna or EEC will be managed in accordance with Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure. | | |
| Clearing Re-use of timber | | E | The community, Landcare groups and government agencies will be consulted to determine if retained timber and root balls can be reused in habitat and rehabilitation work. | E | Minister's Conditions of Approval |
| Clearing Documentation | Not demonstrating project compliance | D | Any death of a State or Commonwealth listed threatened fauna species would be reported to ARTC and the applicable government department. A fauna register will be maintained during clearing by the ecologist/ fauna spotter catcher of: ✓ All habitat trees recorded/ cleared. | E | Incident & Event Management Procedure T4MR -MPR-SQU-010 Clearing Permit T4MR - FRM-ENV-001-02 Appendix D - Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | All details of fauna captures/ relocation. All fauna mortalities. Any fauna taken into care and outcomes. A post-clearing report would be completed at the completion of clearing activities documenting all data collected in the relevant work area. | | Community Find Procedure |
| General construction | Poor understanding of project biodiversity obligations | A | Employee education and training including inductions for staff, contractors and visitors to the site would include the biodiversity issues present at the site to ensure all personnel understand responsibilities in relation to the protection and/or minimisation of impacts to native biodiversity. | В | Project induction Site induction procedure T4MR-MPR-SQE-001 |
| Works in proximity to CIZ boundary | Damage to trees adjacent to the CIZ | В | Trees that occur within the CIZ boundary that will be retained will be protected in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (incorporating Amendment No. 1 (March 2010)). Indirect impacts to any vegetation beyond the CIZ boundary will be managed in general accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (incorporating Amendment No. 1 (March 2010)). NOTE: No clearing of vegetation or disturbance to groundcover is permitted beyond the CIZ. Should clearing occur beyond the CIZ this will be considered an environmental incident and managed in accordance with Trans4m Incident and Event Management procedure (T4MR-MPR-SQE-010), ARTC's Project Environmental Incident and Reporting Procedure (5-9020-0000-EEC-PR0001) | С | Approved CIZ AS 4970-2009 Clearing Permit T4MR - FRM-ENV-001-02 |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | and notification will occur in accordance with project approvals or licences. Incidents, emergencies, response plans and non- conformities are discussed in detail in Section 9 of the CEMP. | | |
| Demolition of bridges/ culverts (microbat habitat) | Microbat mortality | В | Pre-clearing surveys would be undertaken of any culverts/ bridges that are to be removed to identify roosting habitat for microbats. For any structures identified as potential microbat habitat an additional pre-clearance survey would be undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist on the day prior to the disturbance of these structures to determine if microbats are present. If small numbers (<10) of non-breeding bats are present an ecologist would either: ✓ Install exclusion after the bats have vacated the site at night. ✓ Capture and relocate the bats that evening. Where larger numbers or breeding microbats are identified a specific plan would be developed and implemented by an ecologist with microbat experience in consultation with ARTC and DPIE (BCS). Only suitably qualified ecologists with up to date bat Lyssavirus vaccinations are to handle microbats. | C | Clearing Permit T4MR - FRM-ENV-001-02 |
| Works near / in creeks, piling pads and temporary crossings | Impacts to waterway Impacts (complete or partial blockages) to fish passage. | В | Works within the riparian zone would maximise, where practicable, the preservation of any existing vegetation and minimise disturbance. | E | Waterway Crossing EWMS Why do fish need to cross the road? Fish passage requirements for |

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Indirect and direct impacts to key fish habitat i.e. instream structures, sediment laden runoff, noise and vibration, etc. | | Any instream large woody debris in the development footprint would be relocated upstream or downstream. Designs for works within or near watercourses would provide for the retention of natural functions and maintenance of fish passage in accordance with Why do fish need to cross the road? Fish passage requirements for waterway crossings (Fairfull and Witheridge, 2003). Works within watercourses will not commence during periods of rain or high flow events. | | waterway crossings (Fairfull and Witheridge, 2003). Clearing Permit T4MR - FRM-ENV-001-02 |
| | Aquatic fauna mortality | В | Any pools in watercourses that would be impacted by construction would be dewatered according to a dewatering procedure to be prepared. The dewatering procedure is to include methods for collection and relocation of native aquatic fauna (defishing) in offsite habitat and euthanasia of exotic species. | С | Water Discharge permit T4MR -FRM-ENV-001-01 |
| Trenches/ deep excavation | Fauna mortality | С | Where possible trenches/ deep excavation are not to be left open overnight. Where possible for trenches/ excavation left open overnight, a fauna escape ramp/ ladder (plastic garden mesh/ timber plank) is to be provided. Trenches/ excavations left overnight are to be inspected prior to works commencing for fauna with any fauna present to <u>only</u> be captured/ relocated by a suitably qualified fauna spotter/ catcher. | D | Clearing Permit T4MR - FRM-ENV-001-02 Flora & Fauna ECM- T4MR -FRM-ENV-001-06 |

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Management of cane toads | Lethal toxic ingestion, caused by cane toads to local fauna. | С | Cane toads have nor do not currently occur in the greater New England region - including in the area between Moree and Narrabri. The current known distribution of toads in New South Wales is essentially east of the Great Diving Range - a considerable distance from the area. In addition, current models predict that the climate in the region is unlikely to be suitable for toads becoming established (Kearney et al. 2008; Kolbe et al. 2010). There have been few historical records of individuals that have been translocated (accidentally) to the region, though never in numbers or to specific areas that have threatened them becoming established (see ALA 2021). If cane toads are detected in the area, expert advice should be sought immediately in initiating control and eradication measures. These will include manual removal of adults and if necessary, eggs, tadpoles and metamorph (juvenile) toads. Such measures have been demonstrated to be effective for local eradication of small established populations (Greenlees et al. 2018). | E | Pest and Weed Management Appendix |
| Weed, pest and pathogen management | Proliferation and spread of weeds, pest species and pathogens. | В | A separate Pest and Weed Management (PWMP) Sub-plan has been prepared for the project. All measures within this plan would be implemented. | E | Pest and Weed Management Appendix |
| Earthworks | Reduced water quality as a result of released sediments. | В | All erosion sediment control measures detailed within the Progressive Erosion Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) would be implemented. | E | Erosion and Sediment control procedure T4MR- MPR-ENV-005 |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04

ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005



| CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY/ ASPECT | POTENTIAL IMPACT | RISK LEVEL PRIOR TO MITIGATION | INDICATIVE MITIGATION MEASURES | RISK LEVEL FOLLOWING MITIGATION | DOCUMENTS / PROCEDURES / TRAINING REQUIRED |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| | | | Rehabilitation of disturbed areas would be undertaken progressively and in accordance with the rehabilitation strategy. | | Progressive Erosion Sediment Control Plan |

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



Appendix D Vegetation Communities and Koala Habitat Mapping

Revision No: 1 T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



Vegetation:

Cleared/Non-native Vegetation

- Zone 1 PCT-27 BVT-BR233, NA219-Weeping Myall open woodland of the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion-Moderate - Good
- Zone 2 PCT-35 BVT-BR120, NA117-Brigalow Belah open forest / woodland on alluvial often gilgaied clay from Pilliga Scrub to Goondiwindi, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion-Moderate – Good
- Zone 3 PCT-39 BVT-BR130, NA129-Coolabah River Coobah Lignum waadland wetland of frequently flooded floodplains mainly in the Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion-Moderate - Good
- Zone 4 PCT-52 BVT-BR191, NA187-Queensland Bluegrass +/- Mitchell Grass grassland on cracking clay Hoodplains and alluvial plains mainly the northern-eastern Darling Riverine Plains Biaregion-Native Grassland Zone 5 - PCT-56 BVT-BR186, NA182-Poplar Box - Belah woodland on clay-loam soils on alluvial plains of
- north-central NSW-Moderate Good
- Zone 6 PCT-56 BVT-BR186, NA182-Poplar Box Belah woodland on clay-loam soils on alluvial plains of north-central NSW-Derived Native Grasslands
- Zone 7 PCT-71 BVT-BR127,NA126-Carbeen White Cypress Pine River Red Gum bloodwood tall woodland an sandy laam alluvial and aeolian soils in the northern Brigalaw Belt South Bioregion and Darling Riverine Plains Bioregion-Moderate - Good
- Zone 8 PCT-78 BVT-BR196, NA193-River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregian and Brigalaw Belt South Bioregian-Maderate - Good
- Zone 9 PCT-135 BVT-BR284, NA271-Coobah Western Rosewood law apan tall shrubland or woodland mainly on autwosh areas in the Brigalow Bell South Bioregion-Moderate - Good
- Zone 10 PCT-413 BVT-BR346, NA348-Silver-leaved Ironbark White Cypress Pine box dry shrub grass woodland of the Pilliga Scrub - Warialda region, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion-Moderate - Good

APPENDIX A

Appendix A Figures A1 - A56 Legend

File Name (A4): 205:3607_205_legend-dg



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend



FIGURE A1

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_016.dgn 20160718 15.59



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

Development Site
 S50m Buffer Areo
 IBRA Regions and Subregion Areos
 Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A2

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_017.dgm 20160718 16.00



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

| Lugu | ii u |
|------|----------------------------------|
| | Development Site |
| 123 | 550m Buffer Areo |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Areas |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A3

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_018.dgm 20160718 16.03



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A4

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_019.dgn 20160718 16.03



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A5

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_020.dgn 20160718 16.04



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

Development Site 550m Buffer Areo Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A6

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_021.dgn 20160718 16.04



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

Development Site 550m Buffer Areo Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A7

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_022.dgn 20160718 16.04



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



Development Site 550m Buffer Areo Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A8

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_023.dgn 20160718 16.05



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



FIGURE A9

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_024.dgn 20160718 16.05



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



FIGURE A10

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_025.dgn 20160718 16.06



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

Development Site 550m Buffer Areo Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A11

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_026.dgn 20160718 16.06



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend Development Site • Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A12

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_027.dgn 20160718 16.07



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



FIGURE A13

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_028.dgn 20160718 16.07



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A14

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_029.dgn 20160718 16.08



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Local Government Area • Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A15

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_030.dgn 20160718 16.08



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



FIGURE A16

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_031.dgn 20160718 16.08



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

| Development Site |
|----------------------------------------|
| 1 550m Buffer Areo |
| Mitchell Londscope Area |
| Local Government Area |
| Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A17

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_032.dgn 20160718 16.10



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend

Development Site 550m Buffer Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A18

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_033.dgn 20160718 16.10



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



Development Site 550m Buffer Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A19

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_034.dgm 20160718 16.11



lmage Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page



FIGURE A20

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_035.dgn 20160718 16.11


Legend

Development Site 550m Buffer Area • Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A21

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_036.dgn 20160718 16.12





FIGURE A22

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_037.dgn 20160718 16.12





Development Site 550m Buffer Areo Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A23

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_038.dgm 20160718 16.30



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A24

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_039.dgn 20160718 16.31





FIGURE A25

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_040.dgn 20160718 16.31





Development Site 550m Buffer Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A26

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_041.dgn 20160718 16.33



| Leg | end | |
|-----|--------------------|---|
| | Development Site | |
| 123 | 550m Buffer Area | |
| | Rail Line Chainage | 5 |

FIGURE A27

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_042.dgn 20160718 16.33





Development Site 550m Buffer Areo Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A28

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_043.dgn 20160718 16.34



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A29

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_044.dgm 20160718 16.34



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A30

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_045.dgm 20160718 16.35



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

FIGURE A31

Legend Covelopment Site
 S50m Buffer Area
 Mitchell Landscape Area
 IBRA Regions and Subregion Area
 Rail Line Chainage

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_046.dgn 20160718 16.35



Legend

| | Development Site | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| 123 | 550m Buffer Area | |
| | Mitchell Landscape Area | |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area | |
| | Rail Line Chainage | |

FIGURE A32

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_047.dgm 20160601 16.19



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

FIGURE A33

Covelopment Site
 S50m Buffer Area
 Mitchell Landscape Area
 IBRA Regions and Subregion Area
 Rail Line Chainage

Legend

File Name (A4): R05/3607_048.dgn 20160718 16.36

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment



Legend

- Development Site
- 1 550m Buffer Area
- Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

File Name (A4): R05/3607_049.dgn 20160718 16.36

FIGURE A34

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

1:15 000



Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

Development Site t== 550m Buffer Area

- Mitchell Landscape Area
- Rail Line Chainage

File Name (A4): R05/3607_050.dgn 20160718 16.36



Development Site

- 1 550m Buffer Area
- Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

File Name (A4): R05/3607_051.dgn 20160601 16.37

FIGURE A36

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment



- Development Site
- 1 550m Buffer Area
- Rail Line Chainage

File Name (A4): R05/3607_052.dgn 20160718 16.37

FIGURE A37

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment



Legend

- Development Site
- 550m Buffer Area
- Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A38

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

1:15 000

File Name (A4): R05/3607_053.dgn 20160718 16.38



Legend

- Development Site
- 1 = = 550m Buffer Area
- Rail Line Chainage

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

FIGURE A39

File Name (A4): R05/3607_054.dgn 20160718 16.38





FIGURE A40

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_055.dgn 20160718 16.38



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Local Government Area • Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A41

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_056.dgn 20160602 9.17



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

| Development Site | |
|----------------------------------------|-----|
| 550m Buffer Area | |
| Mitchell Landscope Area | |
| IBRA Regions and Subregion A | ren |
| Rail Line Chainage | |

FIGURE A42

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_057.dgn 20160718 16.39



Legend

| | Development Site |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| 125 | 550m Buffer Areo |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A43

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_058.dgm 20160718 16.39



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscope Area • Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A44

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_059.dgn 20160609 16.36



Legend

| 9 - | | |
|-----|----------------------------|------|
| | Development Site | |
| 25 | 550m Buffer Areo | |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area | |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion | Areo |
| | Rail Line Chainage | |

FIGURE A45

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_060.dgn 20160718 16.40



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

| Lugu | in u | |
|------|---------------------------------|--|
| | Development Site | |
| 125 | 550m Buffer Area | |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area | |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area | |
| | Rail Line Chainage | |

FIGURE A46

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_061.dgm 20160602 9.51



Legend Development Site
 S50m Buffer Area
 IBRA Regions and Subregion Area
 Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A47

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_062.dgn 20160718 16.40





FIGURE A48

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_063.dgn 20160602 9.56



Legend

| | Development Site |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| 123 | 550m Buffer Areo |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A49

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_064.dgn 20160718 16.41



Legend

| Lugu | ii u |
|------|---------------------------------|
| | Development Site |
| 125 | 550m Buffer Area |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A50

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_065.dgn 20160718 16.41



Legend

| 9 - | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| | Development Site | |
| 123 | 550m Buffer Areo | |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area | |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area | |
| | Roil Line Chainage | |

FIGURE A51

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_066.dgm 20160718 16.42



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016). Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

| Lugu | |
|------|---------------------------------|
| | Development Site |
| 123 | 550m Buffer Areo |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A52

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Nome (A4): R05/3607_067.dgn 20160718 16.42



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

| LUYU | nu |
|------|---------------------------------|
| | Development Site |
| 123 | 550m Buffer Area |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A53

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_068.dgn 20160602 10.08



Image Source: ARTC (2016) Data Source: ARTC (2016), BioNet Atlas of NSW Wildlife (OEH 2016), Umwelt (2016) Note: For full legend, refer to legend page

| | Development Site |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| 123 | 550m Buffer Areo |
| | Mitchell Landscope Area |
| | IBRA Regions and Subregion Area |
| | Rail Line Chainage |

FIGURE A54

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_069.dgn 20160602 10.12



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A55

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_070.dgm 20160602 10.15



Legend Development Site 550m Buffer Area Mitchell Landscape Area Rail Line Chainage

FIGURE A56

Survey Effort, Vegetation Map, Threatened Species Locations and Landscape Assessment

File Name (A4): R05/3607_071.dgn 20160718 16.43


Appendix E Trigger Action Response Plan

| TRIGGER | ACTION | RESPONSIBILITY | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| WEEDS, PEST AND PATHOGEN | | | |
| A WONS, Declared Wee Species, plant pathogen pest species is identified on-site. NOTE: This includes an observed increase in the abundance or distributior of a WONS, Declared Weed Species, plant pathogen or pest species | d - Ecologist consulted to confirm species / pathogen. Mapping of affected areas or population undertaken immediately. Exclusion set-up around impacted / infestation area. Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. Undertake management or control activities as per the Pest and Weed Management Appendix, or as otherwise directed by the Ecologist or Pest and Weed Contractor. Investigate source / cause of the introduction or infestation. Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM, as required. | Environment Manager Environmental Co- ordinator Ecologist Weed / Pest Management Contractor / Consultant Supervisor | |
| A Cane Toad is detected on the site. | Ecologist consulted to confirm presence. Within 24 hours notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. Notification to include a management response to be prepared in consultation with a Cane Toad expert including monitoring and control actions to be implemented on the site to eradicate all toads within the CIZ. These will include manual removal of adults and if necessary, eggs, tadpoles and metamorph (juvenile) toads. Advice from Cane Toad expert, Dr Matthew Greenlees: Cane toads have nor do not currently occur in the greater New England region - including in the area between Moree and Narrabri. The current known distribution of toads in New South Wales is essentially east of the Great Diving Range - a considerable distance from the area. In addition, current models predict that the climate in the region is unlikely to be suitable for toads becoming established (Kearney et al. 2008; Kolbe et al. 2010). There have been few historical records of individuals that have been translocated (accidentally) to the region, though never in numbers or to specific areas that have threatened them becoming established (see ALA 2021). If cane toads are detected in the area, expert advice should be sought immediately in initiating control and eradication measures. These will include manual removal | Environment Manager Environmental Co- ordinator Ecologist Cane Toad expert | |

Revision No: 1 T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity

Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| | ACTION | RESPONSIBILITY | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | of adults and if necessary, eggs, tadpoles and metamorph (juvenile) toads. Such measures have been demonstrated to be effective for local eradication of small established populations (Greenlees et al. 2018). | | |
| Where control of pests, pathogens and / or weeds is undertaken and follow- up monitoring confirms that the control works has not adequately controlled the risk (i.e. new germination / new weed growth, increase in abundance or distribution of pathogen or weed, low mortality of weed species, increase in population or distribution of pest species, etc). | Investigate reason for the additional / ongoing infestation. Mapping of affected areas or population undertaken immediately. Consult Ecologist and Weed and Pest Contractors regarding follow up / additional control works. Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM as required. | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Ecologist Weed / Pest Management Contractor / Consultant Supervisor | |
| ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSIT | VE ENVIRONMENTS (GENERAL) | | |
| Threatened species or EEC unexpectedly identified during the pre- clearance survey. | Exclusion area set-up around threatened species / EEC. Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. Enact the Project's Threatened Species / EEC Unexpected Finds Procedure. Ecologist engaged to undertake a Test of Significance as per the Biodiversity Conservation Act, or similar test. Ecologist to recommend additional controls or management requirements. Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM as | Environment Manager Environment Coordinator Ecologist Supervisor | |
| Mapped native vegetation | required.Stop works, protect the area and notify the | | |
| (EEC or otherwise) cleared or directly impacted outside the CIZ. | Environment Manager. Consult Project Ecologist regarding impact caused to vegetation. Manage event in accordance with Project's | | |
| | Environmental Incident Procedure. - Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities | | |
| | - Breach of CIZ investigated (using suitable investigation method) to understand root cause of incident. | | |
| | - Additional controls developed to avoid reoccurrence of incident. | | |



| TRIGGER | | ACTION | RESPONSIBILITY | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | - Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. | | |
| | | - Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM as required. | | |
| FA | UNA | | | |
| Microbats confirmed in a structure (i.e. bridge or culvert) during the pre- clearance surveys (undertaken weekly for the | | - Ecologist engaged to undertake exclusion works. This exclusion works must be undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced Ecologist in accordance with industry best practice. | Environment Manager Ecologist Supervisor | |
| | commencing). | Ecologist consulted regarding installation of compensatory habitat. Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. | | |
| | | Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM as | | |
| | | required Undertaken monitoring to confirm no microbats return to structure. | | |
| • | Following exclusion works occurring (if required), microbats confirmed to return to the structure. | -Ecologist engaged to undertake additional exclusion works. This exclusion works must be undertaken by a suitably qualified and experienced Ecologist in accordance with industry best practice. | | |
| | | - Ecologist consulted regarding installation of compensatory habitat. | | |
| | | - Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. | | |
| | | Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. | | |
| | | - Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM as required. | | |
| | | Undertaken monitoring to confirm no microbats return to structure. | | |
| • | If partial exclusion is required whilst works are occurring on a structure and microbats remain in situ and the Construction | - Construction Team to stop and observe flyout event i.e. time, duration, approx. quantity of microbats leaving structure, general behaviour (leave structure and not return or short flight and return to structure, etc). | Construction Team Environment Manager Ecologist | |
| | Team observe daytime "flyout". | - Stop works and consult the Ecologist. | | |
| | - | - Ecologist consulted regarding whether works can proceed as is, or if additional controls need to be implemented based on the flyout event and observations. | | |
| • | Koala identified within the impact zone during pre- | - Exclusion established around Koala and local work crews notified of the find. | Environment Manager Ecologist | |
| clearance surveys. | | - Enact the Project's Threatened Species / EEC Unexpected Finds Procedure. | | |

Revision No: 1 T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity

Issue Date: 20/08/2021



| TRIGGER | ACTION | RESPONSIBILITY |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| | - Ecologist immediately engaged regarding suitable management practises. | |
| | NOTE: The Project's preference is not to interfere with the Koala and allow the individual to relocate on its own. Physical capture and relocation is last resort and will be only be undertaken by a suitably experienced Ecologist, in consultation with the Project ER, ARTC and DPIE. | |
| | - Surrounding food trees within the CIZ may be collared, if required. | |
| | - Notify ARTC, Project ER and any relevant authorities. | |
| | - Communicate any findings or change of management to the work force. | |
| | - Update CEMP, sub-Plans and any ECM as required. | |
| Fauna species (threatened or otherwise) found on-site believed to be orphaned, sick, injured or killed. | Environment Manager consulted regarding taking the orphaned, sick or injured animal to Vet or WIRES carer (Wildlife Rescue Phone 1300 094 737). All threatened (and other) species (injured, | All personnel Environmental Coordinator Ecologist |
| | orphaned, sick or dead) would be taken to a Vet. Dead threatened species would require a cause of death and general health of individual provided by Vet. | |
| | - Threatened species would require notification to Project ER, ARTC and the relevant authorities within 24hrs. | |
| | - Fauna injuries/ mortalities recorded within a project fauna register. | |
| | - A review of the work practices will be undertaken to minimise any further injury or death to fauna species. Additional avoidance or mitigation measures will be adapted as required. | |

Appendix F Clearing Management Inspection Checklist

Clearing Management Inspection TRANS 4 M Checklist RAIL



To be completed prior and during construction works

| 'Person Conducting Inspection (Including Role): | Date: | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Inspection Team Members (Including Roles): | | |
| Work Description / Location: | | |
| Relevant Supervisor / Leading Hand: | | |

Instructions: The Person conducting the inspection must do so in consultation with the Workers performing the task

| | Checklist Item | Yes/No/NA (√/×/NA) | Actions / Leading Practice Identified / Documents Reviewed |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Has the project obtained all permits, licences and approvals required for clearing works? | | |
| 2. | Have the Project Engineer and Site Supervisor been consulted in preparation of the SEP? | | |
| 3. | Does SEP document a) Clearing limits b) Exclusion zones c) Control measures d) Processes, equipment and resources required to undertake clearing works | | |
| 4. | Have all Supervisors and site workers involved in clearing completed targeted and ongoing training (as required)? | | |
| 5. | Have all relevant conditions associated with permits, licences and approvals been addressed? Where these are a hold point to works commencing have they been addressed before works started? | | |
| 6. | Are any additional studies and/or site investigations required to quantify the impact on: a) Heritage values and/or b) Flora and fauna | | |
| 7. | Are all erosion and sediment controls in place prior to the commencement of clearing works? | | |
| 8. | Are clearing works sequenced and staged to minimise the area of exposed earth and time of exposure? | | |
| 9. | Has the project developed and communicated an Unexpected Finds Protocol? | | |
| Clearing Limits and Protected Areas | | | |
| 10 | Have all necessary clearing limits been established, demarcated, maintained and complied with? | | |
| 11. | Protected heritage flora and fauna areas identified, demarcated and clearly signed | | |

Document Number: T4MR-FRM-WH8-008-10 Revision No:1

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the IMS electronic version for validity Iccue Date:10/04/2018

Page 1 of 2

Clearing Management Inspection TRANS IM RAIL

To be completed prior and during construction works

| Eracian and Sodiment Controls | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 12. Are controls appropriately implemented to protect receiving waters? | | | |
| 13. Are controls appropriately maintained? | | | |
| Monitoring | | | |
| Have the necessary monitoring requirements been implemented? e.g. Pre-clearing survey, fauna spotting, and heritage supervision | | | |
| 15. Are monitoring results available and communicated to stakeholders? | | | |
| Rehabilitation and Protection | | | |
| Are protected areas being rehabilitated / stabilised as required? | | | |
| 17. Are plans for permanent protection being undertaken? | | | |
| Appreciative Enquiry | | | |
| 18. Do the workers understand why clearing activities and land access need to be managed during construction? | | | |
| 19. Do the workers understand the task? Have they been asked for input into how the task is to be done? | | | |
| Is the task being completed as planned? Question the workers as to whether they think there is a better way to complete the task. | | | |

| Actions | | | | |
|-----------|--------|----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| QN No. | Action | Due Date | Person Responsible | Action Closed (Y/N) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Leading Practice | | | |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| QN No. | Leading Practice | Shared with Region (Y/N) | Person Responsible |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Revision No:1

Dooument Number: T4MR-FRM-WH8-008-10

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the IMS electronic version for validity Issue Date:10/04/2018

Page 2 of 2



Appendix G Unexpected Threatened Species / Endangered Ecological Community Find Procedure

Purpose

This procedure details the actions to be taken when a threatened species (flora or fauna) or an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) is unexpectedly encountered during construction activities associated with the N2NS SP1 / Trans4m Rail Project.

Induction / Training

During the Project Induction, all Trans4m Rail and sub-Contractor personnel will be inducted on the identification of potential threatened species occurring on site and the relevant actions to be taken with regards to this procedure.

Scope

This procedure is applicable to all activities conducted by Trans4m Rail and sub-Contractor personnel that have the potential to come into contact with threatened species and EEC.

Procedure

1. Threatened Species unexpectedly encountered during clearing, excavation or other construction activities

If a threatened species, either flora or fauna, or an EEC is encountered prior to or during construction activities:

- STOP ALL WORK in the vicinity of the find.
- The area surrounding the find must be protected and the Trans4m Rail Supervisor and any other personnel working in the area must be immediately notified of the find.
- The Trans4m Rail Environment Manager / Coordinator must also be notified immediately who will contact ARTC and the Project Environmental Representative (ER).
- The Trans4m Rail Environment Manager / Coordinator will contact an Ecologist who will confirm the species / EEC is an unexpected find and / or threatened.
- If the find is confirmed not to be a threatened species or EEC, the Trans4m Rail Environment Manager will provide written approval to recommence works.
- If the species is confirmed to be a threatened species or EEC, Step 2 applies.

NOTE: Unexpected Finds will be immediately notified to ARTC and ARTC will notify the relevant regulatory agencies within 1 business day. A draft report must be provided to ARTC within 7 days and ARTC will provide a final version of the report to the relevant regulatory agencies with 14 days. The report must include the following:

- a. Date and time of discovery:
- b. Details of the discovery site (GPS points, description of vegetation, soil, microhabitat features present):
- Details of how potential relocation sites will be identified; C.
- d. Details of the individual/s discovered, including photographs;
- Photographs of the site (general location, vegetation, habitat features where the individual/s e. was discovered);
- Maps / plans identifying the location of the discovery at an appropriate scale; f.
- Details of the person/s who made the discovery; and a.
- Mitigation measures to be implemented h.

2. Assessment of Impact

In the event that the species is confirmed to be threatened, the Ecologist will undertake an assessment to determine the likely impact to the threatened species and appropriate management options developed i.e. Test of significance, in accordance with Section 7.3 of the Biodiversity Conservation Act or similar. This assessment will be documented.

NOTE: Trans4m Rail's Construction and Engineering personnel will be consulted to avoid any direct impacts to the threatened species or EEC.

Revision No: 1

3. Approvals



likely to be significantly impacted by the Project works. 4. Recommencement of Works Works will recommence once necessary advice has been sought and permits obtained (if required). If permits are not required, works can recommence after written advice from the Ecologist. Figure 1: Unexpected Threatened Species / EEC Find Flow Chart Yes No

ARTC and Trans4m Rail will obtain any licences, permits or approvals required if the species is

Revision No: 1

T4RM Document Number: 7632-T4MR-PL-PES-001-04 ARTC Document Number: 5-0018-260-PES-00-PL-0005 and must be checked against the Access electronic version for va-

When printed this document is an uncontrolled version and must be checked against the Aconex electronic version for validity Issue Date: 20/08/2021



Appendix H Fauna Handling Procedure

Purpose

This procedure explains the actions to be taken if an animal or eggs are discovered on the Project site that require handling or rescue during vegetation and soil clearance and ongoing construction activities. The procedure relates primarily to injured shocked and juvenile individuals but also applies to nocturnal fauna or slow moving species that may not be capable of moving away from mobile plant and equipment.

Scope

This procedure is applicable to all native and introduced species that are found on the Project site.

Induction / Training

All Trans4m Rail and Contractor personnel will attend the Project induction, which will include a section on Fauna.

Procedure

In the event wildlife (including shocked, juvenile animals or eggs) are discovered on the Project site during vegetation and soil clearance and ongoing construction activities the following steps shall be taken:

1. **STOP ALL WORK** in the vicinity of the fauna and immediately notify an Environmental Officer.

2. Contact project ecologist to obtain positive identification and advice / recommendations of the subject species.

- 3. Preferably allow fauna to leave the area without intervention.
- 4. If immediately available, use a licensed fauna ecologist or wildlife carer with specific animal handling experience to carry out any fauna handling.
- 5. To minimise stress to native fauna and remove the risk of further injury an appropriately licensed and experienced person shall:
 - a. Attempt to herd animal into adjoining forest, outside the CIZ.
 - b. If capture is necessary, cover larger animals with a towel or blanket and place in a large cardboard box and/or cotton/calico bag.
 - c. Place smaller animals in a cotton/calico bag tied at the top.
 - d. Keep the animal in a quiet, warm, ventilated and place away from noisy construction activities.
 - e. Aquatic fauna are to be placed in plastic aquaria or a moistened plastic bag. Frogs will be transported in moistened plastic bags (1 frog/bag) with a small amount of leaf litter. Handling and translocation of frogs shall be in accordance with the Hygiene Protocol for the Control of Disease in Frogs (see Note 3).

Note 1. Some animals require particular training before being handled (e.g. venomous reptiles, raptors) and should only be handled by appropriately qualified and experienced personnel i.e. Project Ecologist or wildlife carer.

Note 2. If handling bats, the handler must be vaccinated against the Australian Bat Lyssavirus (ABL - a form of rabies).

Note 3. Any frog handling will be undertaken in accordance with the Hygiene Protocol for the Control of Disease in Frogs (DECC 2008). This protocol recommends onsite hygiene precautions be undertaken to minimise the transfer of disease between and within wild frog populations. Measures recommended include:

i. Thoroughly cleaning/disinfecting footwear and equipment before entering frog habitat and when moving from one site to another.



- ii. In high risk areas, spraying/flushing vehicle tyres with a disinfecting solution and avoid driving through frog habitat.
- iii. Cleaning/disinfecting hands between collecting samples/frogs (preference would be given to using bags, rather than bare hands to handle frogs).
- iv. Limiting one frog or tadpole to a bag. Bags should not be reused.
- 6. If the animal cannot be handled (i.e. venomous reptiles);
 - a. Exclude all personnel from the vicinity with fencing and / or signage; and
 - b. Record the exact location of the individual and provide details to the appropriate rescue agency.
- 7. Call the Project Ecologist immediately and follow any advice provided. The ecologist may nominate to contact a rescue agency (e.g. WIRES 1300 094 737) to assist. Any decisions regarding the care of the animal will be made by the ecologist, with advice from the rescue agency as required. Contact details of key personnel are as follows:

In the event the rescue service and/or local veterinary service cannot be contacted, the injured animal will be delivered to the relevant agency as soon as practically possible. The injured animal should be recorded on the Fauna rescue and relocation register.

- 8. If the fauna species is a threatened species that is not identified in the EIS, the EO or EM must:
 - a. Apply the Unexpected Finds Procedure (Appendix G of the BMP)
 - b. Immediately cease all work likely to affect the threatened species.
 - c. The EM shall contact the ARTC Environmental Officer to inform them of the situation.
 - d. The EM shall then contact the following stakeholders, in this order, to determine the appropriate corrective actions and additional safeguards to be undertaken:
 - i. EPA (Ph: 131555).
 - ii. Environmental Representative.
 - iii. Others as instructed by ARTC.
 - e. Following consultation with all relevant stakeholders, the EM shall implement any corrective actions and additional safeguards.
 - f. Following confirmation by the EM that all appropriate safeguards have been implemented, construction works shall recommence.
- 9. Relocation of fauna adjacent to the footprint will be undertaken by, or under advice from, the project ecologist or wildlife carer and will be recorded on the Fauna rescue and relocation register. If the animal is not injured or stressed, it may be released nearby in an area that is not to be disturbed by construction, in accordance with the following procedures:
 - a. Sites identified as suitable release points by the Project Ecologist.
 - b. Release will be into similar habitat as close to the original area as possible.
 - c. If the species is nocturnal, release will be carried out at dusk.
 - d. Release would generally not be undertaken during periods of heavy rainfall.
 - e. Hollow-dependent species, particularly those with dependent young, shall be released into a temporary nest box.



Dewatering procedure and aquatic fauna relocation

Where necessary, aquatic fauna shall be relocated in accordance with the following steps:

- 1. Ensure all aquatic fauna relocation works are supervised by a suitably qualified aquatic ecologist.
- 2. Prior to the commencement of pumping, advice should be sought from the aquatic ecologist on pumping methods and the extent of drawdown.
- 3. The water level should be pumped down to a level that will allow the safe and effective implementation of capture methods, such as seine nets, dip nets and electrofishing.
- 4. A fine mesh screen (≤5mm) may be installed on the inlet of the pump or a fish basket used to remove the risk of native aquatic fauna being transferred through pump. A maximum depth of 500mm is typically required before fish salvage can commence but site -specific advice will be required from the aquatic ecologist.
- 5. Aquatic ecologist is to establish the presence of native and introduced aquatic fauna and plan relocation. Access to adjoining properties may be required for relocation, particularly when dewatering dams. The aquatic ecologist will ensure that native aquatic fauna species are released into suitable habitat as close to the original location as possible.
- 6. Native fish will be placed in tubs full of water sourced from the salvage site where they will be housed for brief periods before being transferred to the release site. Pest fish will be euthanized using an ice slurry.
- 7. Tadpoles will be placed in individual clip-seal bags and acclimatized to the release site (i.e. bag placed in waterbody for 30 minutes) before being released.
- 8. Following completion of relocation, a final check shall be undertaken to find any remaining fish or dying/dead fish.
- 9. All euthanized and dead fish will be transported to a licensed landfill facility for disposal.
- 10. Records will be kept on habitat type, method of water extraction, species, number of individuals and reproductive status of fish encountered.
- 11. Aquatic ecologist will prepare a report on the relocation, detail the source of the fish, the number and species of fish released and euthanized.

Project Ecologist responsibilities for fauna handling and rescue

The Project Ecologist will follow the relevant steps detailed below:

- 1. All fauna habitat will be clearly marked ("H" painted on four sides and red & white tape tied around trunk at eye height) seven days prior to the commencement of clearing. Targeted nocturnal surveys will be undertaken 24-48hrs prior to clearing; pre-clearing surveys (i.e. active searches for fauna) will occur immediately prior to clearing.
- 2. Surveys and rescue will be undertaken in accordance with the two-stage clearing process:
 - **Stage 1** (under-scrubbing and non habitat tree removal) all fauna that can be physically captured during targeted surveys (i.e. active searches, spotlighting, trapping) will be relocated into areas of suitable habitat adjacent to the project site (i.e. normally adjacent to the clearing footprint) as soon as possible after capture.

Revision No: 1



- **Stage 2** (habitat tree removal at least 48 hours after Stage 1) all fauna captured will be relocated into areas of suitable habitat adjacent to the project site. Note Habitat trees are to be felled using equipment that allows trees to be carefully felled with minimal impact (e.g. adequately sized harvester with rotating head).
- 3. Relocation of fauna captured during the clearing and associated works will take place in areas of suitable habitat as close as possible to the project site, taking into account:
 - a. The release site contains similar habitat and occurs as close to the point of capture as possible.
 - b. If the species is nocturnal, release will normally be carried out at dusk.
 - c. Hollow dependent nocturnal fauna will generally be housed in a nest box, which will be installed temporarily at the release site and unplugged at dusk. The box will be checked and, if unoccupied, retrieved the following day.
 - d. Release would not be undertaken during periods of heavy rainfall except for aquatic fauna.
 - e. Non-native fauna will be euthanised in accordance with licence conditions and Animal Care & Ethics Committee Approvals.

If the animal has been placed into care due to injury, age (i.e. young) or stress, upon its rehabilitation it will be released in an area, selected by the Project Ecologist, that will not be disturbed by the project construction works. The Project Ecologist will record and provide the capture and relocation data in the post clearing report.

- 4. To minimise stress to native fauna and/or remove the risk of further injury the Project Ecologist shall:
 - a. Cover larger animals with a towel or blanket and place in a suitable nest box, carry cage or canvas bag.
 - b. Place smaller animals in a cotton bag, tied at the top, or suitable nest box.
 - c. Place frogs/tadpoles in a plastic bag with a small amount of water and leaf litter. One individual per bag.
 - d. Fish and other aquatic life (i.e. turtles) place in plastic aquaria or plastic container with sufficient water.
 - e. For terrestrial fauna keep the animal in a quiet, warm, well-ventilated and dark place away from noisy activities.
 - f. For aquatic fauna species ensure there is sufficient water and adequate aeration. Notes on fauna handling.

Note 1. Some animals require particular handling (e.g. venomous reptiles, raptors) and should only be handled by appropriately qualified personnel i.e. Project Ecologist or wildlife carer.

Note 2. If handling bats, the handler must be vaccinated against the Australian Bat Lyssavirus (ABL) which is a form of rabies.

Note 3. Any frog handling would be undertaken in accordance with the Hygiene Protocol for the Control of Disease in Frogs (DECC 2008).

5. In the event an animal is injured the following fauna rescue services and local veterinary surgeries contact details are detailed above. In the event the rescue service and/or local veterinary service cannot be contacted, the most appropriate euthanasia method will be administered by the Project Ecologist (i.e. cervical dislocation for small vertebrates, ice slurry for introduced fish). This is to occur in accordance with applicable guidelines and legislative requirements. If the fauna species is identified as a threatened species that is not a species identified in the EIS, notify the Environmental Manager immediately. NOTE: Euthanasia is not to be undertaken by Project personnel unless under the approval of the Project Ecologist or T4MR Environment Manager.



6. The project ecologist will keep a register of all pre-clearing survey methods (including times, weather conditions, effort and results), fauna species captured (number of individuals, sex, age class and general health of each individual), release sites and dates, individuals taken into care and release date or fate.



ABOUT THE ARTIST:

I am Ann Johnson, I am a Gamilaroi woman. I am the Eldest of ten children and the mother of four. A grandmother too.

I have always loved art. When I left school I did a Ticket writing traineeship in Newcastle and worked a David Jones. Soon after that I got married and had a family. We moved back to Moree in the early 1980s.

In the early 1990s I did an art course at Moree, which lead to a group of us setting up the Yurundiali Aboriginal Corporation. Janelle Boyd played a pivotal role in the setting up of Yurundiali, which designed and printed fabrics with Aboriginal designs. In 1993 Janelle and I started 'Spirit Lines', we designed and printed them on t-shirts, tights, towels, ironing boards, and cooking mits, these were sold through Amnesty International. In 1995 we had a big exhibition in Moree called 'Sisters under the Skins', we also had an exhibition and fashion parade with Ken Done in Moree.

I produce art most days and if I am not practicing my art I am tossing around design ideas in my head. I like all types of art; I produce a variety of designs, do screen printing, make jewellery and sculpture amongst other things. At the moment I am exploring digitising my designs and then hand painting them using mixed media.



BEYOND THE TRACK: FOR OUR COVER ARTWORK, TRANS4M RAIL IS SUPPORTING AND FEATURING LOCAL MOREE ARTISTS

